| Τ | UNITED STATES SENATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY |
| 3 | WASHINGTON, D.C. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | INTERVIEW OF RICHARD DONOGHUE |
| 9 | |
| LO | |
| L1 | |
| L2 | |
| L3 | FRIDAY, AUGUST 6, 2021 |
| L4 | |
| L5 | |
| L6 | |
| L7 | The interview was convened, pursuant to notice, at 9:05 |
| L8 | a.m, and was conducted via Zoom. |
| L9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 9:05 a.m.
- Ms. Zdeb. This is a transcribed interview of
- 4 Richard Donoghue. Chair Durbin requested this interview in
- 5 connection with the Judiciary Committee's investigation of
- 6 efforts to involve the Justice Department in former President
- 7 Trump's attempts to overturn the 2020 presidential election.
- 8 Would the witness please state his name for
- 9 the record.
- 10 Mr. Donoghue. My name is Richard Peter
- 11 Donoghue, D-o-n-o-g-h-u-e.
- 12 Ms. Zdeb. On behalf of Chair Durbin, I'd
- 13 like to thank you, Mr. Donoghue, for appearing here today. We
- 14 appreciate your willingness to appear voluntarily.
- 15 My name is Sara Zdeb. I'm the majority
- 16 Chief Oversight Counsel for the Judiciary Committee. And I'll
- 17 now ask everyone else on the Zoom to go around and please
- 18 introduce themselves for the record, with the exception of Mr.
- 19 Donoghue's counsel, who we will get to in just a minute. And
- 20 maybe for the ease of this, we can start with my colleagues on
- 21 the chair staff.
- 22 Mr. Charlet. I'm Joe Charlet, Counsel for
- 23 the majority.
- Ms. McClain Walton. Good morning. I'm
- 25 Nicole McClain Walton, Counsel for the majority.

- 1 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Good morning, Mr. Donoghue.
- 2 My name is Josh Flynn-Brown. I'm Deputy Chief Investigative
- 3 Counsel for Senator Grassley. We want to thank you for your
- 4 time this morning and this afternoon. And
- 5 I'll turn it over to DeLisa for her introduction.
- 6 Ms. Ragsdale. I'm DeLisa Ragsdale. I'm
- 7 Chief Investigative Counsel for Senator Grassley.
- 8 Ms. Zdeb. Department counsel?
- 9 Mr. Weinsheimer. Brad Weinsheimer,
- 10 Department of Justice.
- 11 Ms. Loeb. Emily Loeb, Department of Justice.
- Ms. Antell. Kira Antell, Department of
- 13 Justice.
- Ms. Zdeb. Thank you.
- 15 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not
- 16 apply to the committee's investigative activities, including
- 17 transcribed interviews. That said, we do follow some
- 18 guidelines that I'll go over now.
- 19 Our questioning will proceed in rounds. The
- 20 majority staff will ask questions for one hour, then the
- 21 minority staff will have the opportunity to ask questions for
- 22 an equal amount of time. We will go back and forth in this
- 23 way until there are no more questions.
- We typically take a short break at the end of
- 25 each hour, but if you need to take a break at any other time,

- 1 please just let us know.
- 2 As I noted earlier, you're appearing
- 3 voluntarily, and we very much appreciate that. I want to note
- 4 that you have been authorized by the Department of Justice to
- 5 provide "unrestricted testimony, irrespective of potential
- 6 privilege" on topics within the scope of the committee's
- 7 investigation.
- 8 As such, we anticipate that our questions
- 9 will receive complete responses. As you can see, we have a
- 10 stenographer taking down everything that we say to make a
- 11 written record. And so we ask that you give verbal responses
- 12 to each question.
- Do you understand?
- Mr. Donoghue. Yes.
- Ms. Zdeb. We encourage witnesses who appear
- 16 before the committee to consult freely with counsel if they
- 17 choose. You're appearing here today with counsel.
- 18 Counsel, could you please introduce
- 19 yourselves for the record.
- 20 Mr. Andres. Sure. Good morning. My name is
- 21 Greg Andres from Davis Polk. And together with me here in New
- 22 York are my colleagues, Kate Swan and Charles Klug. And on
- 23 the Zoom is Brook Jackling in Washington.
- 24 Thank you.
- Ms. Zdeb. Mr. Donoghue, we want you to

- 1 answer our questions in a complete and truthful manner. So if
- 2 you don't understand one of our questions, please just ask.
- 3 You should understand that, although this interview is not
- 4 under oath, by law, you are required to answer questions from
- 5 Congress truthfully.
- 6 Do you understand that?
- 7 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I do.
- 8 Ms. Zdeb. And specifically, witnesses who
- 9 knowingly provide materially false statements during the
- 10 course of a congressional investigation could be subject to
- 11 criminal prosecution under 18 USC 1001. And this statute
- 12 applies to your statements in this interview.
- Do you understand that?
- Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I do.
- Ms. Zdeb. Is there any reason why you are
- unable to provide truthful answers to today's questions?
- Mr. Donoghue. No.
- 18 Ms. Zdeb. Finally, we ask that you please
- 19 not speak about what we discuss in this interview with anyone,
- 20 outside of those of us who are on the Zoom, in order to
- 21 preserve the integrity of our investigation.
- 22 Is there anything else that my colleagues
- 23 from Senator Grassley's staff would like to add at this point?
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. I have nothing to add at
- 25 this point. Thank you.

- 1 Ms. Zdeb. In that case, this is the end of
- 2 my preamble.
- Mr. Donoghue, do you have any questions
- 4 before we begin?
- 5 Mr. Donoghue. No. I'd just like to thank
- 6 the Senate and Senate staff for your professionalism
- 7 throughout, as well as the Davis Polk team that's helped me
- 8 prepare for today. Thank you.
- 9 Ms. Zdeb. With that, it is 9:10 a.m., and we
- 10 can begin our first round of questioning.
- 11 BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. Mr. Donoghue, what was your most recent role at the
- 13 Department of Justice?
- 14 A. My last official position at DOJ was as the
- 15 Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General. I served in that
- 16 position from mid-July 2020 through the transition. My detail
- 17 from the Eastern District of New York to D.C. ended at the end
- 18 of January. So I did remain on DOJ's roll because I was a
- 19 career employee. I officially retired from DOJ in early April
- 20 of this year.
- Q. Congratulations.
- 22 So working backward from your -- well,
- 23 actually, strike that.
- So at some point during that tenure during
- 25 which you were Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General,

- 1 you were elevated to the position of Acting Deputy Attorney
- 2 General; is that right?
- 3 A. Technically, I was not the Acting Deputy
- 4 Attorney General because, under federal personnel law, if
- 5 there is a Senate-confirmed individual who is still in the
- 6 Department, you should not use the term "Acting Deputy
- 7 Attorney General, " or "Acting" in any capacity.
- 8 And since the Deputy Attorney General confirmed by the Senate
- 9 was still in the Department, I did not technically use that
- 10 term to describe my position, nor did others, but I was
- 11 playing that role.
- I had the responsibilities and authorities of
- 13 the Deputy Attorney General from December 23 of 2020 through
- 14 the transition.
- Q. And prior to -- prior to your appointment as
- 16 Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General, you were --
- 17 remind me, you were the U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District
- 18 starting when?
- 19 A. I started as U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District
- 20 in January of 2018 and remained in that position until mid-
- 21 July of 2020 when I went down to D.C. as the
- 22 Principal Associate DAG.
- Q. Thank you.
- And can you give us just a brief overview of
- 25 your professional experience prior to the time when you became

- 1 U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District?
- 2 A. Sure.
- 3 Briefly, I graduated law school in 1992. I
- 4 was admitted to the bar in December of '92. In January of
- 5 1993, I went on active duty in the U.S. Army Judge Advocate
- 6 General's Corps. I spent seven years on active duty.
- 7 I served in a variety of places and
- 8 capacities. Most importantly, I served in the

nd

- 9 82 Airborne Division in the XVIII Airborne Corps.
- I was a prosecutor, defense counsel, military
- 11 magistrate judge, contract litigator, and a few other things
- 12 along the way. In January of 2000, I began serving as an
- 13 Assistant United States Attorney in the Eastern District of
- 14 New York. I remained in that office almost 12 years, leaving
- in November of 2011.
- 16 During my time in the EDNY, I was a line
- 17 assistant. I was promoted to deputy chief of the section,
- 18 chief of the section, deputy chief of the criminal division.
- 19 And I was ultimately appointed chief of the criminal division
- 20 by Loretta Lynch while she was the U.S. Attorney. And as I
- 21 said, I served there until November of 2011.
- I left at that point and went to work for a
- 23 Fortune 500 software company as chief of litigation.
- 24 And I remained in that role until I became the U.S.
- 25 Attorney in 2018.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 Turning back to the more recent period during
- 3 which you were Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General,
- 4 and, in particular, during the period of time after the 2020
- 5 election through the conclusion of your tenure in that role,
- 6 without getting into the details of any particular
- 7 investigation, can you give us just a general overview of what
- 8 your role would have been with respect to any investigations
- 9 into allegations of election fraud?
- 10 A. The allegations of election fraud were handled
- 11 primarily by the U.S. Attorney's Offices. So each U.S.
- 12 Attorney obviously had authority over their jurisdiction and a
- 13 responsibility to carry out investigations that they deemed
- 14 appropriate.
- I, sitting in the Office of Deputy Attorney
- 16 General, to some extent, supervised these investigations, but
- it was more a coordination role; making sure that the U.S.
- 18 Attorneys were aware of certain things, or making sure that
- 19 they were not in conflict with one another because we had more
- 20 than one office investigating the same thing, that sort of
- 21 thing.
- 22 So I had frequent contact with the U.S. Attorneys.
- 23 I was generally aware of the investigations they were doing;
- 24 although, I was not deeply involved in individual
- 25 investigations. I also made an effort to keep the Deputy

- 1 Attorney General, Jeff Rosen, up-to-date on what was going on,
- 2 as well as AG Barr. And also, we had officials within the
- 3 criminal division headquartered at Main Justice who were
- 4 involved in some of these investigations.
- 5 So sometimes there were issues between the U.S.
- 6 Attorney's Office and the criminal division that I became
- 7 aware of or got involved in.
- 8 Q. Given the role that you just described, again,
- 9 during this period of time postelection through the conclusion
- 10 of your tenure in that role, is it fair to say that if the
- 11 Criminal Division, a U.S. Attorney's Office, or the FBI had
- 12 concluded that there was evidence of election fraud sufficient
- 13 to have impacted the outcome of the 2020 election, you would
- 14 have been made aware of it?
- 15 A. Yes, I would have known that.
- Q. On December 1, 2020, then-Attorney
- 17 General Barr publicly announced that the Justice Department
- 18 had found no evidence of widespread election fraud on a scale
- 19 that could have affected the outcome of the 2020 election. I
- 20 think he later reaffirmed that finding a couple of weeks later
- 21 on December 21.
- 22 Did you have any reason to doubt Attorney General
- 23 Barr's conclusion that the Department had found no evidence of
- 24 widespread election fraud sufficient to change the outcome of
- 25 the election?

- 1 A. No, none at all.
- Q. At this point, I'd like to ask you a couple of
- 3 questions about a document that we have designated as Majority
- 4 1. And we can mark this as Exhibit 1.
- 5 (Exhibit 1, email, was
- 6 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.
- 7 Q. It is an email. The beginning Bates number at the
- 8 bottom of the page is -680.
- 9 A. I have it.
- 10 O. Terrific.
- 11 So this is an email that you sent to
- 12 Assistant Attorney Generals, copying Mr. Rosen, on November
- 13 11, 2020, attaching a couple of policy documents. I imagine
- 14 you're familiar with these policies?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's a -- the dual policies that the
- 17 Department and the White House each maintain, and have
- 18 historically maintained, that govern limitations on contacts
- 19 between those two entities; is that right?
- 20 A. That's right.
- Q. And at the time you circulated these, what was your
- 22 general understanding of the limitations they imposed?
- 23 A. The memos were designed to funnel communications in
- 24 a certain way, and I won't go through all the details of it,
- obviously. But the goal of each memo was to minimize contact

- 1 between the White House and DOJ personnel, and to make sure
- 2 that any communications went through the proper channels so
- 3 that we can have appropriate insight and supervision over
- 4 those communications.
- 5 Q. So I think you've just described the component of
- 6 the policy that limits and prescribes who in the White House
- 7 and the Department can communicate with one another.
- But as I read it, and tell me if you agree,
- 9 it seems like the policy also places limits not just on who
- 10 within the Department can communicate with the White House and
- 11 vice versa, but also when those sorts of communications can
- 12 occur.
- So, for instance, at the bottom of the first
- 14 page of the document, Bates-numbered -681, there is some
- 15 language -- there's some language indicating that only when it
- is important for the performance of the President's duties,
- 17 and important from a law enforcement perspective, is it
- 18 acceptable for there to be communications about pending or
- 19 contemplated investigations or prosecutions.
- Is that consistent with your understanding?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Why did you circulate these policies at the time,
- 23 which was about a week after the 2020 election?
- A. Right. These are long-standing policies, but I
- 25 circulated them on that particular day pursuant to a

- 1 conversation I had with Will Levi, L-e-v-i. Will was
- 2 AG Barr's chief of staff at that point.
- And I don't remember all the specifics,
- 4 obviously, but I recall Will calling me -- or we were on the
- 5 phone, for whatever reason, and he asked me to circulate these
- 6 policies back around to the AG -- AAGs, sorry -- Assistant
- 7 Attorneys General, as sort of just a precautionary measure. I
- 8 don't, again, remember exactly why he said to do this, but he
- 9 said something like, Let's just make sure nobody trips over a
- 10 line or anything to that effect. Let's make sure people are
- 11 aware of the policies.
- 12 And so I sent it out pursuant to that
- 13 discussion.
- 14 O. Did you have some understanding of why that
- 15 precaution was needed at that time?
- 16 A. Not really. I don't remember him giving a specific
- 17 reason for it, but obviously we were in the post-election
- 18 period. There were challenges all over with regard to the
- 19 election, and it was clearly going to be controversial for
- 20 some period of time. It was a tumultuous period.
- 21 And I just generally remember Will saying
- 22 something like, Let's just remind our people of where the
- 23 lines are.
- I think he had sent this out to other people
- in the AG's staff, and he thought it might be a good idea for

- 1 me to send it to the AAGs. I agreed, and I sent it out.
- Q. Did you take notes of that call,
- 3 incidentally? I know we've seen other notes of yours that
- 4 we'll get to a bit later, but I'm curious if you have any from
- 5 that conversation with Mr. Levi.
- A. No, there are no notes from that. I spoke to him
- 7 often. And it was a very routine thing. I wouldn't have
- 8 taken notes on that.
- 9 O. Fair enough.
- Just to be clear, because it sounds like
- 11 you're describing this largely as a prophylactic measure and,
- 12 perhaps, in anticipation of future communications. But at the
- 13 time you sent this out -again, November 11, shortly after the
- 14 election -- were you aware at that point of any outreach from
- 15 the White House to the Departments that may have implicated
- 16 those policies?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Ms. Zdeb. So I'd like to jump ahead about a
- 19 month or so, and ask you about a document that we have
- 20 designated as Majority 2, if you have that. And we can mark
- 21 this as Exhibit 2.
- 22 (Exhibit 2, email, was
- marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.
- 24 O. So this is an email from Theresa Watson in the
- 25 Office of the Attorney General. It has some documents

- 1 attached to it related to allegations of election fraud in
- 2 Antrim County, Michigan. You're not on the email, but she
- 3 sends these two documents to the two U.S. Attorneys in
- 4 Michigan, in the Eastern and the
- 5 Western District, and she says, "See attachments per
- 6 Rich Donoghue."
- 7 Do you recall how the -- how the attachments
- 8 to this email, that it seems like you requested to be sent to
- 9 the two U.S. Attorneys, how those attachments first came to
- 10 your attention?
- 11 A. Generally, yes. It's been, obviously, quite a
- 12 number of months at this point. But I do remember generally
- 13 how those documents were being discussed that day, and why
- 14 they went out to those U.S. Attorneys.
- Q So can you describe that context that you're recalling?
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 So the Allied Security Operations Group
- 18 report that is attached there is dated December 13. These
- 19 communications took place on December 14. I won't get into
- 20 all the details of that report, but amongst other things, it
- 21 claimed to be a forensic review of Dominion Voting machines
- 22 and Dominion Voting software used in Antrim, A-n-t-r-i-m,
- 23 County in
- 24 Michigan. And the report claimed that this forensic review
- 25 indicated that there was a 68 percent error rate in the

- 1 machines used in Antrim County. That made a lot of news that
- 2 day.
- It was -- I think this was part of a civil
- 4 filing. The forensic review, as I recall correctly, arose
- 5 from some state litigation. There was some lawsuit filed, I
- 6 believe, by a private citizen. Pursuant to that action, the
- 7 state court had authorized its forensic review of the machines
- 8 and software in the county, and that's what this report
- 9 supposedly arose from.
- 10 December 14th was the day that Attorney General
- 11 Barr announced his resignation and submitted his resignation
- 12 letter to the President. Later that afternoon, I was up at AG
- 13 Barr's office, and he said,
- 14 "Have you heard about or seen this report from Michigan?"
- It was getting a lot of coverage in the
- 16 media. And, obviously, if there really was a 68 percent
- 17 error rate in Dominion Voting machines and systems, we had a
- 18 huge problem on our hands. Not that we believed that, but
- 19 obviously that's what the report was claiming.
- So I don't know if I had seen the report at
- 21 that point or just seen headlines or whatever it was.
- 22 But we had a brief discussion, and he said something to the
- 23 effect of, We have to get on top of this; make sure the U.S.
- 24 Attorneys are aware of this report, since they would have
- 25 primary authority and jurisdiction and responsibility for

- 1 addressing these things, and let's find out what this is all
- 2 about.
- I think at that point, he had said that he
- 4 wanted DHS, Department of Homeland Security, to take a look at
- 5 the report and to let him know what their views were.
- 6 And so various things were going on with
- 7 regard to this report. And as I was leaving AG Barr's office,
- 8 I think he said Theresa -- who is Theresa Watson, his
- 9 secretary -- I think he said Theresa has the report. She has
- 10 electronic copies.
- 11 And so as I passed her desk, I said, "Theresa, do
- 12 you have these reports about this Michigan thing?"
- 13 She said, "Yeah, I've got them right here."
- 14 And I said, "Just do me a favor. Send them
- out to the U.S. Attorneys in Michigan."
- Which she obviously did pursuant -- as you
- 17 see in this email.
- 18 I think I also called the U.S. Attorneys
- 19 there. My recollection on this is not great, but I believe I
- 20 called Matt Schneider first. And I just said, hey, you know,
- 21 heads up. This report is out there.
- 22 And he was already aware of it. I don't
- think he had seen the report. I don't recall exactly, but he
- 24 was aware of it.
- And I said, all right, just, you know, get on

- 1 top of this. We're going to find out whether there's anything
- 2 to this.
- 3 And then I believe Matt then told me that Antrim
- 4 County is actually Andrew Birge's district. I called Andrew
- 5 and said, you know, basically the same thing. Heads up. This
- 6 thing's out there. We're going to try to take a look at this
- 7 and figure out if there's anything to this report.
- 8 And subsequently what happened was DHS did
- 9 look at the report. There were some communications back and
- 10 forth. The AG asked them to provide what he termed a "white
- 11 paper" to provide their views on the report. Ultimately, a
- 12 couple days later they had provided, I think, a one-page
- 13 summary. It was just sort of bullet points.
- 14 And they also came over with their experts to
- 15 discuss the report with AG Barr, which we did. We discussed
- 16 it with DHS as well as the FBI.
- 17 Director Wray was there. I believe the deputy director was
- 18 there and one or two other FBI personnel.
- 19 And the reason, just so you understand that
- 20 we were turning to DHS, is because DHS has the expertise with
- 21 regard to election hardware and software. Their election
- 22 support efforts are designed to help local, state and other
- 23 entities conduct elections. And so they had the expertise as
- 24 to these machines.
- They came over. They briefed AG Barr. They

- 1 provided their report. And they were generally very critical
- 2 of this Allied Security Operations Group report. They
- 3 explained why they felt it was defective.
- 4 Ultimately, there was a hand recount ordered
- 5 in Antrim County that was pursuant to the state litigation
- 6 that was going on. And from what I recollect, the hand
- 7 recount revealed that there was only a one-ballot difference
- 8 between what the Dominion systems had counted and what the
- 9 hand recount showed.
- 10 There were over 15,000 votes cast in Antrim County. And so I
- 11 just kind of myself did the error rate calculation, and I
- 12 believe it was .0063.
- So the difference was the Allied report
- 14 claiming a 68 percent error rate and the hand recount showing
- 15 a .0063 error rate.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 When the report first came in, did you have
- 18 any familiarity with Allied Security Operations Group?
- 19 A. No. I had never heard of them.
- Q. And if you flip to the very last page of the so-
- 21 called forensic audit, you'll see a signature from an
- 22 individual named Russell Ramsland, who presumably authored the
- 23 report.
- 24 Did you have any familiarity with
- 25 Mr. Ramsland at the time?

- 1 A. No, none at all.
- Q. I'd like to go back to something that you said a
- 3 minute or two ago, because it seems to me that when you were
- 4 describing this report, you said it "claimed" to be a forensic
- 5 review. You noted specifically the claimed 68 percent error
- 6 rate and said "not that we necessarily believed it," or
- 7 something to that effect. But you also indicated that
- 8 Attorney
- 9 General Barr said, "We need to get on top of this."
- 10 Can you give me a sense of why he felt it was
- 11 important to get on top of this, if you weren't necessarily
- 12 certain that it was at all credible?
- 13 A. So I think you have to understand the AG -by which I
- 14 mean AG Barr's -- general approach to this, which he had been
- 15 very consistent about for months.
- Well before the election, I heard him say on
- 17 many occasions that we need to make sure the American people
- 18 can trust the outcome of this election. He was very, very
- 19 concerned that the election, because it was being conducted in
- 20 the midst of a pandemic, and there were new procedures, and
- 21 there were questions to be raised about the security of the
- 22 election, he was very concerned that we would potentially end
- 23 up in the situation where a large number of the American
- 24 people would not trust the outcome of the election. And, as a
- 25 result, we did various things.

- One of which was he issued a memo shortly
- 2 after the election which authorized U.S. Attorney's Offices,
- 3 FBI and others to conduct real-time investigations, rather
- 4 than delay those investigations, which would have been the
- 5 more traditional department approach. And I heard him speak
- 6 about this pretty passionately a number of times, like, We
- 7 need to do our job. We need to be able to say to the American
- 8 people that they can trust this election. And if there's a
- 9 problem, we need to know what it is and what the scope of it
- 10 is.
- 11 So this was all in keeping with his approach. So
- 12 when you have purportedly a forensic audit of a single county,
- 13 the implications of that go far beyond the county. If, in
- 14 fact, there really was a 68 percent error rate in one county
- in Michigan, because Dominion Voting machines and Dominion
- 16 Voting software was widely used across the United States, that
- 17 would mean that we had a huge problem across the country and
- 18 with the
- 19 Presidential election.
- So he wanted to get on this quickly because,
- 21 if that was true, then we needed to understand what the
- 22 implications were. And if it was not true, we need to be in a
- 23 position to say that, if appropriate.
- Q. So I'm just trying to understand his position a
- 25 little bit more.

- 1 Was it your sense that his position was that
- 2 in order to provide some assurance of the accuracy of the
- 3 election to the American people that it was the role of the
- 4 Department to look into all allegations of election fraud
- 5 irrespective of how farfetched they were?
- 6 A. I think each of these allegations had to be sort of
- 7 taken on its own facts, right. And that's why we analyzed
- 8 them one at a time. And so, obviously, hearing a 68 percent
- 9 error rate being reported, that seemed unlikely to us. But if
- 10 it was true, we had a huge problem.
- 11 And so I think that his concern at that point
- 12 was understanding, one, how they came up with this; and, two,
- 13 what DHS said about the reliability of this conclusion. So he
- 14 was very concerned about claims like this that people could
- use to undermine the country's confidence in the election.
- 16 Q. And to your knowledge, did he or did you personally,
- 17 as part of this thought process, consider whether the act of
- 18 the Department taking public actions to look into allegations
- 19 like these might have the inadvertent effect of legitimizing
- 20 them for the very -the very people that it sounds like he was
- 21 trying to provide assurances?
- 22 A. Well, the appearance was always a concern, and it
- 23 was something that we took into consideration.
- But, for instance, with regard to this,
- 25 right, we didn't do anything overtly. It's not as if we

- 1 issued grand jury subpoenas or began interviewing witnesses or
- 2 anything like that. At the time, we made a request to DHS.
- 3 DHS did their evaluations. They provided the paper. They
- 4 came over and briefed the AG, but no one outside the
- 5 government knew that.
- 6 And furthermore, we relied on the hand
- 7 recount, which we had nothing to do with. That was ordered by
- 8 the State court. We were not involved in that litigation. We
- 9 made no public statements about it, to my knowledge, anyway.
- 10 And so at the end of the day, everything that
- 11 we did with regard to this Antrim report, I think, was
- 12 nonpublic, but it did inform the AG's comments when he later
- 13 said that we've looked at a lot of these allegations,
- 14 including the most significant ones, and this definitely was a
- 15 significant allegation.
- And we at the Department have concluded that
- 17 there's no fraud on the scale that would call into question
- 18 the outcome of the election. So all these things mattered.
- 19 We were very sensitive to the appearances, but you had to
- 20 balance the appearance against the ability of someone like the
- 21 Attorney General to say that we've looked at these things, and
- 22 we either have or have not found fraud that calls into
- 23 question the election.
- But, thankfully, he was in the position,
- 25 because of the decisions he made earlier on, to be able to say

- 1 that.
- Q. Turning back to the two attachments that you
- 3 forwarded to the two U.S. Attorneys, do you recall discussing
- 4 with Mr. Rosen how he received those emails earlier that day?
- And to be more specific, were you aware that
- 6 they had been sent to Mr. Rosen by Molly Michael, a White
- 7 House special assistant, who told him that the documents were
- 8 "from POTUS"?
- 9 A. I believe I found it out later, but you should
- 10 understand that there's no way that I knew that before Theresa
- 11 Watson sent them out.
- Just so the physical layout is clear, the
- 13 Attorney General's office is on the fifth floor.
- 14 DAG Rosen's office is on the fourth floor. I think, if
- 15 I remember correctly, the line of these emails, DAG Rosen
- 16 received that email from the President's secretary about two
- 17 minutes before Theresa sent them out. So that's
- 18 coincidental, and it's a result of the fact that this report
- 19 was all over the place that day. It was all over the
- 20 Internet. It was being sent to various places.
- 21 So although it's the same report, the email
- 22 that went to DAG Rosen was not the source of this email that
- 23 went from AG Barr's secretary out to the U.S. Attorneys. They
- 24 were overlapping, but there's no way that we got it in to DAG
- 25 Rosen's email box and, in less than two minutes, printed it

- out, ran up to the fifth floor, ran down the hallway, had a
- 2 secretary scan it, and then sent it out to the U.S. Attorneys.
- 3 It didn't happen that way.
- 4 Q. And just to close the loop on the process
- 5 that you described in which DHS was pulled in, and I think you
- 6 described a meeting with DHS, the FBI director, the deputy
- 7 director and the Department, is it fair to say that the
- 8 conclusion of the DHS report, I think, as you said was highly
- 9 critical, and that -well, you tell me.
- 10 How was the DHS report received by that group
- of individuals who assembled to hear the conclusions of DHS's
- 12 work?
- 13 A. Just as a general matter, DHS reported out that the
- 14 Allied report was unreliable; that it was riddled with errors
- and that, amongst other things, they were looking at the wrong
- 16 version of the software.
- So the software that Antrim County used was a
- 18 different version of the Dominion software than is described
- 19 in the report. I think that the one-page summary gives a lot
- 20 more detail, and I'll just defer to that. But I do recall
- 21 being up in the conference room on the sixth floor with the
- 22 Attorney General and the other assembled federal partners, and
- 23 sitting through that briefing and having DHS explain to the
- 24 AG, to Director Wray and to the others in the room why this
- 25 just did not support.

- 1 And I think it was within a day or two,
- 2 probably, that the hand recount was completed. And then, you
- 3 know, obviously, a strong level of confidence that the Allied
- 4 report was not accurate.
- 5 Q. So jumping ahead, we've been talking about -we've
- 6 been talking about, primarily, December 14, which is when that
- 7 report was first in the news and was the day when it was sent
- 8 out on your behalf to the two Michigan U.S. Attorneys.
- Jumping ahead a day to December 15, do you
- 10 recall attending a meeting or meetings at the White House that
- 11 day, which, again, was the day after Attorney General Barr
- 12 announced that he would be resigning?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 O. And was it one meeting or two meetings? It's been a
- 15 little bit unclear to us from the documents.
- 16 A. There was one meeting in the Oval Office. I don't
- 17 recall right now as I sit here whether we had a short meeting
- 18 with the White House counsel or anyone else before we went to
- 19 the Oval Office, but I do remember the Oval Office meeting.
- Q. So with respect to the Oval Office meeting, it
- 21 sounds like you attended, obviously.
- Who else attended that meeting?
- 23 A. From what I recall, in the Oval Office, there was
- 24 obviously the President, Chief of Staff, White House counsel
- 25 Pat Cipollone, I believe White House Deputy counsel Pat

- 1 Philbin, myself, DAG Rosen, and I believe there was an
- 2 individual from DHS named Chad Mizelle.
- 3 After the meeting started, the Chief of Staff
- 4 excused himself and said he had to go work on something else.
- 5 And somewhere around that time, Ken Cuccinelli, who was the
- 6 number two at DHS, the acting number two at
- 7 DHS, came into the meeting.
- Q. Who called the meeting? Was it a meeting that the
- 9 White House called? Was it a meeting that the Department
- 10 called?
- 11 A. The department did not call it. We were called over
- 12 to the White House.
- 13 Q Did you have a sense at the time of what the reason
- 14 for your being called over to the White House was?
- 15 A. I don't remember specifically how it was
- 16 communicated to me that we had to go over to the White House,
- 17 but I do think we understood at the time it had to do with
- 18 election matters.
- 19 Q. And given the proximity in time to the Antrim County
- 20 allegations that we were just discussing, I'm curious whether
- 21 those were a topic of discussion in this meeting?
- 22 A. Yes, they were. Yes.
- Q. And could you give us a sense of how that discussion
- 24 unfolded? Who raised the allegations? Was it the President?
- Just sort of elaborate a bit on what that

- 1 discussion involved.
- A. Right. And with the caveat, obviously, I'm not a
- 3 human tape recorder, so I can't give you exact quotes in many
- 4 of these instances. But, generally, the President raised the
- 5 Antrim County matter. And he said something to the effect of,
- 6 you know, "Have you guys seen this report? This is
- 7 unbelievable. This is a disaster. They've got a 68 percent
- 8 error rate. They used these machines all over the country,"
- 9 and so on.
- 10 And so the conversation basically progressed
- 11 by us saying, Well, there is a hand count underway that should
- 12 be done shortly. And I recall Ken Cuccinelli saying, "The
- 13 hand count will be the gold standard. That will tell us
- 14 whether that's true or not." And we should know in a day or
- 15 two, or maybe three, at most, whether the hand recount
- 16 supports or undermines the Allied report.
- 17 And so there was nothing to be decided or to
- 18 be done. It was just a matter of the President talking about
- 19 the possible implications of this, if, in fact, the report was
- 20 correct. And we all agreed that if there's a 68 percent error
- 21 rate, then, yes, there's a huge problem for the country. But
- 22 let's not get ahead of ourselves. The hand recount is
- 23 underway and let's let that progress. And we should know in a
- 24 day or two whether there's really anything to this.
- He seemed satisfied with that response.

- Q. Did he give you the impression at the time that this
- 2 was something he would like the Department to look into?
- A. The way he generally framed and phrased these
- 4 things, not just in that meeting but in subsequent meetings,
- 5 was "I want to make sure you guys were aware of this."
- And so we said -- well, you know, I think, DAG
- 7 Rosen said, "Mr. President, we're aware. We're aware of the
- 8 report. We don't know what to make of it yet."
- 9 I don't recall if he told him that AG Barr
- 10 had asked DHS to look at it or not. I just don't remember.
- 11 But the way the President presented these things throughout
- 12 was, "I want to make sure you guys know about this, that
- 13 you're aware of it."
- We would say, essentially, "Yes, sir, we're
- 15 aware of it, and leave it at that.
- And like I said, because the hand recount was
- 17 already underway on the Antrim County matter, it was very easy
- 18 to just say, you know, "Let's wait on the hand recount and see
- 19 what that shows."
- Q. Did any of the other White House participants in the
- 21 meeting offer views on the Antrim County issue at the time?
- 22 For instance, did Mark Meadows chime in, if he was still
- 23 there?
- A. Not really. I mean, everyone is aware of the
- 25 report, obviously. I don't know that anyone in the room had

- 1 read it fully at that point, but everyone was aware, and
- 2 everyone was aware that if this was right, it had serious
- 3 implications for the election nationwide. But, again, the
- 4 matter was very much up in the air.
- I think everyone was just relieved that there
- 6 was a hand recount underway so that we can say, well, let's
- 7 just wait for a day or two and see how this thing really
- 8 shapes up.
- 9 Q. Did the President raise the prospect of appointing
- 10 special counsels to investigate Dominion
- 11 Voting systems?
- 12 A. I don't specifically recall if he did in that
- 13 meeting. He may have. He raised it in at least one
- 14 subsequent meeting. And I don't know that it was specifically
- 15 to Dominion, but he raised the possibility of a special
- 16 counsel being appointed to look at election fraud overall.
- 17 Q. And what was the subsequent meeting where you do
- 18 recall him raising that?
- 19 A. I believe it was raised on December 31st, in the
- 20 meeting. And the reason I recollect that is because the
- 21 President said something to the effect of, "I think Ken
- 22 Cuccinelli would be a great special counsel."
- 23 And Ken was not in the room when the President said
- 24 that. I remember sort of taking note of the fact that Ken
- 25 wasn't there. I think that was in a subsequent meeting.

- Q. Putting aside the question of whether he
- 2 specifically invoked the idea of special counsels in the
- 3 December 15th meeting we've been talking about, did he make
- 4 other complaints about Dominion, generally speaking? Did he
- 5 ask the Department to look into issues involving Dominion?
- A. I'm sure Dominion came up, obviously, in connection
- 7 with Antrim discussion, but I don't specifically recall him
- 8 either on the 15th or the 31st talking at any length about
- 9 Dominion. It may have been there. I just can't recall
- 10 specifically, as I sit here now.
- 11 Q. Did the President raise the prospect of the
- 12 Department filing legal briefs in support of his campaigns,
- lawsuits or lawsuits filed by his allies, with the aim of
- 14 challenging and overturning the election results?
- A. He did raise the prospect of the Department filing,
- 16 not so much on his behalf or the campaign's behalf -- but,
- 17 again, as he framed and phrased this throughout, it was that
- 18 the election has been stolen from the American people, and
- 19 doesn't the Department represent the American people and
- 20 shouldn't you guys be doing something.
- So I do recall -- these conversations overlap
- 22 a little bit, so you'll forgive me. But between the 15th and
- 23 the 31st, the President saying things like, "All these cases
- 24 are getting dismissed because the judges say we no have
- 25 standing."

- 1 And the President clearly believed that the
- 2 department would have standing. And I can understand, as a
- 3 layman, why he would.
- 4 So he believed, well, if these elections were
- 5 not conducted properly in certain states, that means that the
- 6 American people have been harmed, and, therefore, the
- 7 Department should be filing things because the Department
- 8 would have standing. It represents the American people. And
- 9 then the judges wouldn't dismiss these cases on standing
- 10 grounds, and you can actually get to the merits.
- 11 We explained to the President a number of
- 12 times why that doesn't work and why the Department did not
- 13 have standing. There was a particular brief that was
- 14 forwarded, I think, to Jeff Rosen, probably after AG Barr
- 15 left. And we asked both the Office of the Solicitor General
- and the Office of Legal Counsel to take a look at it and give
- 17 us their views as to whether the Department would have
- 18 standing to file such a brief, because that was the first
- 19 issue. That didn't mean we would file the brief; it just
- 20 meant there was a question about standing.
- 21 And both OSG and OLC came back and opined
- 22 that the Department would not have standing to file such a
- 23 brief.
- Q. Thanks for the explanation. And we'll get back to
- 25 that, that potential Supreme Court brief in a little bit.

- I take your point that the President may have
- 2 viewed or may have conveyed that he viewed the notion of a
- 3 legal filing by the Department being more on behalf of the
- 4 American people as opposed to a filing on behalf of his
- 5 campaign or filing that would benefit him personally.
- But you understood, obviously, that such a
- 7 filing would, in fact, have redounded to the benefit of him in
- 8 his capacity as a political candidate; right?
- 9 A. Yeah. I think as a practical matter, it would have
- 10 benefited his campaign, of course. But throughout, the
- 11 President was very careful in how he worded these things. And
- 12 he would say, "Well, you guys represent the American people,
- 13 and the American people are the victim here. They are the
- ones being harmed," that kind of thing.
- So I just wanted to make it clear that his
- 16 wording was more along those lines. He wasn't saying, "I want
- 17 you to file this on my behalf or on behalf of my campaign."
- 18 But, sure, we all understood the implications.
- 19 Q. At some point a couple of days after this meeting on
- 20 December 21, which was, I think, two days before Attorney
- 21 General Barr formally stepped down as Attorney General, he
- 22 reiterated that the public statement he had made previously to
- 23 the effect of the Department has found no evidence of
- 24 widespread election fraud sufficient to alter the outcome of
- 25 the election.

- 1 And I'm curious whether you have any sense as
- 2 to whether that later statement on December 21st was related
- 3 to pressure that he was feeling, that you or Mr. Rosen may
- 4 have been feeling, from the President to look into some of the
- 5 issues we just discussed.
- A. I don't know his motivations for making it that day.
- 7 As you said, he was leaving two days later. I just think he
- 8 wanted to make it very clear before he left that that was
- 9 still the situation, as far as the Department was concerned.
- 10 Q. So, in other words, in the intervening period of
- 11 time between when he first made the statement and December
- 12 21st, none of the allegations that had been brought to the
- 13 Department, either by the President or that had appeared in
- 14 the public domain, had changed the conclusion that there was
- 15 no evidence of widespread election fraud?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. I want to ask you real quickly about a document just
- 18 to make sure that I understand what it is and kind of where it
- 19 fits into the chronology. So if you could pull up the
- 20 document that is designated
- 21 Majority 4.
- 22 (Exhibit 3, email, was
- marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. And this is an email exchange between you and
- 25 Ken Cuccinelli on December 18th. On December 18th, he

- 1 forwards you a one-pager that appears to summarize the Antrim
- 2 County allegations we were just discussing. And then later on
- 3 in the email chain -- and this is the document numbered -689
- 4 at the bottom -- you respond to Cuccinelli, and you say that
- 5 you understand the limited scope of the document he has
- 6 attached and will be sure the AG knows that.
- 7 You then, I think, go on on the final page of
- 8 the exhibit to ask Theresa Watson to forward the attachment to
- 9 the AG.
- Just to put this in context, is the one-page
- 11 document attached to Ken Cuccinelli's email to you, which you
- 12 then went on to ask be forwarded to the Attorney General, is
- 13 that the DHS kind of summary analysis that you were talking
- 14 about earlier?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And by "AG" in this series of emails, at the time,
- 17 you were referring to Attorney General Barr and not Mr. Rosen;
- 18 is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. So I'd like to ask you about another one of your
- 21 conversations with the President, and if you could pull up the
- 22 document labeled Majority 5.
- 23 (Exhibit 4, notes, was
- 24 marked.) BY
- MS. ZDEB.

- Q. And although you've said earlier something to the
- 2 effect of, I'm not a stenographer, this is one where there are
- 3 some pretty detailed notes.
- 4 So, for starters, I should ask are these
- 5 notes that you took?
- 6 A. Yes, they are.
- 7 Q. And at the top, there's a notation saying,
- 8 "12/27/20, DAG called, on with POTUS and wants to conference
- 9 me in."
- 10 So it sounds like the DAG, who was Mr. Rosen
- 11 at the time, was on the line with the President and wanted to
- 12 patch you in. Is that an accurate description of what
- 13 happened?
- 14 A. Yes, that's right. And because of the date, you
- 15 should just be aware that DAG Rosen, who I referred to
- 16 typically as "the DAG," was the Acting Attorney General
- 17 because AG Barr had left on the 23rd.
- 18 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. And by the way, just so I
- 19 am not completely confusing exhibit numbers, I think
- 20 Majority 5 can be designated --
- 21 Actually, Barb, what exhibit number are we on
- 22 right now? And if you don't know, we can come back and
- 23 clarify later.
- 24 (Discussion off the record.)
- BY MS. ZDEB.

- 1 Q. So turning back to these notes, which are -which
- 2 have now been marked as Exhibit 4, do you recall roughly what
- 3 time of day this call was?
- 4 A. It was sometime in the afternoon.
- 5 Q. And did you have a sense as to why Mr. Rosen wanted
- 6 to patch you in?
- 7 A. Yes. He called me that afternoon. It was on the
- 8 government cell phone, and I answered it. And he said
- 9 something to the effect of, "Hey, I'm on the phone with the
- 10 President. We've been on the phone for about 30 minutes.
- 11 He's talking a lot about some of these election allegations.
- 12 It would be very helpful if you were on the phone. Do you
- 13 mind if I patch you in?" And I said, "Of course."
- 14 And he then three-wayed me into the call.
- 0. Did you have a sense of why he thought it would be
- 16 helpful?
- 17 A. Generally, I knew more about the allegations and the
- 18 Department's work on the allegations than Acting Attorney
- 19 General Rosen did, because I was closer to U.S. Attorneys, I
- 20 was closer to the criminal division, I was very close to the
- 21 FBI deputy director. So I knew what we were looking at and not
- 22 looking at, for the most part.
- 23 And I knew that with regard to any major
- 24 allegations, I had a better sense of, you know, whether we had
- 25 dispelled them or where we were in the process, that sort of

- 1 thing. Not that we were necessarily going to report any or
- 2 all of that to the President, but he just felt that I had a
- 3 better handle on it, so I was useful to have sort of at his
- 4 side in a conversation like this.
- Q. Was anyone else on the line, to your knowledge?
- 6 A. Not that I know of.
- 7 Q. You said he had been on the line with the President
- 8 for some period of time before you got patched in. Did you
- 9 say three minutes or 30, 3-0, minutes?
- 10 A. He told me 3-0. So it wasn't like he immediately
- 11 rolled me in. It seemed like he was trying to have the
- 12 conversation with the President. And for whatever reason, at
- 13 that point, thought it would be better to have me on the line.
- 14 O. Once you joined, how long did the call last?
- 15 A. It was a long call. I'd say over an hour after I
- 16 joined.
- Q. Was this the first call of this nature, to
- 18 your knowledge, that the President had placed to
- 19 Mr. Rosen?
- 20 A. I don't recall specifically. He would be a better
- 21 position to say that.
- We had the meeting on the 15th, obviously. But
- 23 between the 15th and the 27th, I don't remember without
- looking at, you know, maybe emails or things that were
- 25 contemporaneous.

- Q. But certainly it sounds like this is the first one
- 2 that you would have been patched in to, whether there were
- 3 other intervening calls or meetings or not.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So in a second, I want to walk through aspects of
- 6 the notes. But before I do that, I'm wondering if you can
- 7 just give us kind of a thumbnail sketch, if you will, of the
- 8 overall tenor of the call.
- 9 A. The President was going on at length about some of
- 10 these allegations. It was similar to some of things he said
- on the 15th about "This election has been stolen out from
- 12 under the American people. Are you guys aware of this or that
- 13 allegation? Are you taking it seriously?" That sort of
- 14 thing.
- 15 And the President did the vast majority of
- 16 the talking. And we sort of were taking the approach of
- 17 saying, you know, "Yes, we're aware of it," or if we're not,
- 18 admitting that "Well, we haven't heard that one before."
- 19 And, basically, DAG Rosen and I had
- 20 conversations in advance of this, and even in advance of the
- 21 15th of, saying our approach is going to be to say to the
- 22 President we're doing our job. "Yes, sir, we understand.
- 23 We're doing our job." And try to leave it at that as much as
- 24 possible.
- 25 So the President was well-versed in these

- 1 various allegations. It certainly seemed that people were
- 2 providing these allegations to him and he was then relaying
- 3 them to us. There was at least one allegation in here that I
- 4 had not heard of before, and, frankly, that was the reason for
- 5 me taking notes, in case he came up with something that we had
- 6 not heard of before. We ultimately did check that out, but it
- 7 did not support any conclusion that there was a problem with
- 8 the election.
- 9 Q. On the very first page of your notes, there's a
- 10 notation toward the top about Scott Perry, and then in
- 11 parenthesis, I think it says "PA." And then it says, "Senator
- 12 from PA Doug Mastriano."
- 13 What was the President's point in raising
- 14 these two individuals, if you recall?
- 15 A. I don't remember exactly, but I generally remember
- 16 that the President said something to the effect of "there are
- 17 officials all over the country who are raising issues about
- 18 the elections."
- 19 This one obviously was Pennsylvania.
- And he said, for instance, you know, you've
- 21 got Scott Perry in Pennsylvania and the State Senator
- 22 Mastriano. I think the State had had some hearings, or
- 23 something to that effect, both Pennsylvania and I think
- 24 Georgia did something similar.
- So the President was saying that these local

- 1 officials, or I guess in Scott Perry's case, a federal
- 2 official, had all kinds of information about all kinds of
- 3 fraud and problems and things that had impacted the election.
- 4 O. There's also a reference to Jim Jordan on this first
- 5 page. Did the President raise him in a similar vein? In
- 6 other words, as someone who said or was advancing claims of
- 7 election fraud?
- 8 A. He made a passing reference to Jim Jordan.
- 9 You can see I wrote there, "Fighter."
- 10 And the President said something to the
- 11 effect of, you know, "People were trying to address this
- 12 problem, Scott Perry and Mastriano. Jim Jordan, he's a big
- 13 fighter, but they can't do it in their own capacities," or
- 14 something to that effect. You know, they all had this limited
- 15 authority and limited responsibility.
- So he did mention him, but it was very much
- 17 in passing.
- 18 Q. How did you interpret the rejoinder to that?
- In other words, if Congressman Scott Perry or
- 20 Congressman Jim Jordan couldn't do as much as the President
- 21 wanted in their own limited capacity, was he suggesting that
- the Department had a greater capacity?
- A. He didn't say that specifically, but, you know, he
- 24 would say again, similar to what he said on the 15th,
- 25 essentially, "My God, are you guys aware of this? Have you

- 1 seen this? Have you seen these reports? Did you hear about
- 2 this hearing in
- 3 Pennsylvania?"
- 4 And then he would go through various
- 5 allegations, like you can see I have 205,000 votes there. He
- 6 said that, you know, according to what he's being told from
- 7 Pennsylvania, there were 205,000 more votes certified than
- 8 were actually cast. That, in fact, was an allegation I had
- 9 not heard before. And, frankly, it's why I started taking
- 10 notes because I realized the President was going to raise some
- 11 things that maybe I had not heard before.
- And so then he went through the 600,000 votes
- 13 added. And you can see, you know, throughout the notes, he
- 14 raises various things.
- So, again, sort of in keeping with his tone of "are
- 16 you guys aware of this," that's how he was discussing it in
- 17 this call. But he was -- he was concerned, certainly. And
- 18 starting to get -Q. But -- I'm sorry. Finish your thought.
- 19 A. No. The President was pretty, you know, adamant
- 20 about there being a major problem, in his view, or a series of
- 21 problems with the election.
- 22 O. So it seems like, at some point, he then did go on,
- 23 at least according to your notes, to specifically invoke and
- 24 perhaps even complain about the Department and the FBI. You
- 25 have a notation here saying, "People are angry blaming DOJ

- 1 for inaction."
- 2 Am I reading that correctly?
- 3 A. No. That's right. He did say that.
- 4 Q. And then later on down the page of your notes,
- 5 there's a notation about U.S. Attorney's Offices and FBI. And
- 6 then on the third page of your notes, which is Bates numbered
- 7 -737 at the bottom, you have a notation here saying, "DOJ
- 8 failing to respond to legitimate complaints/reports of
- 9 crimes."
- Is that -- am I -- have I deciphered your
- 11 notes correctly there?
- 12 A. Yes, he does say that.
- 13 Q. So I hear what you're saying in terms of the way it
- 14 sounds like the President would typically present the various
- 15 allegations of election fraud. But as you're sitting there
- listening to this, and you hear the complaints about the
- 17 allegations, you then hear him say, "Jim Jordan and Scott
- 18 Perry are great, but they are limited in their capacity in
- 19 terms of what they can do." And he then goes on to say, "DOJ
- 20 is failing to respond to legitimate complaints."
- So when you put all of those things together,
- 22 I'm curious how you interpreted it, right?
- 23 Irrespective of the specific words the President may have
- 24 used, how did you interpret that as a commentary on what the
- 25 Department should or should not be doing, in his view?

- 1 A. He was certainly complaining about what he thought
- 2 to be the Department's lack of action. His displeasure was
- 3 clear. He felt that we should be doing things that, in his
- 4 mind, at least, we weren't doing.
- 5 Q. I'm getting close to the end of my hour. So let me
- 6 just ask one or two more quick questions in the vein of
- 7 deciphering some of your handwriting before we take a quick
- 8 break.
- 9 There's a notation again on the third page of
- 10 your notes about -- it seems to be about Georgia. And it says
- 11 -- I think it says, "Tape" something "shows fraud."
- 12 Can you elaborate on what that -- what that
- 13 statement or allegation was?
- 14 A. Sure.
- This relates to Georgia. He was going
- 16 through the different states and he was sort of rattling off
- 17 these various theories and allegations. With regard to
- 18 Georgia, he said, "the tape," meaning the videotape at the --
- 19 I believe it's the State Farm
- 20 Arena -- "shows fraud."
- 21 Here it says "Ruby Freeman." And the word
- 22 next to that is "Huckster." Then he says, "Closed the
- 23 facility and then came back with hidden ballots under the
- 24 table." I have an ellipsis there to show that he kept talking
- 25 about that particular claim, and I heard him make that claim

- 1 on numerous occasions.
- 2 He said "The networks," meaning the
- 3 television networks, "magnified the tape and saw them running
- 4 them through repeatedly, " which was -- the President seemed to
- 5 be of the view that the videotape in the State Farm Arena
- 6 showed that the ballot workers were taking the same ballots
- 7 and running them through the machine repeatedly, I suppose, to
- 8 rack up votes for one candidate versus the other.
- 9 Ms. Zdeb. I've reached the end of my hour,
- 10 so why don't we go off the record and take a quick break. And
- 11 then we can come back and my colleagues on Senator Grassley's
- 12 staff will have their turn.
- 13 (Discussion off the record.) Ms.
- 14 Zdeb. It is 10:24, and we can go back on
- 15 the record. And, with that, I will turn it over to my
- 16 colleagues on Senator Grassley's staff.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 18 Q Thank you, Mr. Donoghue. Thank you for your time
- 19 today.
- 20 Can you hear me okay, first of all?
- 21 A. I can.
- 22 O. Great.
- I'd just like to introduce Minority 7 as Exhibit 5,
- 24 I believe. This is Bates-stamped -744 to
- 25 -750.

- 1 (Exhibit 5, Description
- 2 , was marked.)
- 3 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 4 Q. You can pull that up and let me know.
- 5 A. I have it.
- 6 Q. So with reference to the December 28, 2020, email on
- 7 Bates -745 from Jeffrey Clark to you and Jeffrey Rosen, it
- 8 says in part that the draft Clark letter would have been sent
- 9 to the "Governor, Speaker and president pro temp of each
- 10 relevant state to indicate that in light of time urgency and
- 11 sworn evidence of election irregularities presented to courts
- 12 and to legislative committees, the legislatures thereof should
- 13 each assemble and make a decision about Elector appointment in
- 14 light of their deliberations."
- And then let's move back to Bates -744, the
- 16 first page in this exhibit. And I'm going to reference to
- 17 your email dated December 28, 2020, in response to Clark's
- 18 email that I just referenced and where you rejected the
- 19 letter. And with the following handwritten at the top right
- 20 corner, if you see that, it says, "This letter was opposed by
- 21 A/AG + OLC. Discussed with POTUS on January 3, 2021, and he
- 22 rejected AAG Clark's idea to send it."
- 23 Sir, are these your handwritten notes at the
- 24 top right corner?
- 25 A. No, I have no idea who wrote that.

- 1 Q. Is it accurate to say that A/AG refers to
- 2 Acting Attorney General?
- 3 A. If I didn't write it, I don't know, but I would
- 4 assume that's correct.
- 5 Q. And would you assume that OLC refers to the
- 6 Office of Legal Counsel?
- 7 A. Yes, I would assume that.
- 8 Q Were you in this referenced January 3, 2021, meeting?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So is it accurate to say that along with
- 11 President Trump, the Acting Attorney General and the
- 12 Office of Legal Counsel rejected sending the draft
- 13 Clark letter?
- 14 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And is it correct to say that you also opposed
- 16 sending the draft Clark letter, based on your email here?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, with respect to the January 3 meeting, based on
- 19 your recollection, who was in that meeting?
- 20 A. I entered the meeting after it was already underway.
- 21 But when I entered, in the Oval Office were the President;
- 22 White House Counsel Pat Cipollone;
- 23 Deputy White House Counsel Pat Philbin; the Acting
- 24 Attorney General Jeff Rosen; Jeffrey Clark, who was the
- 25 Acting Assistant Attorney General in charge of the

- 1 Civil Division; Steve Engel, who was the Assistant
- 2 Attorney General in charge of the Office of Legal Counsel; a
- 3 White House lawyer named Eric Herschmann; and myself.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 So is it accurate that -- is it accurate to
- 6 say then that both you and Rosen provided advice and
- 7 recommendations relating to this draft Clark letter in that
- 8 meeting, and that you provided advice and recommendations to
- 9 the President?
- 10 A. Yes, we did.
- 11 Q. And President Trump -- is it accurate to say that
- 12 President Trump accepted your advice and recommendations as
- well as those of the Office of Legal Counsel's?
- 14 A. At the end of the meeting, yes, he did.
- 15 Q. Now, Trump isn't a lawyer; correct?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. So he listened to the advice of the lawyers in the
- 18 room; is that right?
- 19 A Yes. Yes, he got conflicting advice, and he made a
- 20 decision.
- Q. And where did that conflict exist?
- 22 A. Basically, the only one -- the only one advocating
- 23 for this letter or for a change in department leadership was
- 24 Jeff Clark, and everyone else in the room was adamantly
- 25 opposed. The President heard out both sides for several hours

- 1 and then made a decision.
- 2 O. And he made a decision on -- and correct me if I
- 3 misunderstood you. He made a decision on two fronts, then; on
- 4 one front, it had to do with the draft Clark letter, and on
- 5 the second front, it had to do with replacing Rosen.
- 6 Did I hear you right?
- 7 A. Correct. That meeting was largely about the
- 8 leadership change or the potential for leadership change. And
- 9 at that point, it was very difficult to separate that decision
- 10 and the leadership change from whether this letter would be
- 11 sent, because Jeff Clark was pretty clear that he wanted to
- 12 send this letter and he intended to send the letter, and he
- 13 would send the letter if he became the Acting Attorney
- 14 General. So they sort of went hand in hand.
- Q. I see. Okay. So then the President, President
- 16 Trump, rejected not just the draft Clark letter, but he
- 17 rejected firing Jeff Rosen and replacing him with Jeff Clark?
- 18 A. Yes, that's right.
- 19 Q. So with respect to that advice that you and
- 20 Rosen rendered and the President's ultimate decision related
- 21 to that advice, did he ever instruct you or Rosen to engage in
- 22 illegal activity?
- 23 A. I'll leave the legal conclusions to others. Since
- I'm a fact witness, I'm happy to relate to the extent I recall
- what was said and done, but I don't think it's appropriate for

- 1 me at this point to make legal conclusions about whether the
- 2 President's activities were in violation of any laws.
- Q. Well, that wasn't my question. So let me restate it
- 4 to make sure I articulated it properly.
- 5 So I said, with respect to the advice that
- 6 you and Rosen rendered at that January 3rd meeting and the
- 7 President's ultimate decision related to that advice that you
- 8 rendered, did he ever instruct you or Rosen to engage in
- 9 illegal activity based on that advice?
- 10 A. Again, with the caveat that others can decide
- 11 whether or not the instructions, if any, were legal or
- 12 illegal, I did not perceive it to be that, at the time,
- 13 certainly.
- 14 O. So the ultimate decisions that the President made
- 15 here is, one, he's rejecting sending the Clark letter, which
- 16 was your advice; and he's rejecting firing Rosen, which was
- 17 also your advice.
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. So I don't see, frankly, anything illegal about
- 20 those two decisions. And those were based on the
- 21 recommendations that were provided to him by the Department
- 22 lawyers in the room and, I guess, other folks in the room.
- 23 So is that a correct accounting of
- 24 January 3rd?
- 25 A. That is a correct summary of the President's

- 1 ultimate decisions, yes.
- Q. I think in your testimony earlier with Sara you
- 3 mentioned a December 15th and a December 31st, 3-1 or 2-1,
- 4 meeting with the President?
- 5 A. 3-1. It was New Years Eve.
- 6 Q. Okay. So we have a January 3rd, 2021, and a
- 7 December 15th, 2020, and a December 31st, 2020, meeting with
- 8 the President.
- 9 Did you meet with the President in person at
- 10 any other times relating to election fraud matters?
- 11 A. No, those were the three times that we met at the
- 12 Oval Office in relation to election fraud.
- Q. Other than this December 27th phone call that Rosen
- 14 looped you in on, were there any other phone calls that you
- 15 had with the President?
- 16 A. I've had a number of phone calls with the
- 17 President over the last few years --
- 18 Q. Well, I should say relating to election fraud. I
- 19 apologize for interrupting, but I should have been more
- 20 precise here.
- 21 But with respect to, you know, the election
- 22 fraud-related issues.
- 23 A. Right. He called me on a morning of
- 24 December 28th, which was essentially a follow-up to the
- 25 December 27th late afternoon call. It was a very short call.

- 1 I was still in my apartment. It was probably around 8:00 or
- 2 8:15.
- The phone rang. I answered it. It was the
- 4 President. And he said something to the effect of "I don't
- 5 know if I mentioned this last night."
- And he said something, and I don't recall
- 7 specifically what it was, but whatever it was, he had
- 8 mentioned it the night before.
- 9 And I said, "Yes, sir. You did raise that."
- 10 And he said. "Okay. I just wanted to make
- 11 sure I didn't forget that." And he hung up.
- So it might have been the Pennsylvania claim
- 13 that there were more ballots certified by the Secretary of
- 14 State than were actually cast. I think that was it, but I'm
- 15 not a hundred percent certain. But whatever it was, it was
- 16 something he had raised the night before.
- 17 We had a very brief phone call, and that was in
- 18 relation to the 28th.
- 19 Also, on the night of the 3rd, after the Oval
- 20 Office meeting, he called me around 10 p.m. or 11:00, or
- 21 somewhere around there. And he related that he had just
- 22 received information that a DHS Special Agent had a truckful
- 23 of ballots, or shredded ballots, that he had in his custody
- 24 somewhere in Georgia outside of Atlanta.
- 25 And he wanted to make sure that we were aware of that.

- 1 And I basically said, "Sir, I haven't heard
- 2 that. If it's a DHS agent, remember they don't belong to DOJ.
- 3 But if they have an issue that they need our assistance with,
- 4 they certainly know how to contact us.
- 5 I'm sure that will happen, if appropriate."
- 6 And he said, "Okay. Can you just make sure
- 7 Ken Cuccinelli knows about it?"
- 8 So I followed up with Ken Cuccinelli that
- 9 night a few minutes later, and I said, "Just be aware. The
- 10 President called me and he said there is an agent" -- by a
- 11 specific name, the President actually had the agent's name --
- 12 "who had some custody of a truck with ballots in it in
- 13 Atlanta."
- 14 And Ken didn't know about this either. And he
- 15 said, "Thanks. I'll look into it." And that was it.
- We also had a call not specifically about
- 17 election fraud, but the President did call me on December
- 18 14th, the day that AG Barr submitted his resignation.
- 19 Q. So with respect to the most recent allegation that
- 20 you mentioned, the DHS connection, the matter down in Georgia,
- 21 did the Justice Department and FBI interface with DHS to run
- that allegation down?
- A. Eventually, yes.
- Q. And how did that turn out?
- 25 A. Other people would be in a better position to give

- 1 you the specifics. But as I recollect it as I sit here today,
- 2 there was a truck that had shredded ballots in it, but I
- 3 believe the answer was that the County Board of Elections was
- 4 shredding ballots in the course of their usual procedures,
- 5 their records retention procedures, and that these were
- 6 ballots from past elections.
- 7 So to make room for the ballots for the 2020
- 8 election, which they stored in warehouses, et cetera, they
- 9 were clearing out old ballots. And, yes, a truck picked up
- 10 ballots, and, yes, ballots were shredded, but these all
- 11 related to an old election.
- 12 And I think that the FBI -- I know that the FBI and
- 13 DHS and the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta worked on that,
- 14 so they would have better information and recollection about
- 15 it. That's what I remember.
- Q. During the course of your conversations with the
- 17 President, it was -- was it apparent to you that he truly had
- 18 concerns with respect to the validity of the election, and he
- 19 did want to run these allegations down?
- One way or the other, he wanted to know
- 21 whether these allegations were true or not. Is that accurate?
- 22 A. I think that's a fair characterization of the way he
- 23 presented these things, yes.
- Q. So in these conversations, then, that you mentioned
- 25 -- I'm not going to go through each one. I don't think we

- 1 have enough time today to go line by line with respect to
- 2 every sentence that was uttered, but did you offer the
- 3 President advice or recommendations, generally speaking,
- 4 during the course of these interactions, with respect to those
- 5 allegations?
- Is there a way that you can generally speak
- 7 to your role here?
- 8 A. It wasn't so much advice or recommendations on
- 9 specific allegations, because the President, you know, wasn't
- 10 looking to make decisions about the specific allegations. He
- 11 was presenting it as, "Are you guys aware of this, X, this
- 12 allegation?"
- And we would either say yes, sir, or no. And
- 14 the vast majority of the time the answer was "Yes, we knew.
- 15 We're aware of that."
- And then, again, as I said earlier, we would
- 17 reiterate, "Sir, we're aware of it. We know our job.
- 18 We're doing our job."
- 19 And then he would go through, you know, other
- 20 allegations, and we would say, "Yes, sir. We're aware of that
- 21 one as well. Got it. Thank you." That kind of thing.
- 22 So we weren't providing advice so much to the
- 23 President on the individual allegations, but we were informing
- 24 him throughout the course of these conversations that what we
- 25 had seen to date and what we were seeing did not change AG

- 1 Barr's assessment of the reliability of the election.
- Q. Mr. Donoghue, did you take any action to overturn
- 3 the 2020 election results?
- 4 A. Absolutely not.
- 5 Q. Did the Attorney General Rosen -- Acting Attorney
- 6 General Rosen take any action to overturn the
- 7 2020 election results?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did President Trump fire Acting Attorney
- 10 General Rosen?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did President Trump fire you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 O. Did President Trump fire anyone at the Justice
- 15 Department or FBI relating to his frustration that more wasn't
- 16 being done to investigate election-related allegations?
- 17 A. No, not at all.
- Q Does the President have the authority to fire any U.S.
- 19 Attorney?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Who is Patrick Hovakimian?
- 22 A. Patrick Hovakimian was the chief of staff to
- 23 Deputy Attorney General Rosen when I first came to Washington
- in July of 2020. In the next few months, somewhere in there,
- 25 the fall, perhaps, he was no longer the chief of staff because

- 1 he was nominated to be the Inspector General for the
- 2 intelligence community. And the hope, the expectation was
- 3 that he would get confirmed and he would leave the Department.
- And, as a result, AG Rosen brought in someone
- 5 else to be his chief of staff. But Pat remained on the ODAG,
- 6 the Office of the Deputy Attorney General's staff throughout
- 7 the end of the term because his nomination never went forward.
- Q. Was Mr. Hovakimian ever in any meetings with you and
- 9 the President, or any phone calls with you and the President?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Was Mr. Hovakimian at the level within the Justice
- 12 Department, as far as titles are concerned, to ever meet with
- 13 the President?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to the majority 5 document.
- 16 I guess this was the exhibit -- it's already been used as an
- 17 exhibit. Majority 5 was Exhibit 4.
- 18 Some of the documents that the majority
- 19 labeled as "Majority," as Sara and I have already discussed,
- 20 they are also minority exhibits. We just didn't want to
- 21 double them up.
- Let's go to Majority 5. And let's turn to
- 23 specifically page -- excuse me, Bates -736.
- Sir, let me know when you're there.
- 25 A. I'm there.

- 1 Q. And these are your notes; correct?
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. If you look down at the bottom, I believe it says,
- 4 "People won't have confidence in the Georgia
- 5 Senate races."
- 6 Is that something the President said?
- 7 A. I wrote that down. It's actually not a quote, so
- 8 it's not the exact words. But he did say that, yes, in sum
- 9 and substance.
- 10 Q. So is it fair to say as part of his concerns with
- 11 respect to the election fraud and crime-related allegations,
- 12 that part of his concern was the sanctity of the Georgia
- 13 Senate races?
- 14 A. Yes, he did say that.
- 0. Okay. Let's turn to Bates -737.
- Notes say -- I believe Sara referenced this
- 17 earlier in her first hour, "DOJ failing to respond to
- 18 legitimate complaints/reports of crimes."
- So I note the President's apparent use of the
- 20 word "legitimate." Are the notes accurate in that regard,
- 21 based upon your recollection?
- 22 A. Yes. Again, this is not a quote, but that is what
- 23 the President said. And he reiterated that several times.
- 24 O. So the volume of voter fraud and election crime-
- 25 related allegations that the President had received at that

- 1 time and which were publicly reported on at that time, was it
- 2 unreasonable under the circumstances for the President to have
- 3 such concerns regarding potentially legitimate complaints and
- 4 reports of crimes?
- 5 A. Well, there were a lot of allegations. There were
- 6 many allegations relating to concerns about the election. So
- 7 I'm sure the President was aware of those, as I think we all
- 8 were. We, the Department, took them one at a time.
- 9 So I can't really opine as to whether or not
- 10 the President's view was well founded based on all of them,
- 11 but I understood it to be that he was concerned about these
- 12 allegations, and whether or not the election was somehow
- 13 tainted by fraud.
- 14 O. So in the same vein, then, was it unreasonable under
- 15 the circumstances for the President to question what the
- 16 Justice Department and its components were doing to
- 17 investigate legitimate complaints and reports of crimes?
- 18 A. I don't think it was unreasonable for him to
- 19 question it. But, again, we tried to allay his concerns by
- 20 saying, "Yes. We're aware of it. We're doing our job."
- Q. Would you agree with me -- or I shouldn't say "with
- 22 me," I don't want to imply here, but maybe the cat is out of
- 23 the bag already. But would you agree that the President's job
- 24 is to keep -- part of his job is to keep executive agencies in
- 25 check and ensure that they are doing all that they need to do

- 1 on behalf of
- 2 the American taxpayer?
- 3 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- 4 Q. Okay. Let's go to the bottom of -737. I might need
- 5 your assistance here in translating it, potentially. At the
- 6 very bottom, does the sentence say, "FBI will always say
- 7 nothing there, leaders there oppose me, SAs support me"?
- A. That's what it says. Again, it's not a quote, but
- 9 it's a summary of what the President was saying.
- 10 Q. Right. And for the record, I want to note that when
- 11 I'm using quotes, I'm literally citing directly from the
- 12 document. And I understand these may not be direct quotes
- 13 from that conversation in question.
- 14 A. Right.
- 0. Okay. So this statement, then, does it indicate to
- 16 you that the President maintained a certain amount of distrust
- 17 with the FBI?
- 18 A. Yes. At least, the leadership.
- 19 Q. Now, did that distrust contribute to the President's
- 20 concern about how legitimate complaints and reports of crimes
- 21 were being handled by the Justice Department and the FBI?
- 22 A. It may have played into his view, but I
- 23 really can't speak for him on that.
- Q. Let's turn to Bates -738. So towards the bottom, I
- 25 believe there's one sentence here that says the President

- 1 allegedly said, and I'm quoting directly from the notes here,
- 2 "statistically impossible for me to lose."
- Is that a correct reading?
- 4 A. Yes, that's what I wrote.
- 5 Q. In the interactions you had with the President, did
- 6 he often refer to statistical analysis when discussing vote
- 7 results?
- 8 A. I do recall him saying that several times in several
- 9 meetings. Yes, it was statistically impossible given where he
- 10 stood on election night.
- 11 Q. All right. Let's stay on -738. There's a
- 12 reference to DAG at the bottom -- delta, alpha, gamma --
- 13 and that was in reference to the Acting
- 14 Attorney General Rosen; correct?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 So Rosen allegedly said this to the
- 18 President: "We'll look at whether have more ballots in PA,"
- 19 standing for Pennsylvania, I assume, "than registered voters -
- 20 should be able to check on that quickly, but understand that
- 21 the DOJ can't + won't snap its fingers + change the outcome of
- the election, doesn't work that way."
- 23 And then underneath, it has the letter P.
- 24 "P" responded -- who I presume is the President.
- 25 Is that correct?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. I'm sorry, can you say that again? The audio popped
- 3 out.
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Okay. So the President's alleged response
- 7 then, if you go from -738 to -739, "Don't expect you to do
- 8 that. Just say that the election was corrupt + leave the rest
- 9 to me and the R Congressmen."
- Is that a correct reading?
- 11 A. Yes, that's right. And that's an exact quote from
- 12 the President.
- 13 Q. So the President didn't expect the Justice
- 14 Department to change the outcome of the election, then, based
- on these notes. Is that your interpretation of these notes?
- 16 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. Did Mr. Rosen ever look at whether there were more
- 18 ballots in Pennsylvania than registered voters?
- 19 A. Yes, we did.
- 20 O. And how did that turn out?
- 21 A. The answer was that the delta between the number of
- 22 votes certified by the Secretary of State, which is
- 23 approximately 5.25 million, and the 5 million figure that was
- 24 being provided to the President, that difference arose from
- 25 the fact that the database that reflected 5 million votes cast

- 1 was incomplete. It was missing, I believe, data from four
- 2 counties in
- 3 Pennsylvania.
- 4 So once those four counties rolled their
- 5 information into the database, the number of votes cast
- 6 matched the number of votes certified by the Secretary of
- 7 State. So that's how that was resolved.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 So let's move to Bates -741. Same
- 10 conversation, same notes.
- 11 According to the notes, the President said,
- 12 "You," as in Rosen, "Rich," as in you, Mr. Donoghue, "should
- 13 go to Fulton County + do a signature verification, and you'll
- 14 see how illegal it is. You'll find tens of thousands."
- Did you and Rosen ever go to Fulton County?
- 16 A. We did not.
- 17 But just to be clear, he wasn't speaking to
- 18 AG Rosen at that point. He was speaking to me. He said,
- 19 "You, Rich, should go to Fulton County."
- So he wanted me personally to go to Fulton County.
- 21 That didn't involve the Acting Attorney General.
- 22 O. Very good. I appreciate that clarification.
- Do you know if anyone from the Justice Department
- or its components did a signature verification of Fulton
- 25 County?

- 1 A. You'd have to check with the U.S. Attorney's Office
- down there, and perhaps the FBI, but I know that the U.S.
- 3 Attorney's Office in the Northern District of Alabama looked
- 4 at a lot of the allegations relating to Fulton County.
- 5 Q. And who would be the official in charge of that?
- 6 A. At that time, it was BJay Pak, who was the U.S.
- 7 Attorney for the Northern District of Georgia.
- 8 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 9 So with respect to the statement, then, why
- 10 do you think the President wanted his chief -- one of his
- 11 chief law enforcement officers to be boots on the ground in
- 12 that geographic area?
- 13 A. I can only take him at his word that if you go down
- there and look at this, as he said there, you'll find tens of
- 15 thousands of -- I think he meant illegal votes.
- Q I think I'm done with this exhibit, for the time being.
- 17 I'd like to better understand the Justice
- 18 Department and FBI in their vetting protocol and procedure and
- 19 the intake process, with respect to how these voter fraud
- 20 allegations and reports of crimes were handled.
- 21 So as a threshold matter, sir, which units
- 22 within the Justice Department handle election crime
- 23 allegations?
- A. Primarily, the U.S. Attorney's Offices are
- 25 responsible for any allegations that arise in their

- 1 jurisdictions, whether it be election fraud or other crimes.
- 2 Each U.S. Attorney's Office has what they call a DEO, a D-E-O,
- 3 District Election Officer. They are sort of the lead for the
- 4 United States Attorney for election matters. So each office
- 5 would have a DEO.
- 6 The FBI field office has a corresponding
- 7 agent. I forget what they called them, but there's a lead
- 8 agent for election matters.
- 9 So these can come in in a variety of ways. It can
- 10 come directly to the FBI. They could come directly to the
- 11 U.S. Attorney's Office, or they might arise in different
- 12 ways. But primarily, the U.S.
- 13 Attorney's Office would work with the FBI to check these
- 14 things out.
- 15 Also, it's important to understand that
- 16 within Main Justice, we have the Public Integrity Section, and
- 17 within the Public Integrity Section is a unit called the
- 18 Election Crimes Branch. So ECB is within PIN, and they also
- 19 get involved. Their role is more consulting. They don't
- 20 necessarily have approval authority, but they work in
- 21 conjunction with the U.S.
- 22 Attorney's Offices on these matters when they come in.
- Q. Thank you for that.
- So with respect to the Public Integrity Section,
- 25 who was in charge of that section during the 2020 election?

- 1 A. A DOJ employee attorney named Corey Amundson,
- 2 A-m-u-n-d-s-o-n.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 And with respect to the Election Crimes Branch that
- 5 you mentioned, who was in charge of that branch during the
- 6 2020 election?
- 7 A. Initially, it was a DOJ attorney named Richard
- 8 Pilger, P-i-l-g-e-r. As I recollect,
- 9 Mr. Pilger stepped down from that position after AG Barr
- 10 issued his November 9th memo relating to election
- 11 investigations. I believe he remained in ECB, but that one of
- 12 his deputies was the acting chief of that section from that
- 13 point forward.
- 14 O. Do you recall why he resigned?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what was that reason?
- 17 A. Well, he said that he resigned because he was
- 18 opposed to AG Barr's 11/9/20 memo. Again, he did not -- he
- 19 presented this as a resignation, but, in fact, he didn't
- 20 resign from the Department. He simply stepped down from that
- 21 position. It was very public. There were articles about it.
- 22 There were emails about it.
- I believe he submitted a letter of some sort
- 24 that was very quickly leaked to the press. And it raised
- 25 concerns because it left the perception that the Department

- 1 was somehow doing something improper when, in fact, all along,
- 2 what AG Barr wanted to make sure was that we were in a
- 3 position to assess whether or not the election had been
- 4 affected by fraud.
- 5 Q. Did Mr. Pilger's decision to resign and the
- 6 subsequent leak -- obviously, I don't know who leaked the
- 7 document -- but the subsequent leak of his resignation, did
- 8 that frustrate the Department's ability to properly operate
- 9 the Election Crimes Branch consistent with AG Barr's November
- 10 memo?
- 11 A. Yes, it definitely did. It put the AG in a poor
- 12 light publicly, which was totally unwarranted. People
- 13 misunderstood the memo. They misunderstood what the AG was
- 14 trying to do. And the immediate leak of the email, the memo
- 15 and the related documents was not helpful to what the
- 16 Department was trying to do at that time.
- 17 Q. Now, generally speaking, just for the record, since
- 18 we're referencing the Barr memo, I'm going to paraphrase this.
- 19 I don't think that this is an exact recitation from the memo
- 20 itself, but, generally speaking, what Barr did is he
- 21 authorized department officials to pursue substantial
- 22 allegations of voting and vote tabulation irregularities prior
- 23 to the certification of elections in your jurisdictions, in
- 24 certain cases.
- So the difference, then -- correct me if I'm

- 1 wrong -- the difference here is that he wanted action to occur
- 2 prior to certification of elections. And prior to this memo,
- 3 the standard operating procedure was to wait until after
- 4 certification of elections to then dig into these election-
- 5 related issues and, potentially, crimes.
- Is that correct, generally?
- 7 A. That's generally right, yes. Again, this is
- 8 all very fact-specific. It was always guidance, so there were
- 9 always exceptions.
- 10 But the general practice of the Department,
- 11 as per ECB, Election Crimes Branch, was to wait until the
- 12 certification was done because, in their view, what the
- 13 Department should be doing is prosecuting things after the
- 14 fact in an attempt to deter misconduct in future elections.
- 15 AG Barr's focus was on the current election
- 16 and making sure that we, the Department, were doing what we
- 17 could in real time to ensure that the American people could
- 18 have confidence in the outcome. So there was a disconnect
- 19 there, and it created issues.
- 20 Q. So did Mr. Pilger, when he was in his position at
- 21 ECB, did he have the authority to green-light investigative
- 22 steps for election-related investigations?
- I'm trying to figure out the amount of
- 24 authority and power that he had in that position prior to
- 25 resignation.

- A. So pursuant to the justice manual, the ECB had
- 2 consultation roles. So the U.S. Attorney's Office was
- 3 supposed to consult with ECB, and they both reported up to the
- 4 DAG's office. So if was there a disconnect, either side could
- 5 report up and say, "Well, we don't think these guys should
- 6 proceed this way, " or something to that effect.
- 7 The problem was that ECB routinely implied
- 8 that they had approval authority. And so the DEOs, those
- 9 District Election Officers in the U.S. Attorney's Office, were
- 10 extremely reluctant to proceed without the approval of ECB.
- 11 Furthermore, ECB communicated directly with
- 12 the Public Corruption Branch at FBI. And those FBI agents
- 13 were, again, extremely reluctant to proceed without ECB's
- 14 explicit approval. And since ECB was not on the same page
- 15 with AG Barr and they clearly disagreed with AG Barr's
- 16 determination, they were, at best, dragging their feet and
- 17 maybe more to keep these investigations from going forward, in
- 18 my view.
- 19 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. I want to pull up an
- 20 additional exhibit. We got this exhibit late last night from
- 21 DOJ, and I believe it was sent to you guys late last night as
- 22 well.
- Let me pull up the Majority -- I think this
- 24 is labeled Majority -- I think it's labeled Majority 25.
- It's the December 7, 2020, email, sir.

- 1 It's between you and David Bowdich.
- 2 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I have it.
- 3 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I believe we are at -- I
- 4 believe this is Exhibit 6.
- 5 (Exhibit 6, email, marked.)
- 6 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 7 Q. So you -- I believe you began to explain some of the
- 8 State Farm-related allegations. This email, this December 7,
- 9 2020, email between you and David Bowdich references a -- some
- 10 State Farm Arena allegations. I think you referred to them
- 11 earlier in the first hour.
- 12 Can you just restate them here again for the
- 13 record, based on your recollection, as to what they were.
- 14 A. Generally, my recollection was that there were
- 15 claims that at the State Farm Arena, election officials, Board
- of Election officials, said or did something that caused the
- 17 observers to leave. And after the observers departed, the
- 18 election officials then produced a suitcase full of ballots,
- 19 and that they began running those ballots through the
- 20 tabulation machines, and that they ran them through
- 21 repeatedly.
- 22 So there were a couple different pieces to
- 23 that allegation. One was that there were these sort of false
- 24 or fake ballots that were produced. And another was that it
- 25 was run through multiple times. And then, of course, that the

- 1 observers were somehow lured away. That's basically what that
- 2 allegation was.
- 3 And because there were video cameras in the
- 4 facility, we, the FBI and others, were able to go back and
- 5 look at exactly what had happened.
- 6 Q. So how did this matter resolve, based upon your
- 7 recollection?
- 8 A. So the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Northern
- 9 District of Georgia looked at this. They worked with the FBI.
- 10 You can see from this email that there's some frustration
- 11 because of the Election Crimes Branch attitude that I
- 12 described earlier.
- But, ultimately, the witnesses who were there
- 14 were interviewed. I can't remember if FBI did the
- 15 interviews alone or they did them in conjunction with State
- 16 authorities. But whatever it was, they reviewed -- I
- 17 believe it was about 15 hours of videotape.
- 18 The witnesses were all interviewed. And the
- 19 conclusion was that the observers left because of an error on
- 20 the part of someone in the media who said, "It looks like
- 21 they're done for the night."
- 22 And I think that was reasonable because I
- 23 believe the intent was to finish their tabulations for the
- 24 night. I think it was around 10 p.m. or something like that.
- 25 They thought they were done. They began sort of wrapping

- 1 things up and closing up their equipment.
- 2 Someone in the media pool made this comment
- 3 that "It looks like they're done for the night." The
- 4 observers left. They were then contacted by other State
- officials that said, "Hey, we got a lot of work to do. Don't
- 6 shut down this early."
- 7 So they then went back to tabulating ballots.
- 8 The allegation about a suitcase being brought
- 9 in apparently stemmed from the fact that these ballots were
- 10 kept in wheeled bins. Those wheeled bins were just used as a
- 11 matter of routine in that facility. And I think if you go
- 12 back and watch the video from the beginning, you can see
- during the day workers opening absentee ballots, taking them
- 14 out of the envelope and placing them in the bins.
- So there was no suspicion from the fact that
- these were ballots were contained in the wheeled bin. That's
- 17 just the way they moved them around the facility.
- Also, the video, I'm told, showed that they
- 19 did not feed them through repeatedly, and that that would not
- 20 have worked anyway because of the barcoding on the individual
- 21 ballots.
- 22 So we looked at the allegation. We had FBI
- 23 go through the videotape. We had interviews done of all the
- 24 witnesses, and the U.S. Attorney in the Northern District
- 25 reported back to me that there was nothing on that video,

- 1 nothing to these allegations that should cause us to question
- 2 what happened in that facility or the outcome of the Georgia
- 3 election.
- 4 Q. So this email thread begins with an email from Corey
- 5 Amundson on December 7, 2020, at 12:34 a.m. And he says in
- 6 part, "As explained below, PIN," the Public Integrity Section,
- 7 "does not concur in any overt investigative activity,
- 8 including the proposed interviews."
- 9 Based on your recollection, how many times
- 10 did the Public Integrity Section provide a nonconcurrence to
- 11 potential investigative activity relating to the 2020
- 12 election, prior to the election certification?
- 13 A. There was several times it came up. I can't
- 14 remember exactly, but this was not an isolated incident.
- 15 Q. Now, when those nonconcurrences came up, did
- 16 Main Justice override them?
- 17 A. In some instances, yes. It wasn't really a matter
- 18 of overriding because they didn't have the authority to
- 19 disapprove. Again, you know, the way they framed this
- 20 sometimes creates confusion.
- U.S. Attorney doesn't need their concurrence.
- 22 They have to consult with them. Certainly, PIN or the U.S.
- 23 Attorney's Office was free to raise it up the chain of command
- 24 if they had concerns.
- Q. So with respect to the nonconcurrences, did any

- 1 nonconcurrence halt investigative activity by FBI or other DOJ
- 2 units?
- 3 A. I believe there was one time that I recollect as I
- 4 sit here now, that there was a dispute between PIN and the
- 5 U.S. Attorney's Office. It was not in Georgia. And DAG Rosen,
- 6 who might have been the Acting AG at the time, decided that
- 7 while some limited action would be permitted, that generally
- 8 the U.S. Attorney's Office would not be permitted to go
- 9 forward at that point in time.
- 0. Which state was this?
- 11 A. Florida.
- 12 Q. Florida?
- Do you recall the allegations?
- 14 A. I do. But, you know, with all due respect to the
- 15 questioning, it may be an ongoing matter, so I don't think I
- 16 can comment on that.
- Q And who was the U.S. Attorney on this matter at this
- 18 time?
- 19 A. Larry Keefe.
- 20 Q. So Rosen provided him instructions to perform some
- 21 actions, but not other actions?
- 22 Mr. Weinsheimer. On behalf of the
- 23 department, I'm indicating that at this point, we've gone
- 24 beyond the authorization, and the witness has already
- 25 indicated it may relate to an ongoing matter. He's just not

- 1 authorized to speak about ongoing matters.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- Q. In your email to Bowdich, you stated,
- 4 "Unfortunately, this is a continuation of a policy
- 5 disagreement between the Election Crimes Branch of PIN, " the
- 6 Public Integrity Unit, "and the AG," Attorney General.
- 7 So you noted earlier that there were a couple
- 8 examples, couple times where PIN and the Election Crimes
- 9 Branch did kind of frustrate investigative steps. So this use
- of the word "continuation" does imply repeated conduct.
- I'm wondering if you can get into more
- 12 specific numbers as to how many times your efforts were
- 13 frustrated by the Election Crimes Branch and the Public
- 14 Integrity Unit to proceed with investigative activity prior to
- 15 certification.
- A. I can't put a number on it, but it was a small
- 17 handful of times. And I think they were elevated in the way
- 18 that this one was.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then my same question would be for after
- 20 certification. So after certification occurred, did you still
- 21 have this frustrating relationship with PIN and the ECB?
- 22 A. I can't recall specifically as I sit here whether it
- 23 was all before or it split between pre and post-certification.
- 24 I don't remember, but this was an ongoing issue, really,
- 25 throughout the election cycle.

- 1 Q. At the time you left the Department, had this
- 2 tension that we described here today, based upon these emails
- 3 in the record, did that tension still exist with the Public
- 4 Integrity Unit?
- A. Well, the issue was still there, but, obviously, the
- 6 election was over and certified and all that. So the
- 7 immediate concern was just no longer relevant.
- Q. So specifically, sir, you know, as we focus here on
- 9 the Public Integrity Unit and Barr's November memo altering
- 10 this policy to be more aggressive and forward-leaning, are you
- 11 able to specifically mention or name any specific department
- 12 personnel that worked to frustrate the Barr memo, the November
- 13 Barr memo?
- Mr. Weinsheimer. On behalf of the
- 15 department, I object. He shouldn't be getting into specific
- 16 individuals other than those that he's already mentioned.
- 17 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. So he's mentioned
- 18 Richard Pilger. Can he get into more detail in his actions
- in potentially undermining the November Barr memo?
- 20 Mr. Weinsheimer. Again, I would object. I
- 21 think that's outside the scope of the authorization at this
- 22 point.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. No, I do think it's in
- 24 scope, so we'll put a pin in that.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

- Q. So with respect to the Public Integrity Unit, you
- 2 had mentioned that there was a similar unit at the
- 3 FBI that they interfaced with.
- 4 Do you remember the FBI unit precisely?
- 5 A. I believe it's called the PCB, the Public
- 6 Corruption Branch. Could be wrong about that.
- 7 Q. Do you recall who was in charge of that branch
- 8 during the 2020 election?
- 9 A. I knew at the time, but I just don't remember as I
- 10 sit here today.
- 11 Q. Did the Justice Department and FBI open any election
- crime investigations after the 2020 election was
- 13 certified?
- A. I can't say with certainty as I sit here, because I
- 15 was gone shortly after the election was certified. But I
- 16 would assume the answer is yes.
- 17 Q. So with respect to the process where you guys --
- 18 "you guys" being the Justice Department and the FBI --
- 19 received voter fraud allegations and reports of crimes, was
- 20 there a general protocol and process as to how the intake went
- 21 and how it moved up the chain? Or was it fairly an ad hoc
- 22 process?
- You know, maybe, for example, generally
- 24 speaking, did an allegation go into the FBI, the FBI vets it
- 25 and then moves it up to DOJ? Or was there an intake, you

- 1 know, process specifically for Justice
- 2 Department proper?
- A. It could come in in a variety of ways. They could
- 4 come directly to the FBI, in which case the FBI election agent
- 5 would coordinate with DEO, and they would staff it as needed
- 6 depending on the allegation.
- 7 It could also come in directly to the U.S.
- 8 Attorney's Office. One of the things that we do, as a routine
- 9 matter in preparation for elections, is we have U.S.
- 10 Attorney's Offices all issue a press release, and they
- 11 identify who the District Election Officer is for the U.S.
- 12 Attorney's Office; actually put their name out there publicly
- 13 and how they could be contacted.
- 14 So if someone had an allegation, say, on
- 15 Election Day, you can pretty easily find out who the DEO was
- in your district and report it to that person directly, if
- 17 need be.
- 18 Q. Understood. Thank you.
- So with respect to the Justice Department, do
- 20 you know how many personnel were responsible for vetting voter
- 21 fraud and election crime allegations during the 2020 election?
- A. Well, at a minimum, you'd have a DEO in each of the
- 23 94 U.S. Attorney's Office, so that's 94 AUSAs right there.
- 24 Obviously, they have supervisors, criminal chiefs, U.S.
- 25 Attorneys and that kind of thing, who would have

- 1 responsibility.
- Then you would have the Election Crimes Branch,
- 3 which I would guess was probably somewhere between 15 and 20
- 4 trial lawyers at that time, and then their chain of command.
- 5 And then, of course, you'd have the FBI side of things with
- 6 investigators. So you're talking a few hundred people, at
- 7 least.
- Q. And from a data standpoint for the 2020 election, do
- 9 you know how many voter fraud and election crime allegations
- 10 were received by the Justice Department and the FBI
- 11 respectively?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. So after -- at what point does the Public Integrity
- 14 Section get involved in a voter fraud allegation? I mean, do
- 15 they reach down into the FBI? Does the FBI go to them as a
- 16 matter of course? I mean, how does that relationship work?
- 17 Because it sounds like the Public Integrity
- 18 Section, and the ECB, specifically, are in the pipeline of
- 19 this process to approve investigative steps, whether or not
- their nonconcurrence or concurrence is given weight. They're
- 21 in the pipeline.
- 22 So I'm wondering are they basically the
- 23 buffer between the FBI and you and Rosen? How do they fit in
- 24 the scheme?
- A. No. They're not between the FBI and us, but they do

- 1 coordinate very closely with the FBI's public corruption
- 2 branch. So what would typically happen is you'd have
- 3 something originate either in a FBI field office or U.S.
- 4 Attorney's Office, and then the appropriate people in those
- offices would make notifications up their chain of command.
- So on the FBI's side, a notification would go
- 7 up to the Public Corruption Branch. On the U.S.
- 8 Attorney's Office side, a notification would go to ECB and
- 9 say, "Hey, we're looking at opening an investigation about an
- 10 allegation of X."
- 11 So they would be aware of it. If I remember
- 12 correctly, they can do preliminary steps of some sort, perhaps
- 13 without a notification, but the typical way this developed was
- 14 those notifications would be made. And so you would have the
- 15 two components in Washington aware that something was actually
- 16 out there and being worked.
- 17 O. I see. So when the FBI does the interfacing with
- 18 the Public Integrity Section and the ECB, in the process you
- 19 just described, if the FBI does not go around PIN and ECB to
- 20 apprise you, as was done here with Bowdich in the December 7,
- 21 2020, email, is there a chance that you, up the food chain,
- the leadership chain at DOJ, are not going to be aware of some
- 23 of the FBI's frustrations downstream with the Public Integrity
- 24 Section?
- A. It's possible. But I would say that Dave Bowdich,

- 1 as the deputy director, was very hands on. And Dave and I
- 2 worked very closely together. We spoke probably every day,
- 3 often several times a day. And he understood the AG's memo
- 4 and -- though, there was a lot of back-and-forth there, but
- 5 it's certainly possible that could be.
- 6 O. My time is running a little bit short here, and I'm
- 7 not going to move on to another exhibit. I'm going to wait
- 8 for my second hour, but I do want to ask a couple more
- 9 questions about PIN and ECB.
- 10 So you got Corey Amundson, who is above
- 11 Richard Pilger, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. So how did those two interact? Did those two get
- 14 along, or did they often have disagreements with respect to
- 15 how things should proceed forward?
- And I see I'm going to get another
- 17 objection here from DOJ.
- 18 Mr. Weinsheimer. I'm going to object. I
- 19 think that is beyond the scope and talks about prosecutorial
- 20 deliberations. Outside the scope.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 22 O. So I'll say it this way, then. With respect to
- 23 Richard Pilger and the public complaints that are attributed
- 24 to him with respect to the Barr memo, were those complaints,
- 25 those feelings, those concerns that

- 1 Pilger had, did they permeate through the Public
- 2 Integrity Unit, or were they specific to Mr. Pilger?
- 3 Mr. Weinsheimer. Again, same objection. I
- 4 don't think it's within the scope of the opposition.
- 5 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think it might be within
- 6 the scope because the DOJ provided records relating to the
- 7 questions that I am asking.
- 8 Mr. Weinsheimer. With respect to the
- 9 communications between Corey Amundson and then Dave Bowdich
- 10 and Mr. Donoghue. So those are what's within the scope.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 12 Q. So, Mr. Donoghue, with respect to Corey
- 13 Amundson, did Mr. Bowdich -- with respect to this December 7,
- 14 2020, email, did Mr. Bowdich send other emails like this to
- 15 you relating to his concerns about the Public Integrity
- 16 Section?
- 17 A I don't specifically recall other emails like this, but
- 18 we did have conversations about things like that.
- 19 Q. Okay. So you had some phone calls or maybe other
- 20 emails with Mr. Bowdich about his concerns relating to PIN?
- 21 A. Yeah, I don't recall other emails. But as I said, I
- 22 spoke to Dave Bowdich probably every day in this time period,
- 23 and this was not limited to this specific instance.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. So I have five
- 25 minutes left, but I don't want to move on to the next exhibit.

- 1 I'll wait for my second hour.
- 2 So, sir, thank you for your time. I may
- 3 return to some of these issues that we just discussed later on
- 4 today.
- But for now, Sara, I'll turn it over to you.
- 6 Ms. Zdeb. Thanks, Josh.
- 7 Mr. Donoghue, Counsel, would you like to take
- 8 another quick break before I start my next hour?
- 9 Mr. Andres. That would be great. Thank you.
- 10 (Recess)
- 11 Ms. Zdeb. It is 11:37, and we can go back on
- 12 the record.
- 13 BY MS. ZDEB.
- 14 O. Mr. Donoghue, I wanted to just ask a couple more
- 15 questions about the email and the issues that my colleague was
- 16 just exploring with you at the end of the last hour. I think
- 17 this was Exhibit 6, which is the exchange involving you and
- 18 Dave Bowdich and Corey Amundson.
- 19 So you spoke a little bit about Richard Pilger.
- 20 Just so I'm clear, he is, and was at the time, a career
- 21 employee in PIN. Is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that.
- Q. Do you know about how long he had been in the
- 24 position as head of the Election Crimes Branch?
- 25 A. I believe it was 10 years at that point.

- Q. And is it fair to say he is viewed as an expert on
- 2 election crimes within the Department?
- Mr. Weinsheimer. I think, once again, we're
- 4 going outside the scope of the authorization. I'd object to
- 5 further questions about Mr. Pilger.
- 6 Ms. Zdeb. Well, I'm sticking to the scope of
- 7 the questions that my colleagues in the minority just asked.
- 8 And I have a few -- I have a few questions pertaining to
- 9 questions to which you did not object.
- Mr. Weinsheimer. That one, I don't think is
- 11 within the scope in terms of whether or not he's an expert.
- Ms. Zdeb. We can move on.
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- 14 O. Just so I'm clear, it seems like the dispute, Mr.
- Donoghue, that you were describing in this email and
- 16 describing a little while ago essentially boiled down to a
- 17 difference of opinion between Mr. Pilger, the career head of
- 18 the Election Crimes Branch, and the Department's political
- 19 leadership, when it came to the appropriate way to conduct
- 20 these investigations.
- 21 Is that accurate?
- 22 A. To some extent, yes. But keep in mind that I was
- 23 the senior career person in the Department at that time, even
- though I had previously been political as an
- 25 U.S. Attorney. And certainly, I shared the AG's view that we

- 1 need to be more proactive at this time period, given this
- 2 unique election. So it wasn't just career versus political.
- 3 Q. Understood.
- 4 A. There were legitimate differences of opinion, and
- 5 there were merits to both sides of the argument, I understood
- 6 that. And I think I reflected that in my email.
- 7 Q. Right. And specifically, as I read your email, it
- 8 sounds like it boiled down to a policy dispute, to use your --
- 9 or policy disagreement, to use your words, between the
- 10 Election Crimes Branch's historic position that overt
- investigative steps should be avoided, to the extent possible,
- 12 because they have the potential to inject the Department
- 13 itself into the election, on the one hand; and on the other
- 14 hand, the different view that Attorney General Barr, and it
- 15 sounds like others including yourself, were espousing at the
- 16 time.
- 17 Is that a fair assessment of the policy
- 18 disagreement?
- 19 A. Yes, that's generally correct.
- Q. And I think you said in response to my colleague,
- 21 that at the end of the day, this dispute manifested itself in
- 22 a handful of instances. And that at the end of the day, there
- 23 was only one in which Mr. Rosen -- and I'm paraphrasing you --
- 24 took the side of PIN. Is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And, in any event, with respect to either that one
- 2 particular instance or the instances in which the FBI and the
- 3 Department were permitted to take overt investigative steps
- 4 consistent with Attorney General Barr's memo, I would imagine
- 5 that in none of those cases did the Department uncover
- 6 evidence that changed Mr. Barr's conclusion that there was no
- 7 widespread evidence of election fraud sufficient to change the
- 8 outcome of the election. Is that correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. Let's move back really quickly to Exhibit 4, which
- is your notes from the December 27th conversation with the
- 12 President. And I had just a couple additional questions.
- On the third page of that document, which is
- 14 numbered -737, you have a line saying -- you have a line
- 15 saying "You guys are not following the Internet the way I do,"
- 16 and you've included quotation marks around that one.
- 17 Am I correct in thinking that that means that
- 18 that was a direct quote, as you transcribed it at the time?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And I believe you also said, in response to my
- 21 colleague's question, that the language starting at the bottom
- of the next page, which is Bates -738 and continuing on to the
- 23 next page, which said, "Don't expect you to do that, just say
- 24 the election was corrupt and leave the rest to me and the R
- 25 Congressmen" -- you also indicated that that also was a

- 1 direct quote. Is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. What did you take the President to mean when he told
- 4 you and Mr. Rosen to "Just say the election was corrupt and
- 5 leave the rest to me"?
- A. So the last part of that, "leave the rest to me and
- 7 the Republican Congressman," I understood that to be that they
- 8 were pursuing a political process on the Hill, whereby the
- 9 allies of the President would be challenging some of the
- 10 electoral college votes. That obviously has nothing
- 11 whatsoever to do with the Department. And so that's what I
- 12 think he was referring to. That's what I took it as at the
- 13 time.
- I think there was already a lot of discussion
- 15 about whether there would be challenges to the electoral
- 16 college votes of certain states. That had happened in
- 17 previous elections. I believe that happened in 2004 and
- 18 2000. So that does happen. Again, that's got nothing
- 19 whatsoever to do with the Department.
- The earlier part was that the President
- 21 wanted us, the Department, to say that it was corrupt. And,
- 22 again, I wrote it down as a quote. He said what he said. So
- 23 you can read it for yourself.
- Q. Shortly after that -- let me just pull up the page -
- 25 there is a -- there's a notation in here on the following

- 1 page toward the bottom of -740. Again, it looks like a direct
- 2 quote because you've used quotations.
- 3 "We have an obligation to tell people that
- 4 this was an illegal corrupt election."
- 5 And then after that, not in quotes, you
- 6 wrote, "People tell me Jeff Clark is great. I should put him
- 7 in."
- B Did -- well, first of all, am I reading that
- 9 correctly?
- 10 A. Yes, you are.
- 11 Q. Did the President indicate when he said this how he
- 12 knew Mr. Clark?
- A. No. That was the first I ever heard of a reference
- 14 of Mr. Clark in relation with any election matters.
- 15 O. And did you have an understanding of what the
- 16 President was getting at when he said, "People tell me he's
- 17 great. I should put him in"?
- 18 A. I understood that the President was referring to
- 19 possibly putting him in a leadership position in DOJ;
- 20 obviously, above where he currently was. I was surprised at
- 21 the reference to Jeff Clark, though.
- 22 O. Why were you surprised?
- A. Because I hadn't heard his name in connection with
- 24 this before. And as the head of the Civil
- 25 Division, and then, earlier, the head of the

- 1 Environmental and Natural Resources Division, he simply didn't
- 2 have anything to do with the Department's election
- 3 responsibilities.
- 4 Q. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about some
- 5 subsequent documents involving
- 6 Congressman -- Pennsylvania Congressman Perry, who we briefly
- 7 mentioned before because he comes up at the start of the notes
- 8 from the December meeting that we were just discussing.
- 9 So if you could pull up -- and just for the
- 10 sake of time I'll do these together, Majority 6 and Majority
- 11 7.
- 12 A. Yes, I have them.
- 13 Ms. Zdeb. So we can mark Majority 6 as
- 14 Exhibit Number 7.
- 15 (Exhibit 7, email, was marked.)
- 16 Ms. Zdeb. And Majority 7, not to get
- 17 completely confusing, will be he Exhibit No. 8.
- 18 (Exhibit 8, notes, was
- marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. So Exhibit Number 7 is an email, an email that you
- 21 sent to U.S. Attorney Brady, but you were forwarding an email
- 22 that you received from
- 23 Congressman Perry on December 27 at 8:42 p.m.
- 24 And then the other document, Exhibit 8, is
- 25 handwritten notes from a conversation dated

- 1 December 28, 2020.
- 2 So let's start with the notes first. Is this
- 3 your handwriting?
- 4 A. It is. And I should clarify, I apologize, but those
- 5 notes are misdated. That would be the 27th.
- 6 Q. That's what I thought. Thank you for that
- 7 clarification.
- 8 A. Yeah, I received this call from
- 9 Congressman Perry while I was in the vehicle traveling back to
- 10 Washington that night. And it was before he sent me this
- 11 email. So sometime before 8:42.
- 12 Q. And I noticed in the set of handwritten notes that
- 13 we were just discussing from your call with Mr. Rosen and the
- 14 President, that there was a notation at the end where you were
- 15 asked to provide your cell phone number so the President could
- 16 direct people to call you, in essence.
- So when you received this call from Congressman
- 18 Perry, did you take it as sort of the follow-up to that
- 19 exchange that you had had with the President?
- 20 A. Yes, I assumed the President or someone else passed
- 21 my cell phone number to Congressman Perry, and he called me.
- Q. Was anyone else on the call?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And had you ever spoken to Congressman Perry before
- 25 this call?

- 1 A. No. I had never heard of him before that day.
- 2 Q. So you didn't have any awareness at this point of
- 3 his role in the Stop the Steal campaign?
- 4 A. No. I think the first time I had ever heard
- of him was when the President mentioned him on the call
- 6 earlier that day.
- 7 Q. So it says at the start of the notes, "POTUS asked
- 8 him to call."
- 9 And then a couple lines down from that your
- 10 notes say, "Likes Jeff Clark a lot. Thinks he would do
- 11 something about this."
- Is that a notation describing what Congressman
- 13 Perry was saying about Jeff Clark, or was it --
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q Okay. And did he -- did he explain what he meant by
- 16 that?
- 17 A. I don't remember exactly, and I didn't put this in
- 18 quotes, but I do remember, as reflected in the notes, that he
- 19 brought up Jeff Clark. And he said, effectively, "I think,
- 20 Jeff Clark is great. I like that guy a lot. He's the kind of
- 21 guy who could really get in there and do something about
- 22 this, " or something to that effect.
- Q. Did he -- did the Congressman give you an
- 24 understanding of how it was that he knew Jeff Clark?
- A. No, and I didn't ask.

- Q. Did it seem strange to you? I mean, you had just --
- 2 you had just said a minute ago that it seemed strange to you
- 3 that the President would be mentioning Jeff Clark, who I think
- 4 you said had a role in the Department that would not
- 5 ordinarily be involved in the sorts of things you were
- 6 discussing.
- 7 Were you equally surprised to hear Scott
- 8 Perry mention him?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. There's a notation immediately following the line
- 11 about how Scott Perry likes Jeff Clark a lot, that says "EG."
- 12 And then there's some text that is not completely clear to us.
- 13 And I'm wondering if you can just decipher that a little bit.
- 14 A. Sure. I apologize for the handwriting. It's bad to
- begin with, but I was he also in a moving vehicle at the time.
- So Congressman Perry was complaining
- 17 generally about the FBI. And he said, you know, for instance,
- 18 Awan Brothers, A-w-a-n is how I wrote it down. And it says
- 19 "HofR IT scandal," House of
- 20 Representatives IT scandal.
- 21 And he said something about the IG being
- 22 fired. He said, "We asked FBI to interview her and they," the
- 23 FBI, "told her not to bring anything. She feels like she was
- 24 the one under investigation. FBI doesn't do the right thing."
- This had nothing to do with the

- 1 investigation, but he was citing this I guess prior experience
- 2 with an FBI investigation to say that, you know, sometimes the
- 3 FBI doesn't handle things correctly. And that raised some
- 4 concern for him.
- 5 Q. And did that have anything to do with Jeff
- 6 Clark, or was that a separate train of thought?
- 7 A. No. But I think it formed some of the background of
- 8 why he was telling me that he felt that we, the Department,
- 9 needed to be more involved in the investigation.
- 10 Q. So after this call at, again, 8:42 in the evening on
- 11 what you have just explained is the same day, December 27th,
- 12 you received this email from Congressman Perry attaching a set
- of materials pertaining to various election fraud allegations
- 14 in Pennsylvania.
- Did you have a sense of why he was sending
- 16 this directly to you?
- 17 A. At the end of his conversation, he asked for my
- 18 email and said, "Can I send you stuff? We've got a lot of
- 19 evidence. We've got a lot of information about things going
- on in Pennsylvania. Can I send it to you?"
- 21 And then my last notation there is "Told him
- 22 we would, of course be willing to look at that, but thus far
- 23 we haven't seen fraud on the scale that changed the outcome in
- 24 Pennsylvania."
- So he said, "Well, I have stuff. I'd like to

- 1 send it to you."
- I said, "You're free to do that."
- I gave him my email. And then this
- 4 8:42 email from him is what followed. Probably an hour or two
- 5 hours later. I was still in the car.
- Q. Why did you then forward those materials to the U.S.
- 7 Attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania?
- 8 A. So as I said at the outset, the U.S.
- 9 Attorneys is the one primarily responsible for this.
- 10 I'm certainly not conducting investigations, right.
- 11 Scott Brady was the U.S. Attorney in Pittsburgh,
- 12 the Western District of Pennsylvania. We had three U.S.
- 13 Attorneys there. I didn't want to send it to all three of
- 14 them and have them sort of scrambling around. I knew Scott
- 15 best out of the three U.S. Attorneys. He'd been on the U.S.
- 16 Attorney General's Advisory Committee. I knew that he was, as
- 17 they all were, very, very competent.
- So I just forwarded it to Scott in part
- 19 because a U.S. Attorney had to be looking at this
- 20 thing, a U.S. Attorney in Pennsylvania. And I had full
- 21 confidence in his ability to handle it. So what I did was I
- 22 looked at it -- I couldn't read the whole thing because, as I
- 23 said, I was in the car. I was reading it on an iPhone. And
- 24 so I flipped through it quickly to get a feel for it, and then
- 25 I just forwarded it to

- 1 Scott with that notation that you see in the email.
- Q. And, to your knowledge, and without getting into the
- details of any particular investigative steps that the U.S.
- 4 Attorney may or may not have taken, did the U.S. Attorney
- 5 determine that any of these allegations were substantiated and
- 6 allegations that were sufficient to have impacted the course
- 7 of the election?
- 8 A. Scott and I talked about this over the following
- 9 days, and to the extent that these allegations related to the
- 10 things that would be properly within the Department's scope,
- 11 he felt that they were not well founded, and that they do not
- 12 call into question the outcome of the election in
- 13 Pennsylvania.
- I would note, however, though, that there
- were things in there that don't have anything to do with the
- 16 Department's scope, such as I think that there were complaints
- 17 that, for instance, the Governor and
- 18 the State Attorney General and perhaps the Secretary of State
- 19 changed voting procedures, and that that should have been done
- 20 by the State legislature. Maybe there's merit to the
- 21 argument, maybe not, but that wasn't an issue for the
- 22 Department. That was a legal issue for the campaign and the
- 23 candidates to pursue on their own.
- 24 But to the extent it said things like "there
- 25 were more votes certified than actually cast," those kinds of

- 1 things Scott said he had looked into it and that was not the
- 2 case.
- In fact, that's how I came to the conclusion
- 4 that there was nothing to that particular allegation because
- 5 Scott looked into it, and he came back to me, and he said,
- 6 "No, the database they were looking at is short data on four
- 7 counties, and that's why you have a delta."
- 8 Ms. Zdeb. My colleague asked you a little
- 9 bit about Mr. Clark's letter in the previous round. I'd like
- 10 to turn back to that now. And if you could pull up what has
- 11 been designated as Majority 8.
- Mr. Donoghue. Yes.
- 13 Ms. Zdeb. And we will mark that as Exhibit
- 14 Number 9.
- 15 (Exhibit 9, email, was marked.)
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- 17 Q. This is an email from Mr. Clark to you and Mr. Rosen
- 18 at 4:48 p.m. on December 28, 2020. The email subject line is
- 19 "Two urgent action items."
- I know you've already explained what role Mr.
- 21 Clark had at the Department at this time. I'm wondering if
- 22 you can just briefly characterize your professional
- 23 relationship with him. Was he someone that you worked
- 24 closely with?
- 25 A. It was fairly limited. When I went down there, I

- 1 got to know all of the AAGs because they all reported up to
- 2 the DAG. And as the PADAG, his deputy, I worked closely with
- 3 him. There were many, many meetings. So I knew him first as
- 4 the AAG of ENRD, and then later as the Acting, because I think
- 5 Jody Hunt left right around the time I went down there.
- 6 So I saw him on a regular basis, mostly
- 7 briefing the Deputy AG on civil matters and litigation that
- 8 was underway and things like that. They have a vast portfolio
- 9 that they were responsible for. Nothing having to do with
- 10 elections, but a lot of other things that were important to
- 11 the Department at the time.
- I think it's important to understand that Jeff
- 13 Rosen and Jeff Clark had a long professional and personal
- 14 relationship. They had known each other for, I think, 20
- 15 years or more, had worked together at a law firm, et cetera.
- 16 So Jeff Rosen knew Jeff Clark much better than I did, but I
- 17 did establish some sort of relationship with him when I went
- 18 down there.
- 19 Q. I know you said that you were surprised to hear his
- 20 name raised both by the President and by Congressman Perry the
- 21 day before.
- 22 Prior to sending this email, had Clark
- 23 reached out to you directly in any way about allegations
- 24 regarding the 2020 election, or was this the first direct
- outreach you received from him personally?

- 1 A. I'm pretty sure this was the first direct outreach.
- 2 There were discussions earlier in the day with Clark, but I
- 3 was not involved in those.
- 4 Q. What was your reaction to this request?
- 5 A. I was stunned.
- 6 Q. And I know your email response that my colleague was
- 7 asking about earlier, in essence, speaks for itself. But I'm
- 8 wondering if you can elaborate a bit on what about it stunned
- 9 you?
- 10 A. Well, again, the email speaks for itself and
- 11 captured my views at the time. But I had to read it several
- 12 times to make sure I understood what he was proposing. It
- 13 just struck me as being wildly inappropriate for the
- 14 Department to do the things that he was proposing. It's just
- 15 not what the Department does. It's not our role, and in
- 16 addition to it being completely at odds with what we had
- 17 determined in the investigations that we had conducted.
- So it was just factually wrong, and it was
- 19 not at all the Department's role to be dictating to states
- 20 what they should or should not be doing with regard to their
- 21 presidential elections.
- Q. Did you have a sense at this point -- I know that
- 23 his letter is drafted in a way that focuses on Georgia, but it
- 24 is titled "Proof of Concept," and I think he made it clear in
- 25 his transmittal that he was not intending it to be limited to

- 1 Georgia.
- 2 Did you have a sense of which other states he
- 3 was proposing to send a version of this letter to?
- 4 A. As I understood at the time, it was some of the
- 5 other swing states.
- 6 O. And we've -- you've been talking about this sort of
- 7 generically, and I understand you to be talking about the
- 8 proof of concept letter, but I should step back and note that
- 9 his transmittal email also had another suggestion in there
- 10 that was unrelated to the letter.
- 11 So he starts out with the statement that he
- 12 would like to have your authorization and Mr. Rosen's to get a
- 13 classified briefing from the Office of the
- 14 Director of National Intelligence on Foreign Election
- 15 Interference. He goes on to make some claims about Dominion,
- 16 including a claim that a Dominion machine accessed the
- 17 Internet through a smart thermostat with a net connection
- 18 trail leading back to China. He then goes on to say, "ODNI
- 19 may have additional classified evidence."
- 20 Did you have a particular reaction to that
- 21 aspect of his email?
- A. Yes. And without going into the details, I did not
- think that ODNI or anyone in the intelligence community would
- 24 have such information.
- Q. How did you respond to that aspect of his request? Did

- 1 you give him the go-ahead to reach out to
- 2 ODNI? Did you tell him "You shouldn't do that"?
- 3 A. I did not address it in my email. But shortly after
- 4 my response at 5:50, he was summoned to the Deputy Attorney
- 5 General's conference room, and the three of us met. So you're
- 6 talking at that point about Acting Attorney General Rosen,
- 7 myself and Jeff Clark. And we discussed both the letter and
- 8 his request for a briefing.
- 9 With regard to the briefing, he explained
- 10 that he felt the IC might have information that was relevant.
- 11 And I really didn't have any input because, before I said
- 12 anything, the Acting Attorney General said, "Well, look, if
- 13 you have the appropriate security clearances, you can get a
- 14 briefing. But I think you're going to see that there's no
- 15 reason for us to believe that."
- 16 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Clark contacted an
- individual named Dustin Carmack, who was the DNI's chief of
- 18 staff on January 1, to arrange a call?
- 19 A. Not until I saw the emails that were produced, I
- 20 guess, initially by the House committee in relation to this.
- 21 I was aware that there was some sort of briefing provided, but
- 22 I had nothing to do with approving it or arranging it or
- 23 anything. I just knew from discussions on January 2 that, in
- 24 fact, Clark had received a briefing.
- Q. Back to the Georgia proof of concept letter aspect

- of this email from Mr. Clark, before he sent the email to you,
- 2 Ken Klukowski, who I understand to have been a senior counsel
- 3 in the Civil Division, sent a copy of the letter to Clark.
- And that's an email that you're not on, so I
- 5 wouldn't expect you to have seen it, but I'm curious whether
- 6 you know who Ken Klukowski is?
- 7 A. I don't.
- 8 Q. Did you have a sense at the time as to whether Clark
- 9 was sort of a solo operator on this or whether he was working
- 10 with others either within the Civil Division or elsewhere
- 11 within the Department?
- 12 A. I had no reason to think anyone else in the
- 13 Department was working on this. I thought that he drafted
- 14 this letter himself.
- 15 O. So you mentioned Clark being summoned to a meeting
- in then-Acting Attorney General Rosen's office a bit later in
- 17 the afternoon, after you had sent the response that we've
- 18 already discussed.
- 19 Can you just give us a sense of how this
- 20 meeting unfolded? I imagine that you and Mr. Rosen pushed
- 21 back on his suggestions.
- 22 A. Yes. Just so you understand the background,
- 23 obviously we got the calls the night before the 27th, both the
- 24 President and Congressman Perry mentioned Jeff Clark. As I
- 25 said, Jeff Rosen and Jeff Clark had known each other for a

- 1 long time.
- We spoke both that night and I think the next
- 3 morning, and Acting AG Rosen said, you know, "I'm going to
- 4 talk to Jeff, " meaning Jeff Clark, "and find out what this is
- 5 all about, what's going on here."
- 6 So I believe there were one or more
- 7 conversations earlier that day that were then followed by this
- 8 email at 4:40. I responded at 5:50.
- 9 As I recollect, the DAG, the Acting AG, Jeff
- 10 Rosen, was at some place; he was busy or something. But I
- 11 responded without showing him or anyone else my response. I
- 12 simply drafted it and sent it.
- And then I went to his office, I think after I sent
- 14 it, and he was pretty upset with the proposal from Clark. And
- 15 he said, "I told so and so," one of his administrative
- 16 assistants, "to get him up here. I want him in my conference
- 17 room now."
- 18 So I understood that the communication had
- 19 gone out. Jeff Clark came up a few minutes later and the
- 20 three of us sat in the conference room and had a very
- 21 difficult discussion.
- Q. What was difficult about it?
- A. It appeared to me that Jeff Rosen was really floored
- 24 by the fact that someone he knew and whose professional
- 25 judgment he had trusted was proposing something like this. I

- 1 didn't know Jeff Clark well, and so I was not -- I was
- 2 certainly surprised. That someone in the Department proposed
- 3 something like this, but I had no personal relationship to
- 4 base this on.
- It got a little heated. I began telling Jeff
- 6 Clark that he had no business sticking his nose into this;
- 7 that he should remain with the portfolio he's got. Why was he
- 8 involved in this in the first place? Why are we hearing your
- 9 name from the President? Why are we hearing your name from
- 10 the Congressman? So on and so forth.
- It got a little heated, particularly toward
- 12 the end. I told him that I thought his proposal was,
- 13 essentially, wildly inappropriate and irresponsible. I told
- 14 him that what he was proposing was nothing less than the
- 15 Department meddling in the outcome of a presidential election.
- So it got fairly heated toward the end. And
- 17 Acting AG Rosen said, "Well, look. Let's just sort of move
- 18 forward here. Get your briefing, if that's what you want. I
- 19 think you'll see that there's nothing to be concerned about
- 20 from the intelligence community. And then, you know, we can
- 21 talk about this letter."
- 22 But he was very clear and I was very clear
- 23 that that letter was never going out.
- Q. Did Clark give you any sense during this meeting of
- 25 how he knew Congressman Perry?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. And did he indicate -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. He made some reference to being in communication
- 4 with the President. I think at this
- 5 point, he told us that he had been in the Oval Office. He
- 6 didn't explain how he got there. But I immediately said "You
- 7 violated the White House contact policy."
- 8 Q Did he say when he had -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 9 A. No. It wasn't clear. It wasn't clear how he got
- 10 there or exactly when he was in the Oval Office, but I'm sure
- it was in this meeting where he told us he had actually
- 12 physically been in the Oval Office.
- Q. Did he say anything during this meeting about a
- 14 willingness on the part of the President to install him in Mr.
- 15 Rosen's place?
- 16 A. He did make some reference to that. I don't
- 17 remember as specifically as the subsequent meeting on
- 18 January 2nd. But he did make reference to the President being
- 19 -- considering, I should say, a leadership change to the
- 20 Department.
- Q. Did he indicate whether the President was aware of
- 22 the proposed letter?
- 23 A. I don't remember him saying that, no.
- Q. After the meeting, you reached out to
- 25 Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal

- 1 Counsel Steven Engel to read him in to the situation.
- What was the purpose of doing that?
- 3 A. If you follow the Department's internal chain
- 4 of succession, you'll see that the people who were in place at
- 5 that time, if you go through them, the next one in line to the
- 6 Acting Attorney General, absent some action to the contrary by
- 7 the President, would be Steve Engel. There were other people
- 8 above the OLC AAG in the chain, but when you do that, you have
- 9 to remove everyone who is in an Acting position.
- So, for instance, the Solicitor General was
- 11 above the OLC AAG, but there was an Acting Solicitor General,
- 12 so you had to remove him from the chain. So if you follow the
- 13 chain, if Jeff Rosen got fired, the next person to become
- 14 Acting Attorney General would be Steve Engel. And I was
- 15 concerned that Steve be fully aware of what's going on in case
- 16 Jeff Rosen was fired and Steve ended up in the seat.
- 17 And so Jeff Rosen and I discussed like how
- 18 far we should allow information relating to this to get out.
- 19 We decided that it would be prudent to keep a very, very close
- 20 hold. But we agreed that Steve should know everything
- 21 because, if he's fired or we're fired and Steve ends up in the
- 22 seat, Steve needs to know that this is coming and how it came
- about.
- Q. I know at some point a bit later on, you expand the
- 25 circle of Department officials who you read into the

- 1 situation. Why did you want to keep it close hold at this
- 2 point?
- 3 A. We didn't expand the circle until the late afternoon
- 4 of January 3rd, but we wanted to keep a close hold because,
- 5 frankly, I thought -- we thought it would create friction and
- 6 maybe even panic within the leadership of the Department.
- 7 Ms. Zdeb. I'd like to turn to a meeting in
- 8 the White House that takes place the day after Clark sends
- 9 his letter. This is reflected in Majority 11, which I will
- 10 mark as Exhibit Number 10. (Exhibit 10, notes, was marked.)
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. Are these your notes?
- 13 A. I have it. Yes, these are my notes.
- 14 O. And these are notes of a December 29, 2020, meeting
- 15 with the Chief of Staff, White House Counsel, PC and PP, who I
- 16 imagine are Pat Cipollone and Patrick Philbin, the Deputy
- 17 Attorney General, you and Steven Engel. Is that correct?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. Did anyone else participate?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. And this took place at the White House?
- 22 Yes. In the Chief of Staff's office. That's
- 23 the only time I've been in his office.
- Q. What prompted this meeting?
- 25 A. I don't remember exactly why we were called over

- 1 there. There were a number of things the Chief of Staff
- 2 wanted to discuss. Some of which had nothing to do with the
- 3 election; they had to do more transition matters. But we went
- 4 over there, I think it was sometime in the afternoon.
- 5 Q. There's a reference to Mark Mathis [sic] and John
- 6 Eastman at the top of your notes along what a notation that "P
- 7 trusts," and then I can't read the rest out of it.
- 8 Could you clarify what that notation to those
- 9 two individuals signifies?
- 10 A. I think it says "P trusts their view." And the
- 11 first name is Mark Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n.
- 12 Q. There are then some notes a little farther down the
- 13 page on Pennsylvania election issue, which we've already
- 14 discussed. There's a notation about the original jurisdiction
- 15 complaint, which I'll have a couple more questions about in a
- 16 minute.
- 17 But it says here "U.S. does not have
- 18 standing. DOJ should talk to the Olsens (who filed similar
- 19 case)."
- So this is a reference to the proposal that
- 21 had just recently been sent to the Department to file an
- 22 original jurisdiction complaint in the Supreme Court akin to
- 23 the Texas versus Pennsylvania case. Is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And so, at this point, which I believe is just

- 1 shortly after you received -- you, the Department, had
- 2 received that complaint, it sounds like the Department is
- 3 sharing its view that the U.S. doesn't have standing.
- 4 Is that a correct interpretation of your
- 5 notes here?
- A. Yes, that's right. I don't know if we got the
- 7 written opinions from OLC and OSG at this point or not. But
- 8 they had shared their views and they followed up with some
- 9 short written submissions.
- 10 Q. And the reference to Pennsylvania just above that,
- 11 as I read your notes, it says, "Advised that we are looking at
- 12 the claim that there are more certifications than number of
- 13 voters."
- 14 And we've talked about that a bit before. I'm
- 15 curious how that came up in the context of this meeting. Did
- one of the White House participants ask you what you were
- 17 doing to look into that allegation?
- 18 A. I don't recall exactly. But I believe it was
- 19 raised by the Chief of Staff, and this is the issue I
- 20 mentioned earlier. So at this point, we obviously didn't have
- 21 the answer. So this is something he was working on. And I
- think we were just telling the Chief of Staff, "Yeah, we're
- aware of that and we're looking into it."
- Q. Did the Chief of Staff ask you to take any
- 25 particular actions, or was it just more in the vein of a

- 1 question about whether you were looking into it?
- A No. I think it was more along the lines of, you know,
- 3 "You guys know about this Pennsylvania claim, right?"
- And we said yes, because he wasn't on the
- 5 call with the President. So it was more of an echo of what
- 6 the President had told us two nights before.
- 7 Q. Then we get into a portion of your notes about
- 8 someone named Arturo D'Elia.
- 9 Had you heard of that individual at any point
- 10 before this meeting?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Were you aware that earlier in the day, Mark Meadows
- 13 had sent Mr. Rosen a letter from a company called USAerospace
- 14 Partners laying out the so-called Italygate theory?
- 15 A. I know I became aware of that letter. I just
- 16 don't if it was before this meeting or after. If it went to
- 17 the DAG, we worked very closely together. If he had it before
- 18 this meeting, likely I would have seen it, but I'm not sure.
- 19 Q. And how did Italygate and Arturo D'Elia, who as I
- 20 understand it is an IT contractor who was a part of the
- 21 Italygate conspiracy theory, how did those things end up
- 22 coming up during the course of this meeting?
- A. Again, this is something that I believe the Chief of
- 24 Staff raised. I had my notebook open right in front of me.
- 25 You can see I was taking notes, so I wrote down the name. I

- 1 believe this is actually a misspelling of the name, but that's
- 2 what he provided.
- 3 And he provided some information you see
- 4 reflected in the notes. The company is Leonardo, something in
- 5 relation to a facility in Pesaro, Italy.
- 6 "Under the protection of government agency in Italy. Sent
- 7 letter on his company stationery. Claims involvement in vote
- 8 changing in U.S. 2020. Claims in coordination with CIA
- 9 officers and Embassy." I have where the embassy is located in
- 10 Rome.
- Then something about a subsidiary, the USAerospace
- 12 Partners, that was a U.S. subsidiary of
- 13 Leonardo.
- 14 So this was a convoluted story to begin with,
- 15 and the Chief of Staff himself said, "Look, I'm not even sure
- 16 about this, or what I'm providing to you."
- 17 He just said, "This is what I understand it
- 18 is."
- Because that name, Arturo D'Elia, I don't
- 20 think is even in the letter. So he was relaying to us that he
- 21 had some information, it wasn't clear where it had come from,
- 22 but that he wasn't even sure what the story was supposed to
- 23 be. But it was a claim that an Italian company with the
- 24 knowledge of CIA using military-grade satellites was involved
- in changing vote tabulations in the U.S. presidential

- 1 election.
- Q. There's a notation here saying "RPD," which is you,
- 3 "to check his background with FBI."
- 4 Did somebody ask you to check his background
- 5 with the FBI?
- 6 A. Yes, we discussed it there. And the DAG told me to
- 7 do that. He said, "Just find out if we know anything about
- 8 this guy, if he shows up anywhere on the radar, " whatever it
- 9 is. So I did do that. I asked FBI to run his name.
- But as I've said, the name is misspelled. I
- 11 don't think we got anything back from the FBI.
- 12 Q. And did Mark Meadows ask you and the DAG to
- 13 look into this?
- A. He provided the information. I don't specifically
- 15 recall him asking me or anyone else to do something specific.
- 16 I don't think he asked me to run the guy's name. That was,
- 17 I'm pretty sure, from the Acting Attorney General who said,
- 18 "Just check this guy out. See what we know about him."
- 19 Q. Did you have an impression of why he was sharing the
- 20 information with you?
- 21 A. The Chief of Staff?
- 22 O. Yes.
- 23 A. I think he was just trying to pass along whatever
- 24 ended up on his desk, frankly. I think he was probably
- 25 getting a lot of these reports as well, and my impression was

- 1 he wanted to be able to say "I passed it along to the
- 2 Department."
- 3 Q. There's a note on the side of the page. Does that say --
- 4 does that mean John Demers working on this?
- 5 A. Right. So after I got back to the office, I called
- 6 John, who was the AAG for the National Security Division. I
- 7 gave him this name, "Arturo D'Elio," the way it's spelled
- 8 there. And I said, "Can you just find out if we know anything
- 9 about this guy? The DAG is asking us to looking into it."
- I don't remember what details I would have
- 11 given John about this allegation, but he said "Fine."
- 12 He took the name.
- 13 Q. I imagine this didn't strike you as a credible
- 14 allegation, did it?
- 15 A. It seemed pretty farfetched on its face. But,
- 16 again, we have to take each of these individually and try to
- 17 figure out what there is to support or refute it. And so I
- 18 asked the FBI to look into it.
- I actually just googled the name myself, and I
- 20 googled it in conjunction with "Leonardo," and that's how I
- 21 realized that the name was actually misspelled. I believe it's
- 22 D'Elia, ending with an A. And I found some public reporting
- 23 on the arrests of Arturo D'Elia, who was an employee or a
- 24 contractor of Leonardo, who was arrested in Italy in
- 25 conjunction with a scheme to exfiltrate data from the company.

- 1 I believe that scheme ran from, according to public reporting,
- 2 2015 to 2017. So at this time, it appeared that Mr. D'Elia
- 3 was sitting in a prison in Italy.
- 4 Ms. Zdeb. I want to jump ahead to the
- 5 original jurisdiction complaint for just a minute. If you
- 6 could pull up Majority 12, and this will be Exhibit 11.
- 7 (Exhibit 11, notes, was marked.)
- 8 BY MS. ZDEB.
- 9 Q. So Kurt Olsen is -- or was a private attorney who
- 10 reached out to the Department purportedly on behalf of the
- 11 President in connection with this proposed Supreme Court
- 12 action. And I'm just curious about a few aspects of these
- 13 notes.
- 14 For starters, are these your notes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And it says "DAG call with Ken Kohl" at the top.
- 17 Is that actually Kurt Olsen, or is it
- 18 somebody else?
- 19 A. Yes, I'm certain that should be Kurt Olsen, having
- 20 reviewed the emails and other things provided in advance to
- 21 this. So I apologize for the misidentification. There was a
- 22 great deal going on at that time.
- But, yeah, I believe this is a call with Kurt
- 24 Olsen. It took place in the DAG's office. He had him on
- 25 speaker phone, and I was there for the entire conversation.

- Q. And why -- why did the DAG agree to this call in the
- 2 first place? I think you had said earlier that the complaint
- 3 came in, at least the initial view by the time you had this
- 4 meeting in the White House where it was raised, the initial
- 5 view was, at the Solicitor
- 6 General's office, was that there was no merit to it.
- 7 Why entertain a call with Kurt Olsen to begin
- 8 with?
- 9 A. Mr. Olsen kept contacting the Department throughout,
- 10 at least that day and maybe the day prior. He dealt a number
- 11 of times with the Acting Attorney General's then-Chief of
- 12 Staff, John Moran. And I think he was looking for a meeting.
- 13 The Acting AG said, "I'm not giving this guy
- 14 a meeting," but ultimately decided to, you know, allow him to
- 15 call in, I suppose -- you know, I won't speculate too much,
- 16 but to say that, you know, we heard the guy out, something to
- 17 that effect.
- 18 Ms. Zdeb. Let me jump to one quick aspect of
- 19 a related document, which is Majority 13, which will be
- 20 Exhibit 12.
- 21 (Exhibit 12, document, was
- 22 marked.)
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. And this is a one-pager from the Office of Solicitor
- 25 General that was sent to Mr. Rosen with a cc to you on

- 1 December 30. The attached document, which is a one-pager,
- 2 contains a number of points, in essence, explaining why the
- 3 Department cannot bring this
- 4 original action.
- 5 And I want to just ask you about the third
- 6 bullet on that page, which says, "Prohibition on representing
- 7 interest of private parties."
- 8 When we were talking earlier about some of
- 9 the back-and-forth with the President during one of your early
- 10 meetings with him, you said, "Well, he would never say I want
- 11 you to take legal action on behalf of my campaign or me
- 12 specifically. You would be doing it on behalf of the American
- 13 people."
- 14 This bullet in the OSG one-pager says, "A
- 15 sovereign" -- and this is by way of explaining, I take it,
- 16 that there would be no standing for this lawsuit. It says,
- 17 "The sovereign may not resort to the Supreme Court's original
- 18 jurisdiction in the name of the sovereign, but in reality for
- 19 the benefit of particular individuals, a suit for the benefit
- 20 of a particular candidate would violate that rule."
- 21 So it seems to me that irrespective of how
- 22 the President may have been characterizing these sorts of
- 23 potential legal actions, it was the view of the Department
- 24 that such an action would be for the benefit of a particular
- 25 candidate as opposed to for the benefit of the American public

- 1 at large.
- 2 Is that a fair interpretation of this
- 3 portion?
- 4 A. I think it's fair to say it's one of the concerns
- 5 that the OSG raised. I didn't discuss this particular bullet
- 6 point with him, and I don't believe the Acting AG did. I
- 7 didn't do any research on this myself. We relied entirely on
- 8 OSG and OLC.
- 9 But, yeah, they raised that point. It's one
- of a variety of points. It doesn't drive the outcome. But
- 11 there are a number of reasons why the United States would not
- 12 have standing in their view, and that's one issue that they
- 13 raised.
- 14 O. Let me jump --
- 15 A. It's not necessarily the Department's view, but it's
- 16 an issue there.
- 17 Q. It's the view of the Office of Solicitor
- 18 General?
- 19 A. Yeah. Well, they identified as one of a number of
- 20 significant procedural hurdles. So, yeah, they raised the
- 21 concern.
- 22 O. Let me jump ahead to December 31st when I believe
- 23 there were a couple of meetings. And, again, this is back to
- 24 the issue with Jeffrey Clark.
- Was there another meeting between you, the

- 1 DAG and Mr. Clark on December 31st?
- 2 A. I know there was a meeting at the White House
- 3 that day. I don't -- there probably was, but I don't
- 4 specifically recollect a meeting with Mr. Clark.
- 5 Between Monday the 28th and Sunday, January 3,
- 6 there were a number of discussions and meetings. I certainly
- 7 remember the 28th in the conference room, and I remember
- 8 January 2nd as well as January 3rd. There may be a meeting
- 9 or two in between there, I just don't specifically recollect.
- 10 Q. So, I'm sorry, you don't specifically recall a
- 11 meeting in the Oval Office on the 31st?
- 12 A. Oh, no, I definitely recall that, but Jeff
- 13 Clark was not at that meeting.
- 14 O. I see. So the Oval Office meeting, there's a photo
- 15 that the Department has produced at that meeting. And it seems
- 16 to show that the participants, in addition to the President,
- 17 were Mark Meadows, Patrick Philbin,
- 18 Pat Cipollone, yourself and Mr. Rosen. Was
- there anyone else there?
- 20 A. No, that was everyone who was at that meeting.
- Q. And who initiated the meeting?
- 22 A. Not us. I don't remember exactly how it was
- 23 communicated to the DAG to come over to the White House. I
- 24 think the President returned from Florida that afternoon. And
- 25 shortly after his plane landed, the Acting Attorney General

- 1 was contacted and told to come over to the White House, with
- 2 me, specifically.
- 3 Q Did the Clark letter come up during the meeting?
- 4 A. Certainly, Clark came up. I don't know if we
- 5 specifically discussed the letter. But we discussed various
- 6 election allegations and the more general issue of whether the
- 7 Department leadership should be changed.
- Q. And so this was one of the meetings where there was
- 9 a discussion about the possibility of installing Mr. Clark in
- 10 place of Mr. Rosen; is that right?
- 11 A. It was part of the discussion, yes.
- 12 Q. And was that discussion a discussion that involved
- 13 the President? Did it involve Mark Meadows?
- 14 A. It involved everyone in the room, yes.
- 0. What was the President specifically saying about
- 16 should the Department's leadership be changed and
- 17 Jeffrey Clark?
- 18 A. The way I recollect it at that point, the
- 19 President's frustration was increasing. The conversation was
- 20 becoming more contentious. The
- 21 President said, as I reflected in the notes from the
- 22 27th, "People tell me I should get rid of both of you.
- 23 You're not doing your jobs. People tell me I should
- 24 put Jeffrey Clark in." That sort of response or statement.
- 25 And we essentially said, "Mr. President, you

- 1 should have whatever leadership you want, but we're going to
- 2 tell you the Department operates on facts and evidence. It
- 3 doesn't matter who the leader is. That's how the Department
- 4 is going to act, and it's not going to change the outcome."
- 5 Q. Did the Supreme Court -- did the idea of the
- 6 Supreme Court action come up during this meeting?
- 7 A. Yes. The President was very frustrated that we told
- 8 him that, in our view, the United States Department of Justice
- 9 did not have standing to bring such an action. Again, I can
- 10 understand the
- 11 President's frustration on this point.
- He kept saying "How can that be? How is that
- 13 possible? You represent the American people. The American
- 14 people don't want to be harmed by all this fraud, and you're
- 15 telling me you can't file an action to protect the rights and
- 16 interests of the American people."
- 17 And we repeatedly said no and DAG Rosen tried
- 18 to explain why, but the President was very frustrated on that
- 19 point.
- 20 Q. In other words, he wanted you to bring that
- 21 action, and you were telling him you couldn't?
- A. Generally, yes. I mean, that wasn't a point where
- 23 he said "I'm instructing you to bring this action," but he did
- 24 say, you know, "What's going on with this brief?"
- He would reference other people, like Mr. Olsen,

- 1 you know. "They tell me that this is a slam dunk. They tell
- 2 me you guys can just walk this right into court, and you're
- 3 refusing to do it."
- And we said, "No, we cannot walk it into
- 5 court. We do not have standing. We've asked the OSG to look
- 6 at this. We've asked the OLC to look at this. And there are
- 7 very specific reasons why we don't believe we have standing to
- 8 bring such an action."
- 9 He responded by saying, "How is that
- 10 possible? How can that be?"
- 11 It went on like that for some time.
- 12 Q. I have just a little bit of time left in this round.
- 13 So instead of asking you to look at or authenticate a bunch
- 14 more documents, let me just short-circuit some of my questions
- on Mark Meadows a bit, by referring to an email that you sent
- in which you used the phrase "pure insanity." I'm happy to
- 17 introduce that.
- I think you probably know what I'm talking
- 19 about. And maybe you can just sort of, generally, in my
- 20 remaining minute or two, kind of give a flavor for -- give a
- 21 flavor of the outreach that seemed like it was increasingly
- 22 coming Mr. Rosen's way, in particular, from Mark Meadows, with
- 23 emails about things like Italygate, various allegations in
- 24 Georgia.
- 25 How did you -- what was your reaction to

- 1 that?
- 2 A. I'm familiar with the emails that you're talking
- 3 about. My comment about "pure insanity" related specifically
- 4 to the video that had been forwarded. I don't know if Mark
- 5 Meadows looked at that video. I have no idea. But that was
- 6 on New Year's day.
- 7 The referrals were continuing to come. Some
- 8 of them were pretty farfetched, as this one was. And when I
- 9 looked at the video, I think it was about a 20-minute YouTube
- 10 video or something like that, it struck me as being fairly off
- 11 the wall, in part because it was very conclusory and it did
- 12 not really offer evidence.
- It basically told a story about how the CIA
- 14 and British intelligence and actors in Italy were using
- 15 military satellites to change votes in swing states and so on.
- 16 And it just seemed not only unbelievably improbable, but even
- 17 worse. So my "pure insanity" response was specifically
- 18 directed at the video and the claims in the video as opposed
- 19 to say the Chief of Staff or the Chief of Staff passing it
- 20 along or anything like that.
- 21 With regard to the subsequent one relating to
- 22 Georgia, where the Acting AG said "Can you believe this," his
- 23 frustration, I think was at the fact that they, at least the
- 24 Chief of Staff, they in the White House believed that Jeff
- 25 Clark should be involved in any of this stuff. It was

- 1 strange, especially at that point, for them to be saying "Have
- 2 Jeff Clark look into this, or can Jeff Clark look into this."
- 3 That, I took as the real frustration point in
- 4 that email exchange. It had to do with voter signature
- 5 verification, and I responded sort of meshing two things.
- 6 Voter signature verification is a more substantive concern
- 7 than Italian military satellites. So I suppose, in that
- 8 regard, it was better.
- 9 But, again, this idea of sending Jeff Clark
- down to Georgia to do some sort of firsthand investigation of
- 11 voter signatures, that's just not the way the Department
- 12 operates.
- 13 Ms. Zdeb. I see that my time for this round
- 14 is up.
- Mr. Donoghue, I know that you have a 2:00
- 16 hard stop, and that we are -- I see your counsel is shaking
- 17 his head.
- 18 Mr. Andres. We can talk about that after.
- 19 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. I was just going to suggest
- 20 if we were strictly trying to adhere to that hard stop that we
- 21 can take a shorter break or just keep going. But if you would
- 22 prefer to take a break now, and then maybe talk off the record
- 23 about --
- 24 Mr. Andres. We can take a 15-minute break or
- 25 so. I don't want to speak for Brad or anybody else. But if we

- 1 can just have 15 minutes, I don't think we need anything more.
- 2 There's a lot of people making a nasty face at me, but we
- 3 don't need a long time for lunch.
- 4 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. I apologize. We can go off
- 5 the record now, if we haven't already. It's 12:39.
- 6 (Discussion off the record.)
- 7 Ms. Zdeb. It is 1:03. We can go back on
- 8 record. And I will turn it over again to my colleagues on
- 9 Senator Grassley's staff.
- 10 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you, Sara.
- 11 And, Mr. Donoghue, thank you for your time
- 12 today. I'm probably going to take up less than one hour in
- 13 this questioning and then hand it back over to Sara for her
- 14 time.
- So what I'd like to do is move to Minority 5.
- 16 I believe this is Exhibit 13, Bates-stamped -714.
- 17 (Exhibit 13, document, was
- 18 marked.) Mr. Donoghue. Yes.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- Q. Okay. So I believe that you may have addressed this
- 21 earlier in your testimony. So forgive me if we're retreading
- 22 right now, but I just want the record to be precise here.
- In the middle of the page, I believe it says,
- 24 correct me if I'm wrong, "Thinks he saw trucks move ballots to
- 25 shredding location."

- It's not clear to me who "he" is. But next
- 2 the notes say, "Cobb County-woman who worked at facility
- 3 testified at the Georgia Senate hearing that she saw shred
- 4 trucks at election location."
- 5 Were these allegations investigated by the
- 6 Justice Department and the FBI?
- 7 A. So this was relayed to us by Jeff Clark. And Jeff Clark
- 8 apparently talked to one or two individuals.
- 9 It's not entirely clear to me from this. And so this may
- 10 relate -- I'm not entirely certain as I sit here. This may
- 11 relate to the shredded ballot truck in Georgia. But I don't
- 12 know if there was more than one allegation about that.
- But to the extent there were allegations in
- 14 Georgia, BJay Pak's office handled those. So I believe that
- 15 they were aware of the testimony in the Georgia Senate hearing
- 16 as well as other allegations. And they, along with the FBI,
- 17 were looking at those.
- 18 Q. So you said BJay Pak handled these allegations?
- 19 A. Yes. BJay Pak was the U.S. Attorney there.
- 20 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. Let's now turn to --
- 21 Exhibit 14, Minority 2, Bates-stamped -598 to -601.
- 22 (Exhibit 14, email, was marked.)
- Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I have it.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- Q. So this is a December 30th, 2020, email from Cleta

- 1 Mitchell to Mark Meadows, in which she sent the petition filed
- 2 in Georgia and a press release, and Meadows then forwards that
- 3 email to Mr. Rosen.
- And in that email, Meadows says, "Can you
- 5 have your team look into these allegations of wrongdoing?
- 6 Only the alleged fraudulent activity. Thanks, Mark."
- 7 Are you aware of whether or not the Justice
- 8 Department and FBI reviewed and investigated these allegations
- 9 specific to this document?
- 10 And if you need time to review it, you can
- 11 have it, obviously.
- 12 A. Yeah, I don't recall specifically, as I sit here
- 13 now, these allegations. But, again, our general practice was
- 14 to pass these things along to the U.S.
- 15 Attorney's Office, if they didn't already have it.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. All right. Let's go
- 17 to Minority 4. This would be Exhibit 15, Bates -675.
- 18 (Exhibit 15, email, was marked.)
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- Q. Let me know when you're there.
- 21 A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. So Mark Meadows emails Rosen on January 1,
- 23 2021, and says in part, "Can you forward this list to your
- 24 team to review the allegations contained herein?"
- 25 And the allegations related to New Mexico

- 1 ballot security issues, according to the subject line on this
- 2 email.
- 3 Do you recall whether this was floated your
- 4 way? You're not on this email, but do you remember this
- 5 ballot security issue in New Mexico and whether that was
- 6 investigated by the DOJ or FBI?
- 7 A. I don't remember that as I sit here. I don't
- 8 remember New Mexico being an issue.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was it common practice for Meadows to flip
- 10 DOJ these types of emails, in essence to say, "Hey, you guys,
- 11 you need to look into this, " so on and so forth?
- 12 A. I think so. As I said earlier, my general
- impression was to the extent that things ended up on the Chief
- of Staff's desk, I think his desire was to get them, you know,
- 15 referred out. So I suppose he could say "I referred it to
- 16 DOJ."
- 17 Q. Understood.
- 18 So was Meadows fairly deferential to DOJ in
- 19 that regard? He's forwarding you things, and is he deferring
- 20 to your judgment and expertise after he sends this information
- 21 your way?
- 22 A. I think that's a fair characterization.
- 23 O. You had mentioned earlier when Sara was questioning
- 24 you, you guys got into a little bit of a discussion with
- 25 respect to the White House contact policy and how Clark may

- 1 have violated that.
- With respect to that policy, generally
- 3 speaking, White House contact policy for DOJ did not preclude
- 4 communications between DOJ and the White House. It limited
- 5 who and the circumstances for those communications; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's right.
- Q. Now, did Trump's efforts to push the DOJ and FBI to
- 9 be more aggressive in investigating election fraud and related
- 10 crimes -- or, excuse me, and election crimes work to make them
- 11 more aggressive than they would have been absent those efforts
- 12 from the President?
- 13 A. No. I would say it had no impact --
- 14 O. No impact?
- 15 A. -- on what we were going to do. We did what we were
- 16 going to do, regardless.
- 17 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I'd like to introduce into
- 18 the record -- you don't need to comment on this, I'm not going
- 19 to ask you about it, but I just want the record to reflect
- 20 this.
- These are statements that Senator Grassley
- 22 made publicly regarding January 6, 2021.
- 23 And, Sara, these are all public. And I'd ask
- 24 that these be included as not witness exhibits, per se, but in
- 25 the appendix, I'd like these two documents to be included in

- 1 the final record.
- The first one is a January 6, 2021, press
- 3 release from Senator Grassley titled "America Must Be
- 4 Better Than This."
- 5 And the second one is a January 6, 2021,
- 6 press release as well. So he issued two on that day.
- 7 And this one is titled "Grassley's Statement on
- 8 Electoral College Certification."
- 9 I would like those two to be included into
- 10 the interview record.
- Mr. Donoghue, at this point, I don't have any
- 12 further questions for you. I may have some depending upon my
- 13 colleague's questioning, so I'll reserve the time that I have.
- 14 But I want to thank you for your time today. And I
- 15 understand you served in the United States military. So on
- 16 behalf of Senator Grassley, DeLisa and I would like to thank
- 17 you for your service to the country.
- 18 Mr. Donoghue. Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Flynn-Brown. So for the time being, I
- think we're done with our line of questioning, but we do
- 21 reserve our time if we need to jump in at the end of this
- 22 interview.
- So, Sara, I'm going to hand it over to you. Ms.
- Zdeb. Sure.
- 25 And as the spouse of a fellow former JAG, I

- 1 suppose I will add my thanks to Josh's thanks.
- Would you guys like another quick break, or
- 3 are you good to keep going?
- 4 Mr. Andres. I think we're good. Thank you.
- 5 Ms. Zdeb. Terrific. So it's 1:11, and we
- 6 will start our next round.
- 7 Now that I understand we are not quite as
- 8 pressed for time, for the sake of completeness and because I
- 9 don't think we have done so already, I'm going to go ahead and
- 10 officially introduce the document that was labeled Majority
- 11 18. And we are now, I think, up to Exhibit 16, so we'll call
- 12 it Exhibit 16.
- 13 (Exhibit 16, email, was marked.)
- 14 Ms. Zdeb. This is the -- actually, I'm
- 15 sorry. I'm going to back up a second.
- Majority 17, which will be Exhibit 16.
- 17 BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. This is the January 1st, 2021, exchange between you
- 19 and Mr. Rosen that you and I were talking about right before
- 20 our last break. It's the "pure insanity" email. We talked a
- 21 bit about your reaction to the video and why you viewed it as
- 22 pure insanity, but I want to ask you about another portion of
- 23 the exchange with Mr. Rosen. And that's where he indicates to
- 24 you that after -- at some point after he received the video he
- was, quote, "asked to have the FBI meet with Brad Johnson,"

- 1 who was the individual who made the YouTube video.
- Do you know who asked Rosen, Mr. Rosen, to
- 3 have the FBI meet with Brad Johnson?
- 4 A. I don't know. He may have told me that day.
- 5 But if he did, I don't remember as I sit here.
- 6 Q. Do you know -- is there anything further that you
- 7 can tell us about the circumstances of that request?
- 8 A. Well, it was January 1st, and so I was at my
- 9 apartment in Washington. The Acting AG, I believe, was at
- 10 home. And so these emails flowed throughout the day, and
- 11 there were calls throughout the day. So he was sort of
- 12 keeping me updated.
- But aside from generally going back and forth
- 14 and him calling me and saying what was going on, I don't
- 15 remember the specifics beyond what's in the email here.
- 16 O. Mr. Rosen went on to indicate that he had learned
- 17 that Brad Johnson -- again, the individual in the YouTube
- 18 video -- was working with Rudy Giuliani and that he, Mr.
- 19 Rosen, "Reaffirmed yet, again, that he would not talk to
- 20 Giuliani about any of this."
- Do you know to whom Mr. Rosen reaffirmed that
- 22 he wouldn't talk to Rudy Giuliani?
- A. Sorry, I don't specifically remember. He would have
- 24 to say.
- Q. Were you aware at the time of some efforts to

- 1 encourage Mr. Rosen or others in the Department to meet with
- 2 Mr. Giuliani?
- 3 A. I think in relation to this, yes. I do remember
- 4 this comment about "it was taken as an insult." His refusal
- 5 to meet with Giuliani was taken as an insult. So I do
- 6 recollect that, but I can't remember the specifics beyond what
- 7 I see on the page here about Rudy Giuliani.
- 8 Q. Is it possible that it was Mark Meadows who made the
- 9 request?
- 10 A. I'd be speculating, but, sure, that's possible.
- 11 Q. Do you have a sense of -- I mean, I think I can
- 12 imagine, but do you have a sense based on your discussions
- 13 with Mr. Rosen as to why he refused, apparently, to meet with
- 14 Mr. Giuliani?
- A. As I said earlier, there's sort of a standard way
- 16 that these things are handled, and the FBI field office does
- 17 intake -- U.S. Attorney's Office does intake so on and so
- 18 forth. It didn't surprise me at all that he was refusing to
- 19 meet with Rudy Giuliani. I don't imagine any scenario where
- 20 he would have agreed to do that.
- 21 So I think he was just saying, "Tell them
- 22 they can follow the regular course. Rudy Giuliani certainly
- 23 knows how to bring these things to the Justice Department. He
- 24 can go to the FBI field office. He can go to the U.S.
- 25 Attorney's Office. He knows how to do this. He's not going

- 1 to get an audience with the Acting Attorney General."
- 2 That was all consistent with his approach
- 3 throughout this time period.
- 4 Q. I'm curious, because you indicated, in response to a
- 5 question from Josh just a few minutes ago, that at the end of
- 6 the day, the Department didn't take any particular actions in
- 7 response to all of the outreach that we have been discussing
- 8 from the White House, that it would not have -- that it would
- 9 not have taken anyway.
- I take your point, but I'm sort of curious,
- just based on your lengthy experience as a career prosecutor
- 12 and a member of the Department's leadership, whether this sort
- of course of conduct, the repeated outreach from Mark Meadows,
- 14 the repeated outreach from the President, changed the
- 15 Department's actions at the end of the day or not.
- 16 Would you characterize this as kind of ordinary
- 17 course, or was this somewhat unusual in your view?
- 18 A. It was definitely unusual. But, again, I had never
- 19 operated at that level in the Department in other
- 20 administrations. So it would be difficult for me to compare
- 21 one to another. But we certainly thought it was unusual. But
- 22 at the same time, I can say with confidence that it didn't
- 23 change anything we did.
- You know, they were free to raise things. And to
- 25 the extent they raised things we were unaware of, such as the

- 1 five -- over 250,000 supposed difference in Pennsylvania
- 2 votes, you know, we looked at them, obviously. But no matter
- 3 what these conversations were, no matter what the phone calls
- 4 were, no matter what the meetings were, we did our job.
- 5 And I don't think that them calling or
- 6 calling us in or anything else changed the way we did it. It
- 7 just made it a difficult circumstance, obviously.
- 8 Q. What was difficult about it?
- 9 A. Obviously, the fact that you're getting pulled over
- 10 to the White House, you know, distracts from your day-to-day
- 11 work. And so while, at the end of the day, it didn't change
- 12 what we did, the Acting Attorney General and I and others in
- 13 the Department had plenty of other things to focus on at that
- 14 time.
- Ms. Zdeb. Let me now jump to Majority 18,
- 16 which will be Exhibit 17, I think. And this is the follow-up
- 17 to the email we were just discussing.
- 18 (Exhibit 17, email, was
- marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. It's the January 2021 email from Mr. Rosen to you
- 21 forwarding the email in which Mark Meadows alerts you to
- 22 supposed allegations of signature match anomalies in Georgia,
- and says, "Can you get Jeff Clark to engage?"
- 24 And I think you were saying before the break
- 25 that that -- the invoking of Jeff Clark's name, in particular,

- 1 in this circumstance, was not viewed well by Mr. Rosen. In
- 2 this instance, you know, we have talked on a number of
- 3 occasions at this point about the
- 4 DOJ White House contacts policy.
- 5 Would you agree that this request from
- 6 Meadows was not consistent with that policy?
- 7 A. I'd have to look back in the policy to see who on
- 8 the White House side is authorized to have these types of
- 9 communications, but I believe the Chief of Staff is. I know,
- 10 certainly, the White House counsel is.
- 11 So I'm not entirely certain, but I don't
- 12 think it's all that unusual to have the Chief of Staff
- 13 communicating to someone who, in effect, is a cabinet member
- 14 at that point, right. But I think that the reference to Jeff
- 15 Clark specifically is what led to the
- 16 Acting AG's response.
- Q. And just for clarification, and you're obviously
- 18 free to pull up what I think is Exhibit Number 1, which is the
- 19 email you sent way back in November attaching copies of the
- 20 contacts policies, but the White House Chief of Staff is not
- 21 among the individuals who are authorized to have those sorts
- of communications with the Department, which is why I asked.
- 23 You're correct that the White House counsel is, but the Chief
- 24 of Staff is not among that universe.
- 25 And can I just -- before getting back to the Jeff

- 1 Clark aspect of this, I did just want to return to the
- 2 contacts policies. Again, just to make sure that -- just to
- 3 make sure that we're covering kind of both of the two aspects
- 4 of that policy, that I think you and I discussed at the very
- 5 beginning of the interview.
- 6 So the first portion of it, and this is the
- 7 one that Josh was just asking you about a few minutes ago, is
- 8 the portion that places limitations on who can have
- 9 communications. So, in other words, limitations on which
- 10 people in the White House, which people in the Department.
- But I think when you and I were initially
- 12 talking, you agreed that there's a second component to the
- 13 policy as well, which is that it operates to limit the
- 14 circumstances in which those sorts of communications can
- 15 happen at all.
- Do you remember that general concept?
- 17 A. Right. Yes, I do remember that.
- 18 Q. And I have always understood the reason for that
- 19 second portion of the policy, in particular -- but the policy
- 20 in its entirety as well -- is really to minimize the prospect
- 21 of undue political influence in the Department's law
- 22 enforcement activities.
- Would you agree with that kind of assessment
- of the purpose?
- 25 A. Yes, I think that's one of the major considerations.

- 1 O. And so when the White House Chief of Staff or even
- 2 the President himself raises concerns about particular
- 3 allegations of election fraud, wouldn't you agree,
- 4 particularly if it's repeated outreach, as it seems to have
- 5 been the case here, wouldn't you agree that that starts to
- 6 implicate that second portion of the policy as well as the
- 7 overall purpose of trying to limit undue political influence?
- 8 A. Yeah, I think those concerns are implicated,
- 9 absolutely. I don't think that the President is bound to
- 10 either of these policies, but you're right. It's a legitimate
- 11 concern, and I think those concerns are implicated.
- 12 Q. And I know my colleague Josh, at some point earlier
- during the interview, asked you a question to the effect of,
- 14 "Well, isn't it the President's responsibility as the head of
- 15 the executive branch to supervise the Department of Justice."
- 16 And you agreed with that concept.
- But would you also agree that the President's
- 18 role as head of the executive branch and supervisor of
- 19 agencies, including DOJ, it exists in tandem with these
- 20 principles enshrined in the contacts policy?
- So, in other words, he's responsible for
- 22 supervising DOJ, but there are also limitations designed to
- 23 ensure that he is not unduly influencing the conduct of law
- 24 enforcement operations in a way that politicizes them?
- 25 A. Yes, I would agree with that get.

- 1 Ms. Zdeb. Let's move on to the January 2nd
- 2 meeting that I understand you and Mr. Rosen had with
- 3 Mr. Clark. This is Majority 19.
- 4 (Exhibit 18, notes, was marked.)
- 5 Mr. Donoghue. I have it.
- 6 BY MS. ZDEB.
- 7 Q. And I think we are now up to Exhibit 18. Are these
- 8 your handwritten notes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. There is a notation on -- well, actually, let me
- 11 take a step back.
- Do you remember exactly when on January 2
- 13 this meeting took place?
- 14 A. It was sometime in the afternoon. This was
- 15 Saturday. So I was home, "home" meaning my apartment in D.C.
- 16 And Acting AG Rosen called me and said that he was going to
- 17 meet with Jeff Clark, but he did not want to meet with him
- 18 alone and asked if I could come to the apartment. So I said
- 19 of course, and I immediately left the Department.
- I probably got there 15 minutes after the
- 21 call or something like that. And then we went up and we had
- 22 this meeting on the sixth floor in the SCIF.
- Q. Do you know why he didn't want to meet with
- 24 Mr. Clark alone?
- 25 A. I don't think he said specifically, but I think it

- 1 was fairly obvious that this is becoming a very unusual
- 2 situation, and it would be better to have everyone involved.
- I mean, we were the Department leadership at
- 4 this time, and this was becoming more of a concern about the
- 5 potential for a leadership change. And this was sort of a
- 6 follow-up to the December 28th meeting.
- 7 Q. We talked a bit earlier about Mr. Clark's request to
- 8 be briefed by ODNI on election-related issues. And it seems
- 9 from your notes at the top that a meeting of that sort took
- 10 place. There's this notation saying "DNI briefing, no
- 11 evidence of ballot tampering, influence only."
- Was that something that Clark was reporting
- to you based on a briefing he had had from ODNI?
- 14 A. He had a briefing from ODNI. And one of the things
- 15 I wanted to nail down was that he had had the briefing that he
- 16 requested. And that based on the information he was provided,
- 17 there was no reason in the
- 18 IC to question the outcome.
- 19 Q. How did he react to this?
- 20 A. He acknowledged that, but then sort of moved right
- 21 past it and said, "Fine, but there's all these other things
- 22 going on." And he began to talk about Georgia and how he had
- 23 spoken to this individual in Georgia. And then got back to
- 24 the letter and said, you know, "Based on a discussion with
- 25 this individual in

- 1 Georgia and the things that came up in the Georgia
- 2 Senate hearing, that we should send the letter."
- Q. And there are a couple of notations in here, if I'm
- 4 reading the notes correctly, to the United States Attorneys in
- 5 Georgia. So on the left-hand side, there's a little notation
- 6 saying, "Acknowledges that he did not call BJay Pak as he was
- 7 told to."
- 8 If I'm reading that correctly, can you
- 9 explain why he had been told to reach out to U.S.
- 10 Attorney Pak?
- 11 A. Right. You are reading it correctly. Keep in mind
- 12 there were communications between Jeff Rosen and Jeff Clark
- 13 that I was not a party to in this time frame. But,
- 14 essentially, when he was complaining about Georgia and these
- 15 things that had supposedly gone on if Georgia, the Acting AG
- 16 was getting a little exasperated and said, "Jeff, we've looked
- 17 at these things. BJay Pak looked at them. You're acting like
- 18 they haven't been looked at. Just call BJay. Call him and
- 19 he'll tell you that we've looked into these things."
- 20 And at some point, he provided BJay Pak's
- 21 cell phone number to Jeff Clark and told him to call him.
- 22 And at the outset of this meeting, I said to him -- just to
- 23 make a record and make sure we understood the context in
- 24 which were having a conversation, "Did you get the briefing?
- 25 What was the result? Did you call BJay Pak?"

- And he said "No, I haven't called BJay Pak,"
- 2 which I just noted there because I felt it was relevant.
- 3 Q. Did he say why he didn't call him?
- 4 A. No. He just said that he did not.
- 5 Q. Then there's a notation saying "Then called
- 6 Bobby Christine, but nothing done."
- 7 Did you have an understanding about what that
- 8 outreach to U.S. Attorney Christine involved?
- 9 A. I don't believe that was Jeff Clark calling Bobby
- 10 Christine. I think this is a reference to the individual
- 11 described above. It says, "Largest bail bondsman in Georgia
- 12 and owns phone company."
- 13 So this is the individual to whom Jeff Clark
- 14 spoke. And he's saying that he, that individual, the bail
- bondsman, called the head of GBI, which is the Georgia Bureau
- 16 of Investigations. They were not interested in his reporting.
- He, again, that individual, the bail
- 18 bondsman, then called Bobby Christine. Nothing done.
- 19 And then the reference to the right of that
- 20 is "Conducted surveillance on warehouse, et cetera." This is
- 21 talking about that individual or maybe people who worked for
- 22 him. It wasn't entirely clear to me.
- Q. So he was raising these points about Georgia, the
- 24 surveillance, the outreach to Bobby Christine by way of,
- 25 again, advocating for the Department to send his letter. Is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A. Right. He was focused on Georgia, I suppose,
- 3 because the letter was, at least, initially, directed to
- 4 Georgia. And he said, "See, there's all these things going on
- 5 in Georgia. There were still all these questions. There were
- 6 still all these allegations. We need to get that letter out."
- 7 Something to that effect.
- Q. Partly down the page, you have a notation "This is
- 9 entirely unacceptable, "which I imagine is something that
- 10 either you or Mr. Rosen said in response. Is that accurate?
- 11 A I said it. This conversation became very heated.
- 12 Q. And what -- when you made clear that it was
- 13 unacceptable, and presumably that the Department would not be
- 14 moving forward with his letter, how did he react?
- 15 A. He just sort of shrugged. I said a number of
- 16 things, "entirely unacceptable" being one of them, but I
- 17 reminded him that I was his boss, that he was apparently
- 18 continuing to violate the White House contact policy, that
- 19 that letter was never going out while we were in charge of the
- 20 Department. And I sort of orally reprimanded him on a number
- of points, including reaching out to witness, and "Who told
- 22 you to conduct investigations and interview witnesses," and
- 23 things like that.
- I was getting very heated. And he then
- 25 turned to Acting AG Rosen, and he said, "Well, the President

- 1 has offered me the position of Acting Attorney General. I
- 2 told him I would let him know my decision on Monday. I need
- 3 to think about that a little bit more."
- Q. Did he say when he had received that offer from the
- 5 President?
- 6 A. Not specifically, no.
- 7 Q. How did you leave the meeting?
- 8 A. So this was Saturday. He said that he had been
- 9 offered the position by the President. He was going to let
- 10 the President know on Monday, that he was going to continue to
- 11 think about it. And that he would let Jeff Rosen know his
- 12 decision.
- 13 As I said, it was a very heated meeting, and
- 14 we all just left there with that being the situation, that we
- 15 were expecting to hear sometime between then and Monday as to
- 16 what Clark was going to do, whether that was really going to
- 17 happen, and so on and so forth.
- 18 Q. At some point while this is all unfolding, and
- 19 particularly after he has informed you that he was been
- 20 offered the position of Acting AG by the President, did you
- 21 and Mr. Rosen begin to widen the circle beyond yourselves and
- 22 Mr. Engel, and read other department officials into the
- 23 prospect, or the likelihood, even, that Mr. Rosen would be
- 24 replaced by Mr. Clark?
- A. Well, after we left the sixth floor, we went down to

- 1 the Acting AG's office and we sat there for some time talking
- 2 about this. We may have called Steve Engel, I'm not sure,
- 3 frankly. I think Pat Hovakimian was also sort of in that
- 4 circle.
- 5 Again, the communications between Pat and Acting AG
- 6 Rosen, I was not always privy to because they had a personal
- 7 and professional relationship. And I know there were
- 8 conversations going on there. But we decided to keep a close
- 9 hold because it still wasn't clear what was going to happen.
- 10 And that changed the following day, of
- 11 course. But at that point on the 2nd, you know, we discussed,
- 12 "Do we now call everyone in? Do we tell them what's going
- 13 on?"
- 14 And we went back and forth a little bit, and I
- think ultimately the Acting AG said, "Look, we don't know
- 16 what's going to happen. Let's just ride out tonight and see
- 17 how this goes."
- 18 That's how we left it at that point.
- 19 Q. So at the end of the 2nd, you were anticipating that
- the following Monday, which was the 4th, you would learn what
- 21 Jeffrey Clark had decided to do. But then as you just alluded
- 22 to, it seems that things changed the following day. And on
- 23 Sunday, the 3rd, there was some sort of conversation or
- 24 meeting between Mr. Clark and Mr. Rosen.
- Is that your recollection?

- 1 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And were you a participant in that meeting, or was
- 3 it just the two of them?
- 4 A. Not the first discussion. I think there were
- 5 actually at least two discussions that I was not part of that
- 6 day. Those both preceded the meeting at the
- 7 White House.
- 8 Q. And presumably, you came to have some understanding,
- 9 though, of those discussions.
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 Q. And what was your understanding of them?
- 12 A. We had a meeting scheduled, a call, for 1:00 that
- 13 Sunday afternoon. The meeting was amongst various agencies --
- 14 DOD, DHS, Interior, DOJ and others -- in relation to
- 15 preparation for January 6th. That was already on the
- 16 calendar. I was going to come into the Department to do that
- 17 call from my office or the DAG's office. So we were already
- 18 scheduled to be in the office that afternoon.
- 19 I came a little before the 1:00 meeting,
- 20 maybe 12:30 or so, quarter to 1:00, something like that. I
- 21 came into the DAG's office. Obviously, it was very quiet. It
- 22 was a Sunday afternoon. No one else was really around. And
- the DAG was pretty exasperated, and he said, "I spoke to Jeff
- 24 Clark." It appeared to be just shortly before that. And he
- 25 said that "Clark says that he decided to take the President up

- on his offer, that he's going to be the Acting AG, but he
- 2 wants to have one last face-to-face conversation with me
- 3 before he tells the President."
- 4 It was then time to do the call. We did the
- 5 call with DOD and the others, talked through some of
- 6 the preparations for January 6. And when that was over, he
- 7 filled me in some more on their conversation. And so he said,
- 8 "So Clark wants a one-on-one conversation with me." It was
- 9 clear to me at that point, Jeff Clark did not want me involved
- in any of these conversations.
- 11 And I said, "Well, sir, do you really want to
- 12 meet with him alone?"
- And he said something to that effect of, "Look,
- 14 I've known this guy for decades. I'm going to meet with him
- 15 alone. That's fine."
- 16 He then met with him. I was in my office. I
- 17 think they met somewhere in the building. I did not see Jeff
- 18 Clark at all until the Oval Office meeting.
- 19 Jeff Rosen told me that in the discussion
- 20 they just had, Jeff Clark asked him to stay on as his Deputy
- 21 Attorney General. And basically said, "Can you believe the
- 22 nerve of this guy?" Something to that effect.
- He was exasperated. And we both just sort of
- 24 shook our heads. And I kind of said, "Well, I guess that's
- 25 it. Are we going to find out in a tweet?"

- 1 Like, how are we going to find out about it?
- 2 And he said, "Well, I think he's going to go
- 3 over to the White House or call him or something, and I guess
- 4 we'll find out this afternoon."
- At that point, I went I back to my office and I
- 6 began taking things off the wall and put them in boxes,
- 7 because I told the Acting AG I would immediately resign.
- 8 There was no way I was going to serve under Jeff Clark. Began
- 9 taking things off the wall, putting them in boxes. I did that
- 10 for a short time.
- 11 He came over and he said "I talked to Pat,"
- 12 by which he meant Pat Cipollone, "I talked to Pat. Pat said,
- in his view, this is not a done deal, that we should go to the
- 14 White House and fight this thing out."
- And we talked about that, and he said, "Look,
- if there's any shot of us stopping this from happening,
- 17 I think we need to go to the White House."
- 18 And I said, "That's fine. I agree with
- 19 that."
- 20 And then he had a series of conversations
- 21 with people, and he would come back and report to me on them.
- 22 He said that Jeff Clark had agreed to meet at the White House
- 23 with DAG Rosen, but only on the condition that only DAG Rosen
- 24 showed up from the Department and no one else.
- 25 And as we got closer to that time, there was

- 1 some discussion about timing and such, and it was decided that
- 2 the meeting would take place in the Oval Office at 6:15 that
- 3 evening.
- As we got closer to that, I said, "What do
- 5 you need me to do? What can I do to help, "essentially. And
- 6 I think he also called Pat Hovakimian in.
- 7 And he said, "Well, you know, I think it's
- 8 time to let everyone know what's going on. So can you do a
- 9 call with the AAGs and let them know what's going on? It
- 10 would be interesting to know if they would resign. Because
- 11 that might help inform the conversation at the White House, if
- 12 that's going to be their reaction."
- So Pat and I set up that call. We got most
- 14 of the AAGs, not all of them. We got them on the phone in
- 15 Pat's office. I described for the AAGs what the situation
- 16 was, what had been going on over the last week. They, of
- 17 course, didn't know this.
- And I said to them at the outset, "I don't
- 19 need a decision right now, but I need a decision quickly. I
- 20 don't want to put anyone on the spot. What I'd like you to
- 21 do, I'm going to tell you what's happening here. And if you
- 22 can make a decision in the next 15 minutes, call me, call Pat,
- 23 email us and tell us what you would do. If you can't, I
- 24 understand that.
- 25 That's fine."

- I also said to John Demers, "John, as the AAG
- 2 for the National Security Division, I hope you do not resign
- 3 because we need you to stay in place. We need NSD to have
- 4 stability, especially if the entire department of leadership
- 5 disappears."
- 6 Before the call was over, the AAG for civil
- 7 rights, Eric Dreiband said, "Well, I don't need to think about
- 8 this. I am telling you right now, I'm leaving. There's no
- 9 way I am standing for this."
- Then other people began to chime in. I said,
- 11 "Again, I don't want to put anyone on the spot. You guys can
- 12 let us know."
- But, essentially, everyone responded either
- 14 during the call or immediately thereafter that they would
- 15 resign. And so we then had that information.
- I went back to -- Pat and I went back to the Acting
- 17 AG. We explained to him what the reaction had been among the
- 18 AAGs. He said fine. He was getting ready to go to the White
- 19 House.
- I said, "What do you want me to do?"
- 21 He said, "I want you to come to the White
- 22 House."
- I said, "All right. But didn't Clark say he
- 24 wouldn't participate if anyone else from the Department was
- 25 in?"

- 1 Rosen said, "I don't care what Jeff Clark
- 2 wants. I want you to come to the White House. You know these
- 3 issues better than I do in terms of the election
- 4 investigations."
- I noted that I was not dressed for a visit to
- 6 the White House. I was in jeans, boots, covered in mud
- 7 because I had been walking on the Mall earlier in the day. I
- 8 had an Army T-shirt on. I hadn't shaved in four days. So I
- 9 said, "Should I go change?"
- He said, "No, there's no time for that."
- And he said, "Look, I'll have you sit outside
- 12 the Oval Office. If a question comes up I can't answer, I'll
- 13 pull you in. But, otherwise, you can just sit outside."
- 14 "Fine."
- We then got into the vehicles and went over
- 16 to the White House. We arrived there I think about 6 p.m. We
- 17 went to the White House Counsel's Office and spoke very
- 18 briefly to Pat Cipollone and Pat Philbin, and then we headed
- 19 to the Oval Office where I sat outside on a couch.
- 20 As I was on the couch, the Acting Attorney
- 21 General went into the Oval Office. I saw
- 22 General Milley walk by. I think he had just left the
- 23 Oval Office. And shortly after that, I saw the Chief
- of Staff walk by, who just nodded at me and said "Good luck"
- 25 and walked on his way.

- I sat there for about 25 minutes. I was
- 2 watching the news. They were talking about the Raffensperger
- 3 call. I think that was breaking news that afternoon.
- 4 After about 25 minutes, one of the
- 5 administrative assistants walked by and said, "Are you
- 6 supposed to be in this meeting with the President?"
- 7 I said, "No, I'm just here to answer any
- 8 questions if a question comes up no one else can answer."
- 9 She then disappeared for a minute, turned
- 10 around and came right back and said, "The President want you
- 11 in this meeting."
- 12 And I walked back and went into the Oval
- 13 office.
- 14 O. I'm going to turn it over to my colleague, Mr.
- 15 Charlet, in just a minute. I know he has some questions. He
- is going to ask about the Oval Office meeting.
- But I first wanted to go back to just the
- 18 process of getting the AAGs together in the lead-up to that
- 19 meeting. We have seen a draft of a resignation letter from
- 20 Mr. Hovakimian that mentions both of your names.
- Is that something that you saw at the time?
- 22 A No. I think I saw that for the first time yesterday.
- 23 Ms. Zdeb. I'll turn it over to Joe to ask
- 24 some questions about the Oval Office meeting.
- Mr. Charlet. Thank you, Sara.

- Can everyone hear me? I just want to make
- 2 sure.
- 3 BY MR. CHARLET.
- 4 Q. So after you were called into the meeting, can you
- 5 give us just a general overview of how the meeting took its
- 6 course? And then we can go from there.
- 7 A. The meeting lasted about two and a half hours after
- 8 I entered, so it was presumably three hours for the other
- 9 participants. When I came in, seated in the Oval Office was,
- 10 of course, the President behind the desk. Then there was Pat
- 11 Cipollone, White House counsel; Pat Philbin; Jeff Rosen; Jeff
- 12 Clark; Steve Engel and myself.
- When I came in, I first apologized to the
- 14 President for the way I was dressed, and he said, "Yeah,
- 15 yeah, that's fine. Just grab a seat." And I tried to sit
- 16 on the couch and they
- joked, and they said, "No, no, no. You have to sit up here
- 18 with the rest of us."
- 19 So they handed me a chair and I sat directly
- 20 in front of the President with Jeff Rosen to my right and Jeff
- 21 Clark in my left. And they were, obviously, in a
- 22 conversation, and I sort of joined in the conversation from
- 23 there.
- It was a wide-ranging conversation about the
- 25 department. Focus of it was whether the President should

- 1 replace the leadership, whether he should remove Jeff Rosen
- 2 and put Jeff Clark in as the Acting Attorney General. There
- 3 was some discussions about the letter, obviously.
- 4 At that point, it was difficult to separate
- 5 the issue of the letter and Jeff Clark being in the leadership
- 6 position, because it was very clear, and he stated it
- 7 repeatedly, that if the President made him the Acting Attorney
- 8 General, he would send that letter.
- 9 So it wasn't as if there was a third option
- 10 where Jeff Clark would become the Acting Attorney General and
- 11 the letter would not go. They were sort of one and the same
- 12 at that point.
- 13 Essentially everyone in the room -- again,
- 14 the President was making the decision, was taking advice from
- 15 different sides here. But Jeff Clark was advocating for Jeff
- 16 Clark becoming the Acting Attorney General. Everyone else in
- 17 the room was adamantly opposed to the President taking that
- 18 step. And we kind of went around the room for hours
- 19 discussing it and telling him why this was a terrible idea.
- 20 O. And was the nature of the flow of the conversation
- 21 more organic? Would people chime in as they had a point to
- 22 make, or was it a little bit more structured between Rosen and
- 23 Clark?
- A. No. It was more organic. I mean, you know, for
- 25 whatever else you want to say, it's not difficult to talk

- 1 around the President. You don't feel very stilted, right. So
- 2 people speak their minds, sometimes loudly. And so it just
- 3 sort of flowed around the room with Jeff Clark making a point
- 4 and other people would jump in and say, you know, either
- 5 that's not prudent or whatever.
- And so the conversation -- everyone just sort
- 7 of chimed in as we went around the room. And the President
- 8 would, you know, make comments throughout about the election
- 9 and how it was stolen, and how the American people had been
- 10 harmed, and how he can't believe that the Department hasn't
- 11 done more. And maybe if he put Jeff Clark in, the Department
- 12 would do more, and back and forth.
- And he would say things like, "Well, what do I have
- 14 to lose? What would I lose at this point if I put Jeff Clark
- 15 in?"
- And I said, "Sir, you have a great deal to
- 17 lose."
- 18 And then we kind of went around and discussed
- 19 about the downside of doing this. And we discussed whether
- 20 Jeff Clark was even qualified to serve as the Acting Attorney
- 21 General.
- 22 And we informed the President that he should
- 23 expect mass resignations within the Department if he did this.
- 24 And that -- but at one point, the President said to me "So
- 25 suppose I do this, suppose I take him out, " meaning Jeff

- 1 Rosen, "and I put him in," Jeff
- 2 Clark, "what do you do?"
- I said, "Sir, I'd resign immediately."
- 4 And then he turned to Steve Clark, and he
- 5 said, "Steve" -- I'm sorry, he turned to Steve Engel, and he
- 6 said, "Steve, you wouldn't resign, would you?"
- 7 And Steve said, "Absolutely, I would,
- 8 Mr. President. You would leave me no choice."
- 9 And I said, "Well, and Steve is not the only
- 10 one, sir. All your AAGs are going to resign. You should
- 11 count on that. They're going to resign, and these are your
- 12 people. These aren't bureaucrats left over from another
- 13 administration. These are your handpicked people; your
- 14 leadership in the Department. This is the team you sent to the
- 15 Senate, you got confirmed, and they are all going to walk away
- on you at once. What does that say about you as a leader?
- 17 What does that say about the Department and what's going on
- 18 here? And you shouldn't think it's going to end there because
- 19 I have no idea what U.S. Attorneys will do. The U.S.
- 20 Attorneys may resign en mass. You may have other department
- 21 personnel resign. You could have a situation here, within 24
- 22 hours, you have hundreds of people resigning from the Justice
- 23 Department. Is that good for anyone? Is it good for the
- 24 Department? Is it good for the country? Is it good for you?
- 25 It's not."

- And so we had these discussions that went on
- 2 and on, along those lines, for quite sometime.
- Q. When you first brought to his attention the fact
- 4 that you would resign, that Engel would resign and presumably
- 5 other AAGs would resign, when in the conversation did that
- 6 first come up?
- 7 Was that relatively early on, or did it not
- 8 come up until further on?
- 9 A. I would say it was toward the earlier half.
- 10 It wasn't later, because that was an important point, right.
- 11 And in terms of the President saying "Well, what do I have to
- 12 lose? What's really the downside, " I don't think he was he
- 13 understanding, or at least I wanted to make it clear to him
- 14 what the fallout would be. The ramifications would be very
- 15 significant.
- 16 So we did not tell him we had a call. We
- 17 didn't tell him we talked to them or anything like that. I
- 18 simply said, as a matter of fact, "You should expect your
- 19 entire leadership time to resign. And do you really want to
- 20 deal with that?"
- 21 And so I made that point earlier rather than
- 22 later because I thought it was important to his understanding
- 23 of the situation.
- Q. Okay. And to take one step back really quickly, you
- 25 said at this point, it became hard to disentangle Jeff Clark

- 1 becoming Acting Attorney General and sending the letter.
- 2 But during the course of this conversation,
- 3 was the President also focused on other specific election
- 4 fraud allegations, or was it mostly focused on Clark and the
- 5 letter and Georgia, specifically, as it pertained to the
- 6 letter?
- 7 A. He mentioned other election allegations as he had in
- 8 previous conversations. But as in previous conversations, we
- 9 would say to him, you know, "We checked that out, and there's
- 10 nothing to it."
- 11 So we would repeatedly say "The things you're
- 12 being told are false, " or "the things you're being told do not
- 13 pan out. They're not supported by the evidence." And we
- 14 would cite to certain allegations. And so -- like such as
- 15 Pennsylvania, right. "No, there were not 250,000 more votes
- 16 reported than were actually cast. That's not true." So we
- 17 would say things like that.
- 18 But the conversation on the 3rd wasn't so
- 19 much about specific allegations or how we addressed the
- 20 allegations or anything like that. It was focused much more
- 21 on the leadership change.
- The earlier conversations were more about
- 23 these allegations "Are you guys really aware of them?"
- "We're responding appropriately."
- On the 3rd, it was down to "Should I replace

- 1 the acting AG or not," and that was sort of just background to
- 2 that conversation.
- 3 Q. Okay. And can you give us a sense of how the
- 4 conversation came to an end, how the President ultimately made
- 5 his decision?
- A. It didn't appear to us that the President's decision
- 7 was obvious until about the last 15 minutes of the
- 8 conversation. I do recall Pat Cipollone earlier saying "That
- 9 letter, "meaning the draft letter to Georgia, "is a murder-
- 10 suicide pact. And it will damage anyone and anything that it
- 11 touches."
- 12 And I remember some other specific comments
- 13 along that line, but we kind of followed up on that saying,
- 14 you know, "Do you want to be part of that?"
- And so very deep into the conversation, the
- 16 President, who was very frustrated, and he just shook his head
- 17 and he said, "All right. We're not going to do this."
- 18 He looked at Jeff Clark and said, "I
- 19 appreciate you being willing to do this. I appreciate you
- 20 being willing to step up and take all the abuse, but the
- 21 reality is it's not worth the breakage. We're going to have
- 22 mass resignations. It's going to be a disaster. You're not
- 23 going to be able to get this stuff done anyway, and the
- 24 bureaucracy will eat you alive. So we're not going to do
- 25 this."

- 1 At that point, Jeff Clark tried to get the
- 2 President to change his mind. He kept saying,
- 3 "Mr. President, we can do this. We can get it done.
- 4 History is calling." Things like that.
- 5 And the President just sort of doubled down
- 6 and said, "No. No, we're not going to do it."
- 7 He then looked at me and said "So now what
- 8 happens to him, " pointing at Jeff Clark.
- 9 And I didn't understand the question. I
- 10 said, "Sir?"
- And he said, "Are you going to fire him?"
- 12 And I said, "No. I don't have the authority
- 13 to fire him. He's a Presidentially nominated, Senate-
- 14 confirmed AAG. I don't have the authority to fire him."
- And he said, "Well, I'm not going to fire
- 16 him."
- 17 And I said, "Okay. Then, I guess we should
- 18 all go back to work."
- 19 And we all stood up and walked out of the
- 20 Oval Office.
- Q. So you said that White House Counsel Cipollone made
- 22 somewhat of a strong statement about the nature of the letter,
- 23 that it was a murder-suicide pact.
- I'm sorry, do you need a break?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Okay. Since, at some point during the discussion,
- 2 you had intimated that you and Engel and several others of his
- 3 leadership at DOJ might leave or take some sort of action,
- 4 public action if Clark was installed, did anyone from the
- 5 White House staff make any indications similarly, maybe not
- 6 quite that far, but just anything along those lines?
- 7 A. Yes, they did. I think Pat Cipollone said,
- 8 "There's no way I'm going to be here if this happens."
- 9 I mean, there was no "might." It was very
- 10 clear. We will resign. And I think Pat then jumped in and
- 11 said the same thing. "I'm not going to stay around here for
- 12 this."
- 13 Steve Engel at one point said "Jeff Clark
- 14 will be leading a graveyard. And what are you going to get
- done with a graveyard," that there would be such an exodus of
- 16 the leadership.
- 17 So it was very strongly worded to the
- 18 President that that would happen.
- 19 Q. And during this meeting, did U.S. Attorney
- 20 Pak come up in any way?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. And who brought him up? Was it the President
- 23 himself?
- A. I think someone else mentioned something about
- 25 Atlanta, something to the effect of,

- 1 "Mr. President, we've looked at all this stuff. We've looked
- 2 at this. We looked at that. We looked at Atlanta. And, you
- 3 know, these things are not supported by evidence."
- 4 And then he said, "Oh, right. Atlanta. Of
- 5 course."
- 6 And he had a piece of paper on his desk. He
- 7 said, "Atlanta, Atlanta, no surprise there. They didn't find
- 8 anything. No surprise because we have a never-Trumper there
- 9 as U.S. Attorney."
- 10 And I had no idea what he's talking about,
- and he looked at the piece of paper, and he said, "Oh, yeah,
- 12 BJay Pak is a never-Trumper. How did this guy get in my
- 13 administration? He never should have been here in the first
- 14 place."
- 15 And then he read out a quote from the piece
- of paper that he was holding, which was purportedly a quote
- 17 from BJay Pak criticizing the President about his messaging
- 18 and how it impacted the Republican Party's ability to recruit
- 19 minorities and minority candidates, I believe.
- I had never heard this quote before. I had
- 21 no idea what he was talking about. And I just said something
- 22 to the effect of, "Mr. President, I don't even know what that
- is. I have no idea what you're talking about. But all your
- U.S. Attorney's were vetted. So whatever BJay is, I don't
- 25 think he's a never-Trumper, whatever that is."

- And I said "Oh, no, no. He is. He is.
- 2 He's a never-Trumper."
- 3 He was fixated on that for a short period of
- 4 time. And he looked up at me and he said, "I want you to fire
- 5 him."
- I said, "Mr. President, I'm not going to fire
- 7 him. There's no reason to fire him."
- 8 And he said "Then I'm firing him."
- 9 And I said, "Well, before you do that,
- 10 understand that I talked to BJay a couple of days ago, and he
- is submitting his resignation tomorrow morning," which would
- 12 have been Monday morning.
- 13 Pat Cipollone stepped in and said, "We're not
- 14 firing someone who is resigning in a few hours."
- And the President said, "That's fine. I'm
- 16 not going to fire him, then. But when his resignation comes
- in, it's accepted. Tomorrow is his last day as
- 18 U.S. Attorney."
- And Pat Cipollone then said "Fine. Set that
- 20 aside," and then we got back into the rest of the
- 21 conversation.
- Then the President said, "What do you know
- 23 about Bobby Christine?"
- 24 Bobby Christine is a United States Attorney
- 25 in the Southern District of Georgia.

- I said, "Bobby Christine is a great U.S.
- 2 Attorney."
- I didn't understand where he was going with
- 4 this. And he said, "I hear great things about him."
- 5 And I said, "Yes, he's a great U.S.
- 6 Attorney."
- 7 He said, "I want Bobby Christine to run the
- 8 Northern District of Georgia."
- 9 And I said, "Mr. President, Bobby Christine
- 10 is already running the Southern District of Georgia. BJay Pak
- 11 has a first assistant who will step in when BJay leaves."
- 12 And he said, "No, I think Bobby should run
- this, because if he's good, he'll find out if there's
- 14 something there, " something to that effect.
- 15 And then he yelled out to one of his
- 16 administrative assistants "Get Bobby Christine on the phone."
- 17 Within a few moments Bobby Christine was on
- 18 the phone. He was clearly caught completely offguard by this
- 19 phone call.
- The President said, "Bobby, it's
- 21 President Trump. I'm sitting here with Jeff and Rich and
- other people, and BJay Pak is leaving Northern
- 23 District. Would you be willing to run the Northern
- 24 District for the next few weeks?"
- 25 And Bobby sounded confused and -- but he

- 1 said, "Mr. President, I'll do whatever is needed."
- 2 And he said, "Great, Rich will give you a
- 3 call later and explain everything."
- And hung up. That was it. It was a very
- 5 short phone call.
- 6 O. I'll return to that subject in just a minute. But by
- 7 the end of this meeting, once President Trump had indicated
- 8 that he would not replace Acting Attorney General Rosen with
- 9 Clark, did he direct you or anyone else or did anyone else at
- 10 the White House such as the Chief of Staff direct you or
- 11 anyone at the Department to take any further actions in regard
- 12 to any election fraud allegations?
- 13 A. No. It was clear at that point that that was sort
- 14 of over. You know, the President said repeatedly, pointing at
- 15 Acting AG Rosen and me, he said, "These two aren't going to do
- 16 anything. We all know it. I know it. They're not going to
- 17 get anything done."
- 18 But, nonetheless, that was his decision, and
- 19 that was kind of the end of it.
- Q. So following this meeting at the Oval Office, you
- 21 and Hovakimian convened a meeting of DOJ senior leaders. What
- 22 was the purpose of this meeting?
- 23 A. To inform them that Jeff Clark was not going
- 24 to be put in as the Acting AG.
- Q. And was this a particularly long meeting? Was there

- 1 a debriefing of some sort, or was it more conveying that
- 2 direct information?
- A. It was pretty much that. I'm sure we gave a brief
- 4 description of the meeting in the Oval Office. It was probably
- 5 a 20-minute call or so. The Acting AG was on that call, so it
- 6 was the three of us in his office. We convened everyone on
- 7 the call and just said we just spent three hours in the Oval
- 8 Office, and this is the outcome.
- 9 Q. Okay. And did anyone in the group in particular
- 10 discuss any sort of follow-up actions? And by "the group," I
- 11 mean the DOJ senior leadership.
- 12 A. No, I think everyone was just relieved that it had
- 13 come out that way, and tomorrow is Monday morning and we're
- 14 going to get back to work.
- Q. Okay. So I'd like to move on -- unless Sara has a
- 16 question she'd like to interject -- to shortly after that, you
- 17 emailed U.S. Attorney Pak to -- and asked him to call you
- 18 ASAP.
- Why did you do that?
- 20 A. So that I could inform him of the part of the
- 21 discussion that related to him. And I told him, obviously,
- 22 not about the whole conversation, but I told him about the
- "never-Trumper" claim by the President.
- 24 I told him about the quote.
- 25 And he said, "Yeah, I did say that. That was

- 1 from before the election. I said that back in 2016, when I
- 2 was serving in the legislature in Georgia, and I was
- 3 criticizing him. And -- but I became U.S. Attorney anyway."
- I said, "Well, all I can tell you is he was
- 5 going on and on about how you're a never-Trumper. You should
- 6 have never been in the administration, that this was all news
- 7 to him. And that he wanted to fire you, but he decided to
- 8 accept your resignation effective tomorrow when you put it
- 9 in."
- Now, I also told him, pursuant to the
- 11 discussion that Jeff Rosen and I had after the Oval Office,
- 12 that he was free to stay in the Department.
- 13 Because what the President said was he was done being U.S.
- 14 Attorney tomorrow. Jeff Rosen said you know, "If it's helpful
- 15 to BJay, we can keep him in the Department for like another
- 16 two weeks, give him time to clear out his office and say his
- 17 good-byes and all that stuff. He would not be the U.S.
- 18 Attorney, but we would keep him in the Department as a
- 19 Schedule C employee."
- So I explained that to BJay. I said,
- 21 "Listen, Jeff Rosen wants you to know that you can
- 22 stay. You can't be U.S. Attorney after tomorrow. You can
- 23 stay. If it's more helpful, we will keep you on the payroll.
- 24 You can take your time cleaning out your office. It would be
- 25 more graceful, et cetera."

- 1 He said, "All right. I appreciate that. Let
- 2 me think about it, and I'll let you know."
- 3 He called me at about, I think, 4:30 the next
- 4 morning. He was on the road. He said, "I'm on my way to the
- 5 office. I'm going to clear out my office. Tell Jeff I
- 6 appreciate the offer, but I'm going to go. If the President
- 7 wants me out, I'm just going to go."
- 8 So he went and cleared out his office and
- 9 left.
- 10 Q. And earlier when we were discussing the Oval Office
- 11 meeting, you had indicated that you and Pak had previously
- 12 discussed his resignation, that he had already planned to
- 13 resign.
- 14 About when did that take place, when did Pak
- 15 inform you of this?
- 16 A. Probably Tuesday or Wednesday of that week.
- 17 That was routine because of the U.S. Attorneys were all
- 18 informing me as to their departure plans. Some of them had
- 19 already left. Some of them had stayed until the end, whatever
- 20 it was, but that was not unusual.
- BJay said, "Hey, Monday morning, I'll send
- 22 you my resignation."
- "Okay. Fine."
- 24 That had nothing to do with investigations or
- 25 election or anything. It was just a routine departure of U.S.

- 1 Attorneys.
- Q. Okay. So there was no indication on Pak's part that
- 3 there was a specific impetus besides the end of the
- 4 administration that was leading him to resign earlier?
- 5 A. Yeah, that's all it was.
- 6 Q. Were you or Rosen personally dissatisfied with Pak
- 7 or his performance in Georgia as an U.S.
- 8 Attorney?
- 9 A. No. I certainly wasn't. I don't think Jeff Rosen
- 10 was. I think he was in a difficult position. I think sort of
- 11 what I described earlier about the conflict between ECB, the
- 12 Election Crimes Branch, and others, some of that was going on
- 13 throughout the Department. And I think that -- BJay would
- 14 have to explain this for himself, but I think his own people
- 15 were dragging their feet a little bit in investigating these
- 16 things. And so -- but he got it done.
- When I asked him, he said, "Yeah, we looked
- 18 at the video. We did the interviews."
- 19 So he got it done. And I was confident he
- 20 was doing his job.
- 21 Mr. Charlet. Thank you, Mr. Donoghue. I
- 22 will turn this back over to my colleague, Sara.
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. Can I just go back to the Oval Office discussions?
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Sarah, I apologize for

- 1 interrupting. But we're wondering, it was our -- it was
- 2 represented to us that there was a 2 p.m. hard break here.
- 3 Ms. Zdeb. Yes.
- 4 Mr. Flynn-Brown. What's the status here? Because
- 5 we're beyond 2:00, and we altered some of our questions to hit
- 6 the 2:00 p.m. deadline.
- 7 Ms. Zdeb. So as I understood our discussion
- 8 shortly before the lunch break, Mr. Donoghue and his counsel
- 9 indicated they had a little bit more wiggle room. So I
- 10 personally just had one or two more questions, and then we are
- 11 done for purposes of our side. And then, obviously, you're
- 12 welcome to --
- 13 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I appreciate that. Thank
- 14 you.
- Ms. Zdeb. Sure.
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- 17 Q. So just back to the discussion about U.S.
- 18 Attorney Pak in the Oval Office and the characterization of
- 19 him being a never-Trumper. You described that, and then you
- 20 went on to describe the discussion you had with the President
- 21 about how, ordinarily, the Acting U.S. Attorney would be the
- 22 first assistant, but he seemed insistent on, instead, putting
- 23 Bobby Christine into that role.
- 24 And I just wanted to make sure I heard one
- 25 thing you said correctly. You said the President said

- 1 something to the effect of "I've heard great things about
- 2 Bobby Christine, and if I put him in, he'll do something about
- 3 it."
- 4 Is that what you said?
- 5 A. Something to that effect. Of course it's not a
- 6 quote, but he said something like, "Well, if this guy is good,
- 7 maybe something will actually get done."
- Q. And by "something getting done," what did you
- 9 interpret him to mean?
- 10 A. That there would be some sort of investigation that
- 11 hadn't been done. But as I had told him repeatedly, the
- 12 Department's looked at it. They did their job in the Northern
- 13 District of Georgia.
- 14 O. Just one concluding question, and then I think we
- 15 are going to be done for our side unless we have any follow-up
- 16 after any additional questions by our colleagues on Senator
- 17 Grassley's staff.
- 18 So we've discussed today a number of
- 19 different examples, email communications, phone calls,
- 20 meetings in which various White House officials and outside
- 21 allies of the President, for lack of a better term, brought
- 22 election fraud allegations to your attention; in some
- 23 instances, asked the Department to look into them.
- 24 Other than the examples that we have
- 25 discussed today, were there any additional instances in which

- 1 you were personally approached either by someone in the White
- 2 House or an outside ally of the President with election fraud
- 3 allegations or a request that you look into something?
- 4 A. There were so many other things that we looked into,
- 5 as I sit here now, I don't recollect anything offhand that
- 6 came from the White House. But there were other matters, some
- 7 of which were public, some of which were not, that the
- 8 Department, obviously, was looking at. And some of which came
- 9 up in the course of these discussions.
- So, for instance, there was an allegation of
- 11 a truck driver who had driven a truckload of ballots from New
- 12 York to Pennsylvania and things like that. We looked at it.
- 13 We did not find that to be supported by evidence. And so that
- 14 was mentioned in passing, but as one of the examples of things
- 15 that we had done and had not been supported by the evidence
- 16 that was developed.
- So there were things like that, but I don't,
- 18 as I sit here now, recollect off the top of my head specific
- instances that were referred to us by the
- 20 White House.
- Ms. Zdeb. Thank you. And with that, I think I am
- 22 right at an hour, and that will conclude this round of
- 23 questioning for our side.
- Josh, I'm happy to turn it back to you if you
- 25 have an additional round. And then we'll assess whether we

- 1 have anything else. But if we do, I anticipate that it would
- 2 be very short.
- 3 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Just a couple follow-up
- 4 questions here.
- 5 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 6 Q. So with respect to the January 3rd meeting, and I
- 7 appreciate the detail that you went into in your explanation,
- 8 it sounded like President Trump, given the length of the
- 9 meeting and the number of people in there, and based on your
- 10 description, that this was a very open and frank discussion
- 11 about the risks and pitfalls of some of these decisions that
- 12 could have been made.
- Is that an accurate representation?
- 14 A. Yes. It was definitely very open and frank.
- O And you said it lasted approximately three hours?
- A. It lasted two and a half hours after I joined, and I
- 17 think they were in there for 25 minutes before I went in.
- 18 Q. So during the course of those discussions, did you
- 19 feel like President Trump took your and your colleagues', with
- 20 the exception of Jeff Clark, took your concerns very seriously
- 21 and gave them the due weight that you would have wanted them
- 22 to be given?
- 23 A. He did. And in the end, he made the decision that
- 24 we felt was appropriate.
- Q. And when he made that decision -- you may have

- 1 answered this, so forgive me if this is retread. But what was
- 2 Jeff Clark's reaction when Trump rejected his letter and
- 3 rejected the idea of him being at the top of the Department of
- 4 Justice?
- 5 A. He was extremely disappointed. When we walked out
- of the Oval Office, we all went over to the box to get our
- 7 phones out. And he said something to the effect of, "I know
- 8 we were all in there just doing what we thought was best for
- 9 the country. So no hard feelings, " or something like that.
- 10 No one responded.
- 11 We all just looked at him.
- 12 Q. So Jeff Clark then had a firmly held belief that
- 13 what he was doing was the right way, was the right approach?
- 14 A. That's what he said.
- 15 O. So after that meeting then, what kind of
- 16 interactions and relationship did all of you have with Jeff
- 17 Clark at that time, or was he effectively iced out?
- 18 A. I only saw him once after that. I don't think I had
- 19 any discussions or any interaction with him other than that
- one incident, and that was a brown-bag lunch where the AAGs
- 21 were invited to the DAG conference room for sort of a final
- 22 brown-bag lunch in the last days of the administration. He
- 23 showed up, and he sat at the end of the table.
- 24 And I said to Acting AG Rosen, after it was
- over, I said, "I'm sure the only reason he came here was to

- 1 make sure we weren't talking about him."
- Q. Do you know if Jeff Clark continued to interact with
- 3 President Trump after this January 3rd meeting?
- 4 A. I don't know. I think I -- I didn't say a word to
- 5 him during the brown-bag lunch. I don't think I said a word
- 6 to him or had any communications with him from the time we
- 7 walked out of the Oval Office.
- Q. After the January 3rd meeting, did you hear about
- 9 anything relating to that again?
- In other words, basically, the question is
- once the decision was made, it was made; there was no going
- 12 back. Is that correct?
- 13 A. There was no going back. It appeared to me that
- 14 decision was done. And, you know, in very short order, we
- 15 were dealing with January 6.
- 16 Q. I see. Yeah, so you guys moved on.
- 17 A. Yeah, that and the inauguration were all consuming
- 18 from that point.
- 19 Q. You mentioned that BJay Pak was going to resign on
- 20 January 4. Is that the correct date?
- 21 A. That's the day he was going to submit his
- 22 resignation letter. I did not know, and he never told me,
- 23 when he intended it to be effective.
- Q. And the President said, "Okay. Once he submits that
- 25 resignation letter, I want it accepted immediately"?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And then BJay Pak had an opportunity to walk back
- 3 that planned resignation, stay at the Department. And he chose
- 4 not to walk that resignation back; is that correct?
- 5 A. Not so much to walk the resignation back, but
- 6 to remain in the Department as something other than the U.S.
- 7 Attorney.
- 8 Q. I see. So he would have transitioned to a different
- 9 post. He would still be within the Department?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to highlight for the record, Mr.
- 12 Donoghue -- you don't have to address this. But there was
- 13 some discussion about communications between the President and
- 14 members of law enforcement within government.
- I want to highlight a September 30th, 2020 --
- 16 and incorporate it by reference here, I guess, if you want to
- 17 call it that -- September 30th, 2020, Senate Judiciary
- 18 Committee hearing with Former Director Comey, where Comey
- 19 said, under questioning from Senator Grassley, that Comey
- 20 provided information to President Obama in the summer of 2016
- 21 with respect to the Russia investigation, which we all know is
- 22 Crossfire Hurricane.
- 23 And then, of course, you have the infamous
- January 5th, 2017, meeting in the Oval Office with Obama,
- 25 Comey, Biden, Sally Yates and Susan Rice where they discussed

- 1 Lieutenant General Flynn and withholding information from the
- 2 Trump transition team, with respect to what at that time they
- 3 believed to be risks attendant to Lieutenant General Flynn.
- 4 Of course, the Obama administration refused
- 5 and failed to give any defensive briefing or heads-up to the
- 6 Trump campaign and transition team, and they proceeded to
- 7 investigate them.
- 8 So if we're going to be talking about
- 9 communications with the White House and DOJ and FBI officials,
- 10 the record with respect to Crossfire Hurricane ought to be
- 11 mentioned in the same breath when it comes to those types of
- 12 communications. And we all know how Crossfire Hurricane ended
- 13 up.
- 14 So, with that, I'm going to reserve my time
- in case we need to jump in again.
- But, Sara, back to you.
- 17 Ms. Zdeb. As much as I always enjoy
- 18 revisiting Crossfire Hurricane, I think we are complete in
- 19 terms of our questions on this side. So, Mr. Donoghue, thank
- 20 you very much.
- Mr. Andres. Sara, excuse me.
- 22 We just wanted to clarify the record and
- 23 supplement it with one additional answer that I think wasn't
- 24 followed up on, but just wanted the record to be complete
- 25 about one other discussion that Mr. Donoghue had with the

- 1 President that wasn't asked about.
- 2 Ms. Zdeb. Of course.
- Mr. Donoghue. Just to be completely clear, I
- 4 think I was asked about a conversation that I had with the
- 5 President. I mentioned that the President called me on
- 6 December 14th, the day that Attorney General Barr submitted
- 7 his resignation.
- 8 The President called me late that afternoon
- 9 on my cell phone and -- well, let me back up a moment.
- 10 After AG Barr returned from the White House, I went
- 11 to his office and asked him essentially how it went, because I
- 12 had learned, while he was gone, that he was submitting his
- 13 resignation. He essentially said it went as well as it could,
- 14 that he had delivered the letter to the President. And he
- 15 said, "The President is thinking about who will be the Acting
- 16 Attorney
- 17 General."
- 18 And I said, "Presumably, Jeff Rosen will be
- 19 the Acting Attorney General."
- 20 And he said "Yes, maybe. But your name came
- 21 up as well. So you should be prepared to get a call from the
- 22 President. You should be prepared to get called over to the
- 23 White House. And you should think about, if that offer is
- 24 made, whether you'd want to do that."
- 25 He said "Think about it tonight because I

- 1 wouldn't be surprised if you get called over there tomorrow
- 2 morning."
- I went back to my office, and very shortly
- 4 thereafter, my phone rang. It was the White House operator.
- 5 They put the President on. We had a very brief conversation
- 6 where he said, "Did you hear that
- 7 Bill is leaving?"
- 8 I said, "Yes, Mr. President. I saw him when
- 9 he returned from the White House. I understand you had a good
- 10 meeting."
- He said, "Yes, yes, good meeting. He gave me
- 12 this great letter. So that means I need an Attorney General.
- 13 I think you would be great at that. Would you want to serve
- 14 as the Attorney General?"
- And I essentially said "Thank you, Mr. President.
- 16 I appreciate that, but I really think it would be in the
- 17 best interest of the country, the Department, and you to
- 18 follow the regular course."
- 19 And he said "Well, does that mean Jeff would
- 20 become the Acting Attorney General? That's the regular
- 21 course."
- 22 And I said, "Yes, pursuant to the statute
- 23 when the Attorney General leaves, the Deputy Attorney
- 24 General steps into the position. He's a great lawyer.
- 25 He'll do a great job."

- And the President asked, "Will you stay until
- 2 the end?"
- And I said, "I have no plans of leaving
- 4 before the administration is over. I'll be here to the end."
- And he said, "So you'll serve as his deputy?"
- 6 Jeff Rosen and I had already discussed that,
- 7 and I said, "That's my expectation."
- 8 And he said, "Great. I'll call Jeff."
- I then left. I went up to AG Barr's office. I told
- 10 AG Barr about that conversation. I then went downstairs to
- 11 tell the DAG. When I entered the DAG's conference room, his
- 12 phone was ringing. He picked it up. He said, "It's the White
- 13 House." He went into his office.
- 14 Then he came out and he told me that the President
- 15 asked him to be the Acting Attorney General, I congratulated
- 16 him, and we went on from there.
- 17 So I just didn't want that to come up later
- 18 at some point, and have anyone say "Why didn't you tell the
- 19 staffers or the committee about this conversation?"
- BY MS. ZDEB.
- Q. I appreciate that. Thank you.
- Just a couple of quick follow-ups. I'm
- 23 curious if you were either given a sense by Attorney General
- 24 Barr, or had your own impression at the time, as to why the
- 25 President might be interested in having you serve as Acting

- 1 Attorney General as opposed to
- 2 Mr. Rosen?
- 3 A. No, I didn't know. I had no contact with the
- 4 President for a very long time before that. That's -I had one
- 5 meeting at the Oval Office on December 4. It had nothing to
- 6 do with elections. It was one brief conversation. But I had
- 7 no contact with the President throughout 2020, and I think
- 8 almost all of 2019.
- 9 So I didn't understand why he thought that
- 10 was a good idea. And AG Barr didn't let me know why he
- 11 thought that might be an issue.
- 12 Q. Did you have any sense that the President was
- 13 somehow unhappy with Jeff Rosen?
- A. No, not at all.
- Ms. Zdeb. Josh, anything else?
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. I do not. And, again, I
- 17 want to thank you, sir, for your time today. Much
- 18 appreciated.
- 19 Mr. Donoghue. Thank you all. I appreciate
- 20 your professionalism in this.
- Ms. Zdeb. And we thank you very much for
- 22 making yourself available on somewhat short notice and
- 23 voluntarily.
- It is 2:23. And unless there's anything else, we can go
- 25 off the record.

| 1 | Certificate | e of Deponen | t/Interviewee | |
|----|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| 2 | I have read the foregoing | pages, | which contain the | correct |
| 3 | transcript of the answers | made by me | to the questions t | herein |
| 4 | recorded. | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | Witness | Name | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | Date | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

WORD INDEX

<0> 0063 19:12, 15

<1>
1 10:16 11:4, 5
100:18 125:22
134:18

1:00 144:12, 19, 20

1:03 123:7 **1:11** 129:5

10 52:20 71:24 83:25 106:10

10:24 45:*14* **1001** 5:*11*

11 11:*13* 14:*13* 106:*9* 113:*6*, *7*

11/9/20 66:*18* **11:00** 52:*20*

11:00 52:20 **11:37** 83:11

12 8:*14* 113:*6* 114:*20*, *21*

12/27/20 36:8 **12:30** 144:20

12:34 73:5

12:39 123:5 **13** 15:*18* 114:*19*

123:*16*, *17* **14** 15:*19* 26:*6*

124:21, 22

14th 16:*10* 53:*18* 176:*6*

15 26:9 71:17 79:3 123:1 125:17, 18 137:20 147:22 157:7

15,000 19:*10*

15-minute 122:24 **15th** 31:3, 8, 22

38:22, 23 39:11, 21 41:24 51:3, 7

16 129:*11*, *12*, *13*, *16* **17** 129:*16* 133:*16*,

18 18 5:11 129:11

133:*15* 137:*4*, 7 **18th** 34:*25*

18th 34:2

1992 8:*3* **1993** 8:*5*

1st 129:18 130:8

<2>
2 14:20, 21, 22
100:23 124:21
137:12 168:2

137:12 168:2 2:00 122:15 168:5,

6 **2:23** 179:24

20 79:*3* 97:*14* **2000** 8:*12* 87:*18*

2004 87:*17* **2011** 8:*15*, *21*

2015 113:2

2016 165:*1* 174:20 **2017** 113:2 174:24

2018 7:20 8:25 **2019** 179:8

2020 2:7 6:16 7:13, 21 9:4 10:13, 16, 19 11:13 12:23 46:6, 17 51:7 54:7

56:3, 7, 24 65:25 66:6 69:25 70:9 73:5, 11 77:8, 12

78:21 79:8 80:21 82:14 90:1 96:18

97:24 106:14 110:8 124:25 174:15, 17 179:7

2021 1:*13* 46:2*1* 47:8 51:6 125:2*3* 127:22 128:2, 5

127:22 128:2, 5 129:18 133:20

205,000 42:5, 7 **20-minute** 121:9 164:5

21 10:2*1* 33:20 **2-1** 51:3 **21st** 34:2, *12*

23 7:13 23rd 36:17 24 154:21

25 69:24 150:1, 4 171:17

250,000 133:*1* 156:*15*

27 89:23 **27th** 38:23 51:13, 25 86:11 90:5

25 86:11 90:5 93:11 101:23 118:22

28 46:6, 17 90:1 96:18

28th 51:24 52:18 117:5, 7 138:6

29 106:*14* **2nd** 104:*18* 117:*8* 137:*1* 143:*11*, *19*

<3>
3 34:22 46:21
47:8, 18 117:5
30 37:10 38:9

30 37:*10* 38:9 115:*1* **3-0** 38:9, *10*

30th 124:25 174:15, 17

3-1 51:3, 5

31st 30:*19* 31:8, 23 51:3, 7 116:22

117:1, 11 **3rd** 50:6, 24 51:6 52:19 106:4 117:8 143:23 156:18, 25

171:6 173:3, 8 < **4** >

4 34:21 35:23 37:2 57:17 86:10 125:17 173:20 179:5

4:30 166:*3* **4:40** 102:*8* **4:48** 96:*18*

4th 143:20

<5> 5 35:22 36:20 45:23 46:1 57:15,

17, 22 62:23, 25 123:15 **5.25** 62:23

5:50 100:4 102:8 **500** 8:23

598 124:2*1* 5th 174:2*4*

< 6 >

6 1:13 70:4, 5 83:17 89:10, 13 127:22 128:2, 5 145:6 149:16

173:*15* **6:15** 147:2

600,000 42:*12* **601** 124:*21*

675 125:17

68 15:25 16:16 19:14 20:5 21:14

22:8 28:7, 20 **680** 11:8

681 12:*14* **689** 35:*3*

689 35:*3* **6th** 144:*15*

<7>
7 45:23 69:25
70:8 73:5 80:20
82:13 89:11, 14, 15, 16, 20

714 123:*16* **736** 57:*23*

737 43:7 58:15 60:4 86:14

738 60:24 61:11 62:7 86:22

739 62:7 **740** 88:*1*

741 63:9

744 45:24 46:15

745 46:7 **750** 45:25

<**8**> **8** 89:17, 18, 24
96:11

8:00 52:*1* **8:15** 52:2

8:42 89:23 90:11 93:10 94:4

82 8:9

<9>
9 96:14, 15

9:05 1:17 2:2 **9:10** 6:9 **92** 8:4

94 78:23 9th 66:10

< A >

a.m 1:18 2:2 6:9 73:5

A/AG 46:21 47:1 **AAG** 46:22 97:4 105:8, 11 112:6 148:1, 6 158:14

AAGs 13:6 14:*1* 97:*1* 147:9, *14*, *15* 148:*18* 150:*18* 154:*10* 155:5

172:20 **ability** 23:20 67:8 94:21 160:18 **able** 21:7 23:25 61:20 71:4 76:11

112:1 157:23 **absent** 105:6 127:11

absentee 72:*13* **Absolutely** 56:*4*60:*3* 136:9 154:7 **abuse** 157:*20* **accept** 165:8

acceptable 12:18

accepted 48:12 161:17 173:25 accessed 99:16 accounting 50:23 accuracy 22:2 accurate 26:4

36:12 47:1, 10 48:5, 11 54:21 58:20 84:21 141:10 171:13 acknowledged

138:20 Acknowledges 139:6 act 22:17 119:4 **Acting** 7:1, 3, 6, 7 27:6 36:16 37:18 47:2, 11, 23, 25 49:13 56:5, 9 61:13 63:21 66:12 74:6 97:4 100:6, 12 102:3, 9 103:17 105:6, 9, 11, 14 111:17 114:11, 13 116:6 117:25 121:22 130:9 132:1 133:12 134:16 137:16 139:15, 17 141:25 142:1, 20 143:1, 5, 15 145:1 146:7

148:16 149:20 152:2, 7, 10, 16 153:20 156:1 157:1 163:8, 15, 24 164:5 168:21

172:24 176:15, 19 177:20 178:15, 25

action 16:6 44:2 56:2, 6 68:1 74:7 96:19 105:6

113:*1*2 115:*4*, *11*, 24 119:*6*, 9, *15*, 2*1*, 23 120:8 159:*3*, *4*

actions 22:18 74:21 76:18 108:25 115:23

132:6, *15* 163:*11* 164:*10*

active 8:5, 6 **activities** 3:16 50:2 135:22

activity 49:22 50:9 73:7, 11 74:1 75:14 125:6

actors 121:14 ad 77:21 adamant 42:19

adamantly 48:24 152:17

add 5:23, 24 129:1 added 42:13 addition 98:16 117:16

additional 69:20 86:12 99:19 169:16, 25 170:25

175:2*3* **address** 41:*11*100:*3* 174:*12*

addressed 123:20 156:19 **addressing** 17:1

adhere 122:20 **administration** 154:13 160:13

165:6 167:4 172:22 175:4 178:4 administrations 132:20 administrative 102:15 150:5 162:16 admitted 8:4 admitting 39:18 advance 39:20 113:20 advancing 41:6 advice 48:6, 8, 12, 17, 19 49:19, 21 50:5, 7, 9, 16, 17 55:3, 8, 22 152:14 **Advised** 108:11 Advisorv 94:16 Advocate 8:5 advocating 48:22 140:25 152:15 afternoon 3:4 16:12 37:4, 7 51:25 101:17 106:3 107:4 117:24 137:14 144:13, 18, 22 146:4 150:3 176:8 **AG** 10:2 13:2, 6 16:12 17:7 18:10, 15, 25 20:13, 14 23:4 24:23 25:24 29:9 32:14 35:6, 9, 16 36:17 53:18 55:25 57:4 63:18 66:9, 18 67:2, 9, 11, 13 68:15 69:15 74:6 75:6 97:7 102:3, 9 103:17 114:13 116:6 121:22 130:9 137:16 139:15 141:25 142:20 143:5, 15 145:1 146:7 148:17 157:1 163:15, 24 164:5 172:24 176:10 178:9, 10 179:10 agencies 59:24 136:19 144:13 agency 110:6 Agent 52:22 53:2, 10 65:7, 8 78:4 agents 69:12 agent's 53:11 aggressive 76:10 127:9, 11 ago 20:3 84:16 92:2 132:5 135:7 161:10

agree 12:8 59:21, *23* 114:*1* 134:*5* 135:23 136:3, 5, 17, 25 146:18 agreed 14:1 28:20 105:20 131:20 135:12 136:16 146:22 **AG's** 13:25 23:12 81:3 84:25 134:16 143:*1* ahead 14:18 26:5, 9 28:22 104:2, 8 113:4 116:22 129:9 **aim** 31:13 air 30:4 Airborne 8:9 akin 107:22 **Alabama** 64:*3* alerts 133:21 alive 157:24 allay 59:19 allegation 23:15 39:13 40:3 42:8 44:13 53:19, 22 55:12 70:23 71:2 72:8, 22 77:24 78:6, 14 79:14 80:10 96:4 108:17 112:11, 14 124:12 170:10 allegations 9:9, 10 15:1 22:4, 6, 18 23:13 27:20, 24 34:12 35:2 37:11, 17, 18, 24 39:10 40:1, 2 42:5 43:15, 17 44:17 54:19, 21 55:5, 9, 10, 20, 23 56:16 58:11, 25 59:5, 6, 12 64:4, 20, 23, 25 67:22 70:8, 10 73:1 74:13 77:19 78:21 79:9 93:13 95:5, 6, 9 97:23 118:6 120:23 124:5, 13, 16, 18 125:5, 8, 13, 24, 25 133:22 136:3 141:6 156:4, 7, 14, 19, 20, 23 163:12 169:22 170:3 alleged 62:6 125:6 **allegedly** 61:1, 17 Allied 15:17 19:2, 13, 18 25:14 26:3 28:16 allies 31:13 87:9

allow 105:18 114:14 **alluded** 143:21 **allv** 170:2 **alpha** 61:12 alter 33:24 **altered** 168:5 altering 76:9 America 128:3 American 20:17, 23 21:7 22:3 31:18, 19 32:6, 8 33:4, 12, 13 39:12 60:2 68:17 115:12, 25 119:13, 16 153:9 amount 3:22 60:16 68:23 Amundson 66:1 73:5 81:10 82:9, 13 83:18 A-m-u-n-d-s-o-n 66:2 analysis 35:13 61:6 analyzed 22:7 Andres 4:20, 21 83:9 122:18, 24 129:4 175:21 Andrew 18:4 angry 42:25 announced 10:17 16:11 26:12 anomalies 133:22 **answer** 5:1, 4 54:3 55:14 62:21 77:16 108:21 149:12 150:7, 8 175:23 answered 37:8 52:3 172:1 answers 5:16 180:3 **Antell** 3:12 anticipate 4:8 171:*1* anticipating 143:19 anticipation 14:12 **Antrim** 15:2, 22 16:*1* 18:*3* 19:*5*, *10* 23:11 25:17 27:19 28:5 29:17, 21 31:7 35:1 A-n-t-r-i-m 15:22 **anybody** 122:25 anyway 23:9 72:20 132:9 157:23 165:3 apartment 52:1 130:9 137:15, 18 apologize 51:19 90:4 92:14 113:21 123:4 167:25 apologized 151:13

apparently 72:9 124:8 131:13 141:17 appear 2:14 4:15 157:6 appearance 22:22 23:20 appearances 23:19 appeared 34:13 102:23 113:2 144:24 173:13 **appearing** 2:13 4:2, appears 35:1 **appendix** 127:25 applies 5:12 **apply** 3:16 appointed 8:19 30:16 appointing 30:9 appointment 7:15 46:13 appreciate 2:14 4:3 63:22 157:19 166:*1*, *6* 168:*13* 171:7 177:16 178:21 179:19 appreciated 179:18 **apprise** 80:20 approach 20:14 21:5, 11 39:16, 21 132:2 172:13 approached 170:1 appropriate 9:14 12:3 21:23 49:25 53:5 80:4 84:19 100:13 171:24 appropriately 156:24 approval 65:20 69:8, 10, 14 **approve** 79:19 approving 100:22 approximately 62:23 171:15 **April** 6:19 area 64:12 Arena 44:20 45:5 70:10, 15 argument 85:5 95:21 **Armv** 8:5 149:8 **arose** 16:4, 9 62:24 arrange 100:18 arranging 100:22 arrested 112:24 **arrests** 112:23 **arrived** 149:16 articles 66:21 articulated 50:4

Arturo 109:8, 19 110:19 112:7, 23 **ASAP** 164:18 aside 31:1 130:13 161:20 asked 13:5 18:10 29:10 32:15 84:7 90:15 91:7 92:22 93:17 96:8 111:9, 16 112:18 120:5, 6 129:25 130:2 134:22 136:13 137:18 145:20 164:17 167:17 169:23 176:1, 4, 11 178:1, 15 asking 82:7 98:7 111:15 112:9 120:13 135:7 aspect 99:21, 25 100:25 114:18 135:*1* aspects 39:5 113:12 135:3 **assemble** 46:13 assembled 25:11, 22 assess 67:3 170:25 assessment 56:1 85:17 135:23 assistance 53:3 60:5 **Assistant** 8:13, 17 11:12 13:6 24:7 47:25 48:1 104:25 162:11 168:22 assistants 102:16 150:5 162:16 **Associate** 6:15, 25 7:16, 22 9:3 **assume** 47:4, 5, 7 61:19 77:16 **assumed** 90:20 assurance 22:2 assurances 22:21 Atlanta 52:24 53:13 54:13 159:25 160:2, 4, 7 attached 15:1, 18 35:6, 11 115:1 attaching 11:13 93:12 134:19 attachment 35:8 attachments 15:5, 7, 9 24:2 **attempt** 68:14 attempts 2:7 attendant 175:3 attended 26:21, 22 attending 26:10

169:21

apparent 54:17

58:19

attention 15:10

3

155:3 169:22 **attitude** 71:11 **Attorney** 6:15, 25 7:1, 4, 7, 8, 13, 16, 17, 19 8:1, 13, 20, 25 9:3, 12, 15 10:1, 22 11:12 14:25 16:10 20:8 23:21 24:13 25:22 26:11 33:20, 21 35:12, 17 36:16 37:18 47:2, 11, 24, 25 48:2 49:13 56:5, 9, 19, 23 57:6 61:14 63:21 64:7 65:4 66:1, 7 72:24 73:21 74:17 75:6 84:25 85:14 86:4 89:21 94:7, 11, 16, 19, 20 95:4, 18 100:4, 6, 12 101:16 104:25 105:6, 14 106:17 111:17 113:9 114:11 117:25 124:19 132:1 133:12 139:10 140:8 142:1 145:21 149:20 152:2, 7, 10, 16 153:20 156:1 159:19 160:9 161:18, 24 162:2, 6 163:8 164:17 165:3, 14, 18, 22 167:8 168:18, 21 174:7 176:6, 16, 19 177:12, 14, 20, 23 178:*15*, *23* 179:*1* **Attorneys** 9:18, 22 13:7 15:3, 9, 14 16:24 17:15, 18 24:3, 23 25:2 26:8 37:19 78:25 94:9, *13*, *15* 139:4 154:19, 20 166:17 167:*1* Attorney's 9:11 10:6, 11 21:2 43:5 54:13 64:1, 3, 24 65:2, 11, 13, 22 69:2, 9 71:8 73:23 74:5, 8 78:8, 10, 12, 23 80:4, 8 125:15 131:17, 25 160:24 attributed 81:23 audience 132:1 **audio** 62:2 audit 19:21 21:12 **AUGUST** 1:13 **AUSAs** 78:23

authenticate 120:13 authored 19:22 authorities 7:12 71:16 authority 9:12 16:25 41:15 56:18 65:20 68:21, 24 69:8 73:18 158:12, 14 authorization 74:24 76:21 84:4 99:12 authorized 4:4 16:7 21:2 67:21 75:1 134:8, 21 available 179:22 avoided 85:11 Awan 92:18 **A-w-a-n** 92:18 aware 9:18, 23 10:7, 14 13:11 14:14 16:24 17:22, 24 24:5 29:5, 7, 13, 15, 24 30:1, 2 36:15 39:12, 17 41:25 42:16 52:25 53:9 55:11, 15, 17, 20 59:7, 20 80:11, 15, 22 100:16, 21 104:21 105:15 108:23 109:12, 15 124:15 125:7 130:25 156:23 awareness 91:2

< B > back 3:22 9:2 13:6 18:9 20:2 24:2 32:21, 24 36:22 37:1 44:23 45:11, 14 46:15 71:4 72:7, 12, 25 83:11 86:10 90:9 96:5, 10 99:8, 18 100:25 101:21 111:11 112:5 116:23 123:7, 13 129:15 130:13 134:7, 19, 25 137:11 138:23 143:14 146:5, 21 148:16 150:10, 12, *17* 153:*12* 155:*24* 158:18 161:20 164:14 165:1 167:22, 24 168:17 170:24 173:12, 13 174:2, 4, 5 175:16 176:9 177:3 back-and-forth 81:4 115:9

background 93:7 101:22 111:3, 4 157:*1* backward 6:22 **bad** 92:14 bag 59:23 **bail** 140:11, 14, 17 **balance** 23:20 **ballot** 45:6 124:11 126:1, 5 138:11 **ballots** 44:23 45:6 52:13, 23 53:12 54:2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 61:18 62:18 70:18, 19, 24 72:7, 9, 13, 16, 21 123:24 170:11 bar 8:4 **Barb** 36:21 barcoding 72:20 Barr 10:2, 17 16:11 18:15, 25 20:9 26:11 29:9 32:14 33:21 35:17 36:17 53:18 66:9 67:2, 18, 20 69:15 76:12, 13, 19 81:24 85:14 176:6, 10 178:10, 24 179:10 Barr's 10:23 13:2 16:13 17:7 20:14 24:23 56:1 66:18 67:9 68:15 69:15 76:9 86:4, 6 178:9 base 103:4 **based** 47:16, 18 50:9, 20 58:21 59:10 62:14 70:13 71:6 73:9 76:2 131:*12* 132:*11* 138:13, 16, 24 171:9 basically 18:5 28:10 39:19 48:22 53:1 71:1 79:22 121:13 145:21 173:10 **basis** 97:6 Bates 11:7 43:6 46:7, 15 57:23 58:15 60:24 63:9 86:22 125:17 **Bates-numbered** 12:14 **Bates-stamped** 45:24 123:16 124:21 **becoming** 118:20

103:5 138:22 146:6, 8 148:10 beginning 11:7 72:12 135:5 **begins** 73:4 behalf 2:12 26:8 31:16 33:3, 4, 17 60:1 74:22 76:14 113:10 115:11, 12 128:16 **belief** 172:*12* **believe** 16:6 17:19 18:3, 17 19:12 24:9 26:25 27:1 30:19 44:19 45:24 54:3 58:3, 16 60:25 63:1 66:11, 23 69:21 70:3, 4, 7 71:17, 23 74:3 77:5 83:25 86:20 87:17 100:15 102:6 107:25 108:18 109:23 110:*1* 112:2*1* 113:1, 23 116:6, 22 120:7 121:22 123:16, 20, 23 124:14 130:9 134:9 140:9 145:21 153:10 160:19 **believed** 16:18 20:6 32:1, 4 121:24 175:3 **belong** 53:2 benefit 33:5, 7 115:19, 24, 25 benefited 33:10 best 69:16 94:15 172:8 177:17 better 37:24 38:3. 13, 20 53:25 54:14 64:17 97:16 122:8 128:4 138:2 149:3 169:2*1* **beyond** 21:13 74:24 81:19 130:15 131:6 142:21 168:5 **Biden** 174:25 **big** 41:12 Bill 177:7 bin 72:16 bins 72:10, 14 **Birge's** 18:4 **bit** 14:4 21:25 26:15 27:25 31:22 32:25 81:6 83:19 92:13 96:9 98:8

101:16 105:24

108:14 120:12, 15

126:24 129:21 138:7 142:3 143:14 152:22 167:15 168:9 **BJay** 64:6 124:14, 18, 19 139:6, 17, 18, 20, 25 140:1 160:12, 17, 24 161:10 162:10, 11, 22 165:15, 20 166:21 167:13 173:19 174:2 **blaming** 42:25 **Board** 54:3 70:15 **Bobby** 140:6, 9, 18, 24 161:23, 24 162:1, 7, 9, 12, 16, 17, 20, 25 168:23 169:2 **boiled** 84:16 85:8 bondsman 140:11, 15, 18 **boots** 64:11 149:6 boss 141:17 **bottom** 11:8 12:13 35:4 43:7 58:3 60:4, 6, 24 61:12 86:21 88:1 **bound** 136:9 **Bowdich** 70:1, 9 75:3 80:20, 25 82:9, 13, 14, 20, 22 83:18 box 24:25 172:6 boxes 146:6, 9 **Brad** 3:9 122:25 129:25 130:3, 17 Brady 89:21 94:11 Branch 65:18 66:4, 5 67:9 68:11 69:12 71:11 75:5, 9, 13 77:6, 7 79:2 80:2, 7 83:24 84:18 136:15, 18 167:12 Branch's 85:10 break 3:24, 25 44:8 45:10 83:8 122:21, 22, 24 129:2, 20 133:24 158:24 168:2, 8 **breakage** 157:21 breaking 150:3 **breath** 175:11 brief 7:24 16:22 32:13, 18, 19, 23, 25 52:17 119:24 164:3 177:5 179:6 **briefed** 18:25 23:4 138:8

138:1, 4 152:16

began 8:12 23:1

70:7, 19 71:25

156:*1*

briefing 25:23 97:7 99:13 100:8, 9, 14, 21, 24 103:18 138:10, 13, 14, 15 139:24 175:5 **Briefly** 8:3 89:6 96:22 149:18 **briefs** 31:12 bring 92:23 115:3 119:9, 20, 23 120:8 131:23 **British** 121:14 **Brook** 4:23 Brothers 92:18 **brought** 34:12 57:4 72:8 91:19 155:3 159:22 169:2*1* **brown-bag** 172:20, 22 173:5 **buffer** 79:23 **building** 145:17 **bullet** 18:13 115:6, *14* 116:5 **bunch** 120:13 **Bureau** 140:15 bureaucracy 157:24 bureaucrats 154:12 business 103:6 **busy** 102:10

< C > **cabinet** 134:13 calculation 19:11 **calendar** 144:16 call 14:2 23:17 27:11 37:3, 14 38:14, 15, 17 39:8 42:17 51:13, 25 52:17 53:16, 17 65:2 90:8, 13, 16, 17, 22, 25 91:5, 8 93:10 95:12 100:18 109:5 113:16, 23 114:1, 7, *15* 129:*11* 137:2*1* 139:6, 18, 21, 25 140:3 143:12 144:12, 17 145:4, 5 146:3 147:9, 13, 22 148:6, *14* 150:3 155:16 162:19 163:3, 5 164:5, 7, 17 174:17 176:21 178:8 called 17:18, 20 18:4 19:21 27:8, 9, 10, 11, 14 36:8 37:7 51:23 52:20 53:10 65:7, 17 77:5 90:21 106:25

109:13 112:5 137:16 140:1, 5, 15, 18 143:2 147:6 151:4 166:3 176:5, 8, 22 177:*1* calling 13:4 130:14 133:5, 6 140:9 158:4 calls 23:22 39:3 51:14, 16 57:9 82:19 101:23 130:11 133:3 169:19 cameras 71:3 **campaign** 33:5, 10, 17 91:3 95:22 115:11 175:6 campaigns 31:12 campaign's 31:16 candidate 33:8 45:8 115:20, 25 candidates 95:23 160:19 capacities 8:8 41:13 **capacity** 7:7 33:8 41:21, 22 43:18 captured 98:11 car 94:5, 23 care 149:1 career 6:19 83:20 84:17, 23 85:2 132:11 **careful** 33:11 Carmack 100:17 carry 9:13 case 6:1 40:5 41:1 78:4 96:2 105:15 107:19, 23 136:5 175:*15* cases 31:23 32:9 67:24 86:5 cast 19:10 42:8 52:14 62:25 63:5 95:25 156:16 cat 59:22 caught 162:18 cause 73:1 **caused** 70:16 caveat 28:2 50:10 cc 114:25 cell 37:8 90:15, 21 139:21 176:9 certain 9:18 11:24 20:12 32:5 52:15 60:16 67:24 87:16 113:19 124:10 134:11 156:14 **certainly** 39:1 40:1 42:17 44:1 50:13

53:4 73:22 81:5

84:25 94:10 103:2 117:6 118:4 131:22 132:21 134:10 167:9 certainty 77:14 Certificate 180:1 certification 67:23 68:2, 4, 12 73:12 75:15, 20 128:8 certifications 108:12 certified 42:7 52:13 62:22 63:6 76:6 77:13, 15 95:25 cetera 54:8 97:15 140:20 165:25 **Chad** 27:2 **chain** 35:3 73:23 77:21 79:4 80:5, 21, 22 105:3, 8, 12, 13 Chair 2:4, 12, 21 151:*19* challenges 13:18 87:15 challenging 31:14 87:9 **chance** 80:21 **change** 10:24 48:23 49:8, 10 55:25 61:21 62:14 86:7 104:19 119:4 121:15 132:23 133:11 138:5 149:9 156:2*1* 158:2 **changed** 34:14 86:6 93:23 95:19 118:7, 16 132:14 133:6 143:10, 22 **changing** 110:8, 25 channels 12:2 characterization 54:22 126:22 168:18 characterize 96:22 132:16 characterizing 115:22 **charge** 47:25 48:2 64:5 65:25 66:5 77:7 141:19 Charles 4:22 Charlet 2:22 150:15, 25 151:3 167:21 check 40:6 59:25 61:20 64:1 65:13 111:3, 4, 18 checked 156:9 Chief 2:16 3:2, 7 8:17, 18, 19, 23

13:2 26:24 27:3 56:22, 25 57:5 64:10, 11 66:12 100:17 106:15, 22 107:1 108:19, 22, 24 109:23 110:15 111:21 121:19, 24 126:13 134:9, 12, *20, 23* 136:*1* 149:23 163:10 chiefs 78:24 **chime** 29:22 148:10 152:21 **chimed** 153:7 **China** 99:18 **choice** 154:8 choose 4:17 **chose** 174:3 **Christine** 140:6, 8, 10, 18, 24 161:23, 24 162:1, 7, 9, 16, 17 168:23 169:2 chronology 34:19 CIA 110:8, 24 121:*13* Cipollone 26:25 47:22 106:16 117:18 146:12 149:18 151:11 157:8 158:21 159:7 161:13, 19 circle 105:25 106:3 142:21 143:4 circulate 12:22 13:5 circulated 11:21 12:25 circumstance 133:7 134:*1* circumstances 59:2, 15 127:5 130:7 135:14 cite 156:14 citing 60:11 93:1 citizen 16:6 **Civil** 3:15 16:3 48:1 88:24 97:7 101:3, 10 148:6 **claim** 44:25 52:12 99:16 108:12 109:3 110:23 164:23 claimed 15:21, 24 20:4, 5 **claiming** 16:19 19:*14* claims 22:14 41:6 70:15 99:15 110:7, 8 121:18 clarification 63:22 90:7 134:17

clarify 36:23 90:4 107:8 175:22 Clark 46:7, 8 47:13, 16, 24 48:7, 24 49:4, 11, 16, 17 50:15 88:6, 12, 14, 21 91:10, 13, 19, 20, 24 92:3, 11 93:6 96:17, 21 97:13, 16, 22 98:2 100:7, 16, 24 101:1, 3, 8, 15, 24, 25 102:4, 14, 19 103:1, 6, 24 106:8 116:24 117:1, 4, 13 118:3, 4, 9, 17, 24 121:25 122:2, 9 124:7 126:25 133:23 134:15 135:*1* 137:*3*, *17*, *24* 138:12 139:12, 21 140:9, 13 142:16, 24 143:21, 24 144:24, 25 145:8, 9, 18, 20 146:8, 22 148:23 149:*1* 151:12, 21 152:2, 5, 10, 15, 16, 23 153:3, 11, 14, 20 154:2, 4 155:25 156:4 157:18 158:1, 8 159:4, 13 163:9, 23 171:20 172:12, 17 173:2 Clark's 46:17, 22 96:9 133:25 138:7 172:2 **classified** 99:13, 19 **cleaning** 165:24 clear 14:10 24:12 33:15 34:8 44:3 49:11 63:17 83:20 84:14 92:12 98:24 103:22 104:9 110:21 124:1, 9 140:22 141:12 143:9 145:9 152:6 155:13 159:10 163:13 165:16 166:5 176:3 clearances 100:13 cleared 166:8 clearing 54:9 clearly 13:19 32:1 69:15 162:18 Cleta 124:25 close 25:4 37:20 44:5 105:19 106:1, 4 143:8 **Closed** 44:22 closely 80:1 81:2

96:24 97:2 109:17

closer 37:19, 20 146:25 147:4 closing 72:1 **Cobb** 124:2 coincidental 24:18 colleague 83:15 85:20 96:8 98:6 136:12 150:14 167:22 colleagues 2:20 4:22 5:22 45:11, 16 84:7 123:8 169:16 171:19 colleague's 86:21 128:13 college 87:10, 16 128:8 come 36:22 45:11 65:9, 10, 22 78:3, 4, 7 110:21 117:23 118:1, 3 119:6 121:7 137:18 144:16 146:21 148:21 149:2 155:6, 8 159:20 164:13 178:17 comes 89:7 149:12 150:8 161:16 175:*11* Comey 174:18, 19, 25 coming 105:22 109:22 120:22 **command** 73:23 79:4 80:5 comment 72:2 74:16 121:3 127:18 131:4 commentary 43:24 comments 23:12 153:8 157:12 **COMMITTEE** 1:2 2:16 4:16 94:16 100:20 174:18 178:19 committees 46:12 Committee's 2:5 3:16 4:6 **common** 126:9 communicate 12:7, 10 communicated 27:16 69:11 117:23 communicating 134:13 communication 102:18 104:3 communications 11:23 12:2, 4, 11, 18 14:12 15:19 18:9 82:9 127:4, 5

134:9, 22 135:9, 14 139:12 143:5 169:19 173:6 174:13 175:9, 12 community 57:2 99:23 103:20 **company** 8:23 109:13 110:4, 7, 23 112:25 140:12 **compare** 132:20 competent 94:17 complain 42:24 complaining 44:1 92:16 139:14 **complaint** 107:15, 22 108:2 113:5 114:2 complaints 31:4 43:16, 20 59:3, 17 60:20 81:23, 24 95:16 complaints/reports 43:8 58:18 **complete** 4:9 5:1 175:18, 24 completed 26:2 completely 36:19 89:17 92:12 98:16 162:18 176:3 completeness 129:8 component 12:5 135:12 components 59:16 63:24 80:15 **Concept** 98:24 99:8 100:25 135:16 136:16 concern 22:11.22 58:12 60:20 76:7 93:4 116:21 122:6 136:11 138:4 concerned 20:19, 22 22:14 34:9 42:17 57:12 59:11 103:19 105:15 concerns 54:18 58:10 59:3, 6, 19 66:25 73:24 81:25 82:15, 20 116:4 136:2, 8, 11 171:20 **conclude** 170:22 concluded 10:12 23:16 concluding 169:14 conclusion 9:5 10:9, 23 22:13 25:8 34:14 40:7 71:19 86:6 96:3 conclusions 25:11 49:23 50:1

conclusory 121:11 **concur** 73:7 concurrence 73:21 79:20 **condition** 146:23 **conduct** 18:23 21:3 75:10 84:19 132:13 136:23 141:22 conducted 1:18 20:19 32:5 98:17 140:20 conducting 94:10 conference 25:21 36:8 100:5 102:16, 20 117:7 172:21 178:11 confidence 22:15 26:3 58:4 68:18 94:21 132:22 confident 167:19 **confirmed** 7:8 57:3 154:15 158:14 **conflict** 9:19 48:21 167:11 conflicting 48:19 confused 162:25 confusing 36:19 89:17 confusion 73:20 congratulated 178:15 Congratulations 6:21 Congress 5:5 congressional 5:10 Congressman 41:19, 20 87:7 89:6, 23 90:9, 17, 21, 24 91:12, 23 92:16 93:12 97:20 101:24 103:10, 25 Congressmen 62:9 86:25 conjunction 65:21 71:15 112:20, 25 connection 2:5 31:6 53:20 88:23 99:17 113:11 consider 22:17 consideration 22:23 considerations 135:25 considering 104:19 consistent 12:20 20:15 67:9 86:4 132:2 134:6 conspiracy 109:21 consult 4:16 69:3 73:22

consultation 69:2 consulting 65:19 **consuming** 173:17 contact 9:22 11:25 53:4 104:7 126:25 127:3 141:18 179:3, 7 contacted 72:4 78:*13* 100:*16* 118:*1* contacting 114:9 contacts 11:18 134:4, 20 135:2 136:20 **contain** 180:2 contained 72:16 125:24 contains 115:2 contemplated 12:19 contemporaneous 38:25 contentious 118:20 **context** 15:15 35:10 108:15 139:23 continuation 75:4, **continue** 142:10 continued 173:2 continuing 86:22 121:7 141:18 contract 8:11 contractor 109:20 112:24 contrary 105:6 contribute 60:19 controversial 13:19 convened 1:17 163:21 164:6 conversation 13:1 14:5 28:10 38:4, 12 60:13 63:10 86:11 89:25 93:17 113:25 118:19 139:24 141:11 143:23 145:2, 7, 8 147:11 151:22, 24 152:20 153:6 155:5 156:2, 18 157:2, 4, 8, 15 161:21 164:22 176:4 177:5 178:10, 19 179:6 conversations 31:21 35:21 39:20 54:16, 24 55:24 82:18 102:7 133:3 143:8 145:10 146:20 156:8, 22 conveyed 33:2 conveying 164:1 convoluted 110:14

coordinate 78:5 80:1 coordination 9:17 110:8 **copies** 17:10 134:19 **copy** 101:3 **copying** 11:12 Corey 66:1 73:4 81:10 82:9, 12 83:18 corner 46:20, 24 **Corps** 8:6, 9 **correct** 28:20 35:19 47:4, 15, 17 48:15 49:2, 7 50:23, 25 58:1 61:3, 14, 25 62:4, 10 67:25 68:6 81:11 83:21 85:19, 24 86:8, 17 106:17 107:23 108:4 123:24 127:6 134:23 141:1 173:12, 20 174:4 180:2 correctly 16:4 24:15 43:2, 11 80:12 88:9 93:3 139:4, 8, 11 168:25 corresponding 65:6 corrupt 62:8 86:24 87:4, 21 88:4 Corruption 69:12 77:6 80:1, 7 couch 149:19, 20 151:16 Counsel 2:16, 19, 22, 25 3:3, 7, 8 4:16, 17, 18 8:10 26:18, 24, 25 30:16, 22 32:16 47:6, 12, 22, 23 48:2 83:7 101:2 105:*1* 106:15 122:16 134:10, 23 151:11 158:21 168:8 counsels 30:10 31:2 **Counsel's** 48:*13* 149:17 count 28:11, 13 154:*11* counted 19:8 **counties** 63:2, 4 96:7 country 21:17 28:8, 21 40:17 128:17 154:24 172:9 177:*17* **country's** 22:15 County 15:2, 23 16:1, 8 18:4 19:5,

10 21:12, 13, 14 25:17 27:19 28:5 29:17, 21 35:2 54:3 63:13, 15, 19, 20, 25 64:4 County-woman 124:2 couple 10:20 11:2, 13 18:12 33:19 70:22 75:7, 8 81:8 83:14 86:12 89:4 91:9 107:15 116:23 139:3 161:10 171:3 178:22 course 5:10 33:10 37:13 54:4, 16 55:4, 24 70:25 79:5, 16 93:22 95:6 109:22 131:22 132:13, 17 137:19 143:11 147:17 151:6, 10 156:2 160:5 169:5 170:9 171:18 174:23 175:4 176:2 177:18, 21 **court** 16:7 23:8 32:25 107:22 113:11 119:5, 6 120:2, 5 **courts** 46:11 Court's 115:17 coverage 16:15 covered 149:6 covering 135:3 **create** 106:5 created 68:19 creates 73:20 credible 20:12 112:13 crime 58:24 64:22 77:12 78:21 79:9 crime-related 58:11 crimes 43:9 58:18 59:4, 17 60:20 64:20 65:1, 18 66:4 67:9 68:5, 11 71:11 75:5, 8, 13 77:19 79:2 83:24 84:2, 18 85:10 127:10 167:12 **criminal** 5:11 8:18, 19 10:3, 6, 11 37:20 78:24 critical 19:1 25:9 criticizing 160:17 165:3 Crossfire 174:22 175:10, 12, 18

Cuccinelli 27:5
28:12 30:22 34:25
35:4 53:7, 8
Cuccinelli's 35:11
curious 14:4 27:20
34:1 43:22 101:5
108:15 113:12
132:4, 10 178:23
current 68:15
currently 88:20
custody 52:23
53:12
cycle 75:25

< D >

D.C 1:3 6:17 7:21 137:15 **DAG** 7:22 24:14, 15, 22, 24 27:1 29:6 36:8, 10, 15, 16 39:19 61:12 74:5 97:2 102:9 109:17 111:6, 12 112:9 113:16 114:1 117:1, 23 119:17 144:23 146:23 172:21 178:11 **DAG's** 69:4 113:24 144:17, 21 178:11 damage 157:10 data 63:1 79:8 96:6 112:25 database 62:25 63:5 96:6 date 36:14 55:25 173:20 180:16 dated 15:18 46:17 89:25 **Dave** 80:25 81:1 82:9, 22 83:18 **David** 70:1, 9 Davis 4:21 6:7 day 12:25 15:13 16:2, 10 23:10 24:4, 19 26:1, 7, 9, 11 28:14, 24 30:7 34:6 37:3 53:18 72:13 78:15 81:2, *3* 82:22 85:21, 22 91:1, 6 93:11 97:21 98:2 102:7 106:8 109:12 114:10 117:3 121:6 128:6 130:4, 10, 11 132:6, 15 133:11 143:10, 22 144:6 149:7 161:17 173:21

days 18:12 33:19, 20 34:7 95:9 149:8 161:10 172:22 day-to-day 133:10 deadline 168:6 deal 113:22 146:13 153:16 155:20 **dealing** 173:15 **dealt** 114:10 debriefing 164:1 decades 145:14 **December** 7:13 8:4 10:16, 21 15:18, 19 16:10 26:6, 9 30:19 31:3 33:20 34:2, 11, 25 46:6, *17* 51:3, 7, *13*, 24, 25 53:17 69:25 70:8 73:5 80:20 82:13 86:11 89:8, 23 90:1 93:11 96:18 106:14 115:1 116:22 117:1 124:25 138:6 176:6 179:5 **decide** 50:10 decided 28:17 74:6 105:19 114:14 143:8, 21 144:25 147:1 165:7 **decipher** 92:13 deciphered 43:10 deciphering 44:7 **decision** 46:13 48:20 49:1, 2, 3, 9, 20 50:7 67:5 142:2, 12 147:19. 22 152:14 157:5, 6 163:18 171:23, 25 173:11, 14 decisions 23:25 50:14, 20 51:1 55:10 171:11 **deemed** 9:13 deep 157:15 **deeply** 9:24 defective 19:3 defense 8:10 defensive 175:5 **defer** 25:20 deferential 126:18 **deferring** 126:*19* definitely 23:14 67:11 117:12 132:18 171:14 delay 21:4 **D'Elia** 109:8, 19 110:19 112:22, 23

deliberations 46:14 81:20 **D'Elio** 112:7 **DeLisa** 3:5, 6 128:16 **delivered** 176:14 **delta** 61:12 62:21 96:7 **Demers** 112:4 148:*1* **DEO** 65:2, 5 78:5, 15, 22 **D-E-O** 65:2 **DEOs** 69:8 departed 70:17 **Department** 2:6 3:8, 10, 11, 12 4:4 6:13 7:6, 9 10:17, 23 11:17 12:7, 10 17:4 21:5 22:4, 18 23:16 25:7 27:9, 11 29:2 31:5, 12, 15, 19 32:2, 7, 12, 17, 22 33:3, 23 34:9, 13 41:22 42:24 43:25 48:23 50:21 53:21 56:15 57:3, 12 59:8, 16 60:21 62:14 63:23 64:18, 22 66:20, 25 67:16, 21 68:10, 13, 16 74:23 76:1, 11, 15 77:11, 18 78:2, 19 79:10 84:2, 23 85:12 86:3, 5 87:11, 19, 21 92:4 93:8 95:22 96:21 97:11 98:14, 15 101:11, 13 103:2, 15 104:20 105:25 106:6 107:21 108:1, 2 112:2 113:10 114:9 115:3, 23 117:15 118:7 119:2, 3, 8 122:11 124:6 125:8 131:1, 23 132:6, 19 133:13 134:22 135:10 136:15 137:19 138:3 140:25 141:13, 20 142:22 144:16 146:24 148:4, 24 151:25 153:10, 11, 23 154:14, 17, 20, 23, 24 163:11 165:12, 15, 18 167:13 169:23 170:8 172:3 174:3, 6, 9

177:*17* **Departments** 14:15 **Department's** 37:18 44:2 67:8 84:18 89:2 95:10, 16 98:19 105:3 116:15 118:16 132:12, 15 135:21 169:12 departure 166:18, 25 depending 78:6 128:12 **Deponent/Interviewe e** 180:1 **deputies** 66:12 **Deputy** 3:2 6:15, 25 7:1, 3, 6, 8, 13, 16 8:17, 18 9:3, 15, 25 18:17 25:6 26:25 37:21 47:23 56:23 57:6 81:*1* 97:2, 7 100:4 106:16 145:20 177:23 178:5 describe 7:10 15:15 168:20 described 10:8 12:5 25:5, 6, 18 71:12 76:2 80:19 140:11 147:15 167:11 168:19 describing 14:11 20:4 84:15, 16 91:12 description 36:12 46:1 164:4 171:10 designated 11:3 14:20 34:20 36:20 96:11 designed 11:23 18:22 136:22 desire 126:14 desk 17:11 111:24 126:14 151:10 160:6 detail 6:16 25:20 76:18 171:7 detailed 36:3 **details** 9:6 11:24 15:20 95:3 99:22 112:10 deter 68:14 determination 69:16 determine 95:5 determined 98:17 developed 80:13 170:16 **DHS** 17:4 18:8, 16, 20 22:13 23:2, 3

176:6

113:2

25:5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 23

27:2, 6, 7 29:10 35:13 52:22 53:2, 20, 21 54:13 144:14 DHS's 25:11 dictating 98:19 **difference** 19:7, 13 62:24 67:25 68:1 84:17 133:1 differences 85:4 different 25:18 44:16 65:11 70:22 85:14 152:15 169:19 174:8 difficult 49:9 102:21, 22 132:20 133:7, 8 152:4, 25 167:10 dig 68:4 **direct** 60:12 86:18 87:1 88:1 90:16 97:24 98:1 163:9, 10 164:2 **directed** 121:18 141:3 directly 60:11 61:1 65:10 69:11 78:4. 7, 16 93:16 97:23 151:19 **Director** 18:17 25:6, 7, 24 37:21 81:1 99:14 174:18 disagreed 69:15 disagreement 75:5 85:9, 18 disagreements 81:14 disappeared 150:9 disappears 148:5 disappointed 172:5 disapprove 73:19 disaster 28:7 157:22 disconnect 68:18 69:4 **discuss** 5:19 18:15 107:2 116:5 164:10 discussed 15:13 18:15 34:5 46:21 57:19 83:3 100:7 101:18 105:17 107:14 111:6 118:5 135:4 143:11 153:18, 19 166:12 169:18, 25 174:25 178:6 discussing 24:3 27:20 35:2 42:16 61:6 89:8 90:13 92:6 132:7 133:17 152:19 166:10 discussion 13:13 16:22 27:21, 23

28:1 31:7 36:24 45:13 87:14 102:21 118:9, 11, 12 123:6 126:24 138:24 144:4 145:19 147:1 159:*1* 164:2*1* 165:11 168:7, 17, 20 171:10 174:13 175:25 discussions 98:2 100:23 117:6 131:12 144:5, 9 152:*3* 155:*1* 167:24 170:9 171:18 172:19 disentangle 155:25 dismiss 32:9 dismissed 31:24 dispelled 37:25 displeasure 44:2 **dispute** 74:4 84:14 85:8, 21 dissatisfied 167:6 distracts 133:10 **District** 6:17 7:17. 19 8:1, 13 15:5 18:4 64:3, 7 65:3 69:9 71:9 72:24 78:11, 16 94:7, 12 161:25 162:8, 10, 23, 24 169:13 distrust 60:16, 19 **Division** 8:9, 18, 19 10:3, 6, 11 37:20 48:1 88:25 89:1 101:3, 10 112:6 148:2 **DNI** 100:17 138:10 document 11:3 12:14 14:19 34:17, 20 35:3, 5, 11, 22 57:15 60:12 67:7 86:13 89:24 114:19, 21 115:1 123:17 125:9 129:10 documents 11:13 14:25 15:3, 13 24:7 26:15 57:18 67:*15* 89:*5* 120:*14* 127:25 **DOD** 144:14 145:5 doing 9:23 31:20 39:22, 23 43:25 44:3, 4 55:18 59:16, 20, 25 67:1 68:13, 16 98:20 105:2 108:17 115:12 118:23

153:19 167:20 172:8. 13 **DOJ** 6:14, 19 12:1 42:25 43:7, 19 53:2 58:17 61:21 66:1, 7 69:21 74:1 77:25 80:22 81:17 82:6 88:19 107:18 126:6, 10, 16, 18 127:3, 4, 8 134:4 136:19, 22 144:14 159:3 163:21 164:11 175:9 **DOJ's** 6:18 domain 34:14 **Dominion** 15:21, 22 16:17 19:8 21:15 25:18 30:10, 15 31:4, 5, 6, 9 99:15, 16 **DONOGHUE** 1:8 2:4, 10, 11, 13 3:1 4:14, 25 5:7, 14, 17 6:3, 5, 12 15:6 45:18 56:2 63:12 70:2 82:10, 12 83:7, 14 84:15 96:12 122:15 123:11, 18 124:23 128:11, 18 137:5 167:21 168:8 174:12 175:19, 25 176:3 179:19 **D-o-n-o-g-h-u-e** 2:11 Donoghue's 2:19 **double** 57:21 **doubled** 158:5 **doubt** 10:22 **Doug** 40:12 **downside** 153:19 155:12 downstairs 178:10 downstream 80:23 draft 46:8 47:12, 16 48:7 49:4, 16 150:19 157:9 **drafted** 98:23 101:13 102:12 dragging 69:16 167:15 **Dreiband** 148:7 dressed 149:5 151:*14* **drive** 116:10 **driven** 170:11 driver 170:11 **dual** 11:16 **due** 74:14 171:21 dunk 120:1 **Durbin** 2:4, 12

Dustin 100:17 duties 12:16 duty 8:5, 6 <E> earlier 4:2 23:25 24:4 35:14 36:1 51:2 55:16 58:17 70:11 71:12 75:7 87:20 88:25 91:6 98:2, 7 102:7 108:20 109:12 114:2 115:8 123:21 126:12, 23 131:15 136:12 138:7 149:7 155:9, 21 156:22 157:8 166:10 167:4, 11 early 6:19 72:6 115:9 155:7 ease 2:20 easily 78:15 Eastern 6:17 7:17, 19 8:1, 13 15:4 Eastman 107:6 easy 29:17 eat 157:24 ECB 65:18 66:11 68:11, 21 69:1, 3, 7, 10, 11, 14 75:21 79:18 80:8, 18, 19 81:9 167:11 ECB's 69:13 echo 109:5 **EDNY** 8:16 **effect** 13:10 16:23 20:7 22:19 28:5 30:21 33:23 36:2 37:9 40:16, 23 41:11, 14 52:4 69:6 91:22 114:17 134:13 136:13 141:7 145:13, 22 159:25 160:22 162:14 169:1, 5 172:7 effective 165:8 173:23 effectively 91:19 172:17 **effort** 9:25 **efforts** 2:6 18:22 75:12 127:8, 11 130:25 either 23:22 31:8 34:13 53:14 55:13 69:4 80:3 86:1 101:10 136:10

141:10 148:13

153:4 170:1 178:23

elaborate 27:25 44:12 98:8 **election** 2:7 9:5, 9, 10 10:12, 13, 18, 19, 24, 25 12:23 13:19 14:14 15:1 18:21 20:16, 18, 19, 22, 24 21:2, 8, 19 22:3, 4, 15 23:18, 23 27:18 30:3, 16 31:14, 18 33:24, 25 34:15 37:11 39:11 40:8 41:3, 7 42:21 43:15 46:11 51:10, 12, 18, 21 53:17 54:8, 11, 18 56:1, 3, 7 58:11, 24 59:6, 12 61:10, 22 62:8, 14 64:22 65:1, 3, 4, 8, 18, 25 66:4, 6, 10 67:3, 9 68:4, 11, 15 69:9 70:15, 16, 18 71:11 73:3, 12 75:5, 8, 13, 25 76:6 77:8, 11, 12, 15 78:4, 11, 15, 21 79:2, 8, 9 83:24 84:2, 18 85:2, 10, 13 86:7, 8, 24 87:4 88:4, 14 89:2 93:13 95:7, 12 97:24 99:14 103:15 107:3, 13 111:1 118:6 124:4 127:9, 10 136:3 149:3 153:8 156:3, 7 163:12 165:1 166:25 167:12 169:22 170:2 election-related 56:16 68:22 138:8 elections 18:23 32:4 40:18 54:3, 6 67:23 68:2, 4, 14 78:9 87:17 97:10 98:21 179:6 **Elector** 46:13 **electoral** 87:10, 15 128:8 electronic 17:10 **elevated** 7:1 75:17 ellipsis 44:24 **email** 11:5, 7, 11 14:22, 24 15:2, 8 17:17 24:16, 21, 22, 25 34:22, 24 35:3, 11 46:6, 17, 18 47:16 67:14 69:25 70:5, 8, 9 71:10 73:4 75:3 80:21 82:14 83:15 84:15

8

85:6, 7 89:15, 20, 21 90:11 93:12, 18 94:3, 4 95:1 96:15, 17, 18 97:22 98:6, 10 99:9, 21 100:3 101:1, 4 102:8 120:15 122:4 124:22, 25 125:3, 4, 18 126:2, 4 129:13, 20 130:15 133:17, 18, 20, 21 134:19 147:23 169:19 **emailed** 164:17 emails 24:4, 15 35:16 38:24 66:22 76:2 82:14, 17, 20, 21 100:19 113:20 120:23 121:2 125:22 126:10 130:10 Embassy 110:9 **Emily** 3:11 employee 6:19 66:1 83:21 112:23 165:19 en 154:20 encourage 4:15 131:*1* **ended** 6:17 105:16 111:24 126:13 175:12 ends 105:21 enforcement 12:17 64:11 135:22 136:24 174:14 **engage** 49:21 50:8 133:23 **Engel** 48:1 105:1, 7, 14 106:17 142:22 143:2 151:12 154:5 155:*4* 159:2, *13* enjoy 175:17 **ENRD** 97:4 enshrined 136:20 ensure 59:25 68:17 136:23 entered 47:20, 21 151:8 178:*11* entertain 114:7 **entire** 113:25 148:4 155:19 entirely 116:7 124:9, 10 134:11 140:22 141:9, 16 **entirety** 135:20 **entities** 11:19 18:23 **envelope** 72:14 **Environmental** 89:1 **equal** 3:22

equally 92:7 equipment 72:1 Eric 48:3 148:7 **error** 15:25 16:17 19:11, 14, 15 20:5 21:14 22:9 28:8, 20 71:19 errors 25:14 especially 122:1 148:4 espousing 85:15 essence 90:16 98:7 115:2 126:10 essentially 29:14 41:25 51:24 84:16 103:13 118:25 139:14 147:5 148:13 152:13 176:11, 13 177:15 establish 97:17 et 54:8 97:15 140:20 165:25 evaluations 23:3 Eve 51:5 **evening** 93:10 147:3 event 86:1 Eventually 53:23 evidence 10:12, 18, 23 33:23 34:15 46:11 86:6, 7 93:19 99:19 119:2 121:12 138:11 156:13 160:3 170:13, 15 exact 28:3 58:8 62:11 67:19 exactly 13:8 17:23 40:15 71:5 73:14 91:17 104:10 106:25 108:18 117:22 137:12 **example** 77:23 examples 75:8 169:19, 24 170:14 exasperated 139:16 144:23 145:23 exception 2:18 171:20 exceptions 68:9 exchange 34:24 83:17 90:19 122:4 129:18, 23 **excuse** 57:23 127:10 175:21 excused 27:4 executive 59:24 136:15, 18 exfiltrate 112:25

Exhibit 11:4, 5

14:21, 22 34:22

35:8, 23 36:19, 21 37:2 45:23 46:1. 16 57:16, 17 64:16 69:20 70:4, 5 81:7 82:25 83:17 86:10 89:14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 24 96:13, 15 106:10 113:6, 7 114:20, 21 123:16, 17 124:21, 22 125:17, 18 129:11, 12, 13, 16 133:16, 18 134:18 137:4, 7 exhibits 57:20 127:24 exist 48:21 76:3 exists 136:19 **exodus** 159:15 **expand** 105:24 106:*3* expect 62:7, 13 86:23 101:5 153:23 155:18 expectation 57:2 178:7 **expecting** 142:*15* experience 7:25 93:1 132:11 **expert** 84:1, 11 **expertise** 18:20, 23 126:20 **experts** 18:*14* explain 25:23 70:7 91:15 104:6 119:18 139:9 163:3 167:14 explained 19:3 32:11 73:6 93:11 96:20 100:9 148:17 165:20 **explaining** 115:2, *15* explanation 32:24 171:*7* **explicit** 69:14 exploring 83:16 extent 9:16 49:24 84:22 85:11 95:9, 24 124:13 126:13 132:25 **extremely** 69:10, 13 172:5 < F > face 112:15 123:2 face-to-face 145:2

66:19 67:1 68:14 72:9, 15 96:3 100:24 102:24 121:23 133:9 155:3. 18 facts 22:7 119:2 fact-specific 68:8 **factually** 98:18 **failed** 175:5 failing 43:8, 20 58:17 **fair** 10:10 14:9 25:7 54:22 58:10 84:1 85:17 116:2, 4 126:22 fairly 77:21 96:25 103:16 121:10 126:*18* 138:*1* fake 70:24 fall 56:25 **fallout** 155:14 **false** 5:9 70:23 156:12 **familiar** 11:14 121:2 **familiarity** 19:18, 24 **far** 21:13 34:9 57:12 93:22 105:18 159:6 farfetched 22:5 112:15 121:8 Farm 44:19 45:5 70:10, 15 Farm-related 70:8 **farther** 107:12 **favor** 17:14 **FBI** 10:11 18:16, 18 21:3 25:6 37:21 42:24 43:5 53:21 54:12 56:15 60:6, 17, 21 64:2, 18 65:6, 10, 13 69:12 71:4, 9, 14 72:22 74:1 77:3, 4, 11, 18, 24 78:4 79:5, 10, 15, 23, 25 80:3, 17, 19 86:2 92:17, 22, 23, 24 93:2, 3 111:3, 5, 9, 11 112:18 124:6, 16 125:8 126:6 127:8 129:25 130:3 131:16, 24 175:9 **FBI's** 80:1, 6, 23 Federal 3:15 7:4 25:22 41:*1* feed 72:19 feel 94:24 153:1 171:*19* **feeling** 34:3, 4

feelings 81:25 172:9 **feels** 92:23 **feet** 69:16 167:15 fellow 128:25 felt 19:3 20:10 38:2 44:3 93:8 95:11 100:10 140:2 171:24 **field** 65:6 80:3 131:16, 24 fifth 24:13 25:1 **fight** 146:14 **Fighter** 41:9, 13 figure 18:7 62:23 68:23 112:17 file 32:18, 19, 22 33:17 107:21 119:15 **filed** 16:5 31:13 107:18 125:1 filing 16:4 31:12, 15 32:7 33:3, 4, 5, 7 **filled** 145:7 final 35:7 128:1 172:2*1* Finally 5:18 **find** 17:1 18:1 63:14 64:14 78:15 102:4 111:7 112:8 145:25 146:1, 4 160:7 162:13 170:13 **finding** 10:20 Fine 112:11 138:21 145:15 146:18 147:25 148:18 149:14 151:15 161:15, 19 166:23 **fingers** 61:21 **Finish** 42:18 71:23 **fire** 56:9, 12, 14, 18 158:11, 13, 14, 15 161:4, 6, 7, 16 165:7 fired 92:22 105:13, 16, 21 firing 49:17 50:16 161:8, 14 **firm** 97:15 **firmly** 172:12 **first** 6:10 12:13 15:9 17:20 19:*17* 26:7 32:18 34:11 38:17 39:1 40:9 41:4 45:20 46:16 56:23 58:17 70:11 88:8, 13 90:2 91:4 97:3, 24 98:1 103:8 107:11 114:2 128:2 135:6

facility 44:23 71:4

72:11, 17 73:2

fact 21:14 24:18

28:19 30:24 33:7

42:8 49:24 62:25

110:5 124:2

144:4 150:17, 22

151:13 155:3, 6 160:13 162:11 168:22 **firsthand** 122:10 fit 79:23 **fits** 34:19 five 82:24 133:1 **fixated** 161:3 **flavor** 120:20, 21 **flip** 19:20 126:9 **flipped** 94:24 **floated** 126:3 floor 24:13, 14 25:1, 21 137:22 142:25 **floored** 102:23 Florida 74:11, 12 117:24 **flow** 152:20 **flowed** 130:10 153:*3* **Flynn** 175:1, 3 Flynn-Brown 3:1, 2 5:24 45:17 46:3 69:19 70:3, 6 75:2 76:17, 23, 25 81:21 82:5, 11, 24 123:10, 19 124:20, 24 125:16, 19 127:17 128:19 167:25 168:4, 13 171:3, 5 179:16 focus 68:15 76:8 133:13 151:25 **focused** 141:2 156:3, 4, 20 **focuses** 98:23 folks 50:22 follow 3:17 105:3, 12 131:22 177:18 **followed** 53:8 94:4 102:7 108:8 157:13 175:24 following 46:19 86:15 87:25 92:10 95:8 143:10, 20, 22 163:20 **follow-up** 51:24 90:18 133:16 138:6 164:10 169:15 171:3 **follow-ups** 178:22 **food** 80:21 foregoing 180:2 Foreign 99:14 forensic 15:21, 24 16:4, 7 19:21 20:4 21:12 forget 52:11 65:7 **forgive** 31:22

123:21 172:1 **formally** 33:21 **formed** 93:7 former 2:6 128:25 174:18 **forth** 3:22 18:10 103:10 126:11 130:13 131:18 142:17 143:14 153:12 **Fortune** 8:23 forward 35:8 57:7 66:13 69:17 74:9 81:15 94:6 103:18 125:23 141:14 forwarded 24:3 32:14 35:12 94:18, 25 121:4 forwarding 89:21 126:19 133:21 forward-leaning 76:10 forwards 35:1 125:2 **found** 10:18, 23 23:22 24:9 33:23 112:22 **founded** 59:10 95:11 **four** 63:1, 4 96:6 149:8 fourth 24:14 **frame** 139:*13* framed 29:3 31:17 73:19 frank 171:10, 14 frankly 40:4 42:9 50:19 106:5 111:24 143:3 **fraud** 9:9, 10 10:12, 18, 24 15:1 22:4 23:17, 22 30:16 33:24 34:15 41:3, 7 43:15 44:11, 20 51:10, 12, 18 53:17 58:11, 24 59:13 64:19 65:1 67:4 77:19 78:21 79:9, *14* 86:7 93:*13*, *23* 119:14 127:9 136:3 156:4 163:12 169:22 170:2 fraud-related 51:22 fraudulent 125:6 free 73:23 94:2 132:24 134:18 165:12 **freely** 4:16

Freeman 44:21

frequent 9:22 **friction** 106:5 **FRIDAY** 1:13 **front** 49:4, 5 109:24 151:20 **fronts** 49:3 **frustrate** 67:8 75:9 76:12 frustrated 75:13 119:7, 18 157:16 frustrating 75:21 frustration 56:15 71:10 118:19 119:11 121:23 122:3 frustrations 80:23 full 70:18 94:20 **fully** 30:1 105:15 Fulton 63:13, 15, 19, 20, 24 64:4 **funnel** 11:23 further 84:5 128:12 130:6 155:8 163:11 furthermore 23:6 69:11 **future** 14:12 68:14 <G> **gamma** 61:12 **GBI** 140:15 General 6:15, 25 7:2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 16 9:3, 7, 16 10:1, 17, 22 11:22 13:7 14:25 16:10 20:9, 14 23:21 25:13, 22 26:11 32:15 33:21 35:12, 17 36:16 37:19 47:2, 11, 24, 25 48:2 49:14 56:5, 6, 10, 23 57:1 61:14 63:21 68:10 75:6 77:20 85:14 86:4 95:18 100:6, 12 101:16 104:25 105:6, 10, 11, 14 106:17 111:17 114:25 116:18 117:25 118:6 125:13 126:12 132:1 133:12 135:16 142:1 145:21 149:21, 22 151:5 152:2, 8, 10, *16* 153:2*1* 156:*1* 163:8 175:*1*, *3* 176:6, 17, 19 177:12, 14, 20, 23, 24 178:15, 23 179:1 generally 9:23 13:21 15:11, 12 19:1 28:4 29:3 31:4 37:17 40:15 55:3, 6 67:17, 20 68:6, 7 70:14 74:7 77:23 85:19 92:17 119:22 120:19 127:2 130:13 Generals 11:12 General's 8:6 24:13 57:6 94:16 100:5 114:6, 11 generically 99:7 geographic 64:12 Georgia 40:24 44:10, 15, 18 52:24 53:20 58:4, 12 64:7 71:9 73:2 74:5 98:23 99:1 100:25 120:24 121:22 122:10 124:3, 11, 14, 15 125:2 133:22 138:22, 23 139:1, 5, 14, 15 140:11, 15, 23 141:2, 4, 5 156:5 157:9 161:25 162:8, 10 165:2 167:7 169:*13* getting 9:6 16:15 31:24 44:5 76:15 88:16 95:2 111:25 133:9 134:25 139:16 141:24 148:18 150:18 169:8 **Giuliani** 130:18, 20. 22 131:2, 5, 7, 14, 19, 22 give 4:11 7:24 9:7 20:10 27:23 28:3 29:1 32:16 39:7 53:25 91:23 100:1 101:19 103:24 120:20 151:5 157:3 163:2 165:16 175:5 **Given** 10:8 27:19 61:9 79:20 85:1 112:11 171:8, 22 178:23 gives 25:19 **giving** 13:16 114:13 go 2:17 3:18, 22 11:24 20:2 21:13 27:4, 16 35:7 42:4, 22 45:10, 14 54:25 55:1, 19 57:22 60:4 62:7 63:13, 15, 19, 20 64:13

71:4 72:11, 23 74:8 77:24 79:15 80:6, 8, 19 83:11 104:2, 8 105:5 123:4, 7 125:16 129:9 131:24 146:2, 13, 17 148:18 149:9 150:17 151:6 152:11 158:18 166:6, 7 167:24 179:24 go-ahead 100:1 **goal** 11:25 God 41:25 goes 43:19 99:15, 18 143:17 **going** 10:1 13:19 17:6 18:1, 6 19:6 38:1 39:9, 21 42:10 44:15 46:16 54:25 67:18 69:17 80:22 81:7, 16, 18 84:4 93:19 99:22 100:14 102:3, 5 103:23 105:15 113:22 119:1, 4, 24 122:19, 21 123:12 127:15, 16, 18 128:23 129:3, 9, 15 130:13, 14 131:25 137:16 138:22 141:4, 19 142:9, 10, 16 143:8, 9, 12, 16 144:16 145:1, 14, 25 146:1, 2, 8 147:8, 9, 12, 16, 21 150:14, 16 154:10. 11, 15, 17, 18 157:17, 21, 22, 23, 24 158:6, 11, 15 159:8, 11, 14 161:6, 16 162:3 163:15, 16, 23 164:14 165:5 166:5, 6, 7 167:12 169:15 173:11, 13, 19, 21 174:11 175:8, 14 **gold** 28:13 Good 2:24 3:1 4:20 13:25 63:22 129:3, 4 149:24 154:23, 24 162:13 169:6 177:9, 11 179:10 good-byes 165:17 googled 112:19, 20 govern 11:18 government 23:5 37:8 110:6 174:*14*

Governor 46:9 95:17 **grab** 151:15 graceful 165:25 graduated 8:3 **grand** 23:1 Grasslev 3:3, 7 127:21 128:3, 16 174:19 Grassley's 5:23 45:11, 16 123:9 128:7 169:*17* graveyard 159:14, 15 great 17:19 30:22 43:18 45:22 83:9 88:6, 17 91:20 113:22 153:16 162:1, 4, 5 163:2 169:1 177:12, 13, 24, 25 178:8 greater 41:22 green-light 68:21 Greg 4:21 **ground** 64:11 **grounds** 32:10 Group 15:17 19:2, 18 25:10 164:9, 10 guess 41:1 50:22 57:16 79:3 93:1 100:20 145:24 146:3 158:17 174:16 guidance 68:8 guidelines 3:18 guv 91:20, 21 111:8, 18 112:9 114:13, 16 145:14. 22 160:12 169:6 guys 28:6 29:5, 12 31:20 33:12 39:12 41:25 42:16 55:11 69:5, 21 77:17, 18 86:15 109:3 120:2 126:10, 24 129:2 148:11 156:23 173:16 guy's 111:16

<H>>
half 151:7 155:9
171:16
hallway 25:1
halt 74:1
hand 19:4, 6, 9, 14
23:6 26:2 28:11,
13, 15, 22 29:16, 18
30:6 49:14 85:13,
14 123:13 128:23
handed 151:19

handful 75:17 85:22 handle 38:3 64:22 93:3 94:21 handled 9:10 60:21 64:20 124:14, 18 131:16 handpicked 154:13 hands 16:18 81:1 handwriting 44:7 90:3 92:14 handwritten 46:19, 23 89:25 90:12 137:8 **happen** 25:3 53:5 80:2 87:18 135:15 142:17 143:9, 16 159:18 happened 18:8 36:13 71:5 73:2 87:16, 17 happening 146:16 147:21 happens 158:8 159:8 happy 49:24 120:16 170:24 hard 122:16, 20 155:25 168:2 172:9 hardware 18:21 harmed 32:6 33:14 119:14 153:10 head 83:24 84:17 88:24, 25 122:17 136:14, 18 140:15 157:16 170:18 headed 149:18 headlines 16:21 headquartered 10:3 heads 17:21 18:5 145:24 **heads-up** 175:5 hear 25:11 42:1 43:13, 16, 17 45:20 49:6 92:7 97:19 142:15 151:1 162:4 173:8 177:6 **heard** 16:14 19:19 20:16 21:5 39:18 40:4, 6 42:9, 11 44:25 48:25 53:1 88:13, 23 91:1, 4 109:9 114:16 160:20 168:24 169:*1* hearing 22:8 42:2 103:8, 9 124:3, 15

139:2 174:18

hearings 40:22

heated 103:5, 11, 16

141:11, 24 142:13 **He'd** 94:15 **held** 172:12 **he'll** 139:19 162:13 169:2 177:25 help 18:22 147:5, 11 helped 6:7 **helpful** 37:12, 16 67:15 165:14, 23 Herschmann 48:3 hey 17:20 37:9 72:5 80:9 126:10 166:21 **hidden** 44:23 **highlight** 174:11, 15 **highly** 25:8 Hill 87:8 historic 85:10 historically 11:18 **History** 158:4 **hit** 168:5 hoc 77:21 HofR 92:19 **hold** 105:20 106:1, 4 143:9 **holding** 160:16 home 130:10 137:15 Homeland 17:4 **hope** 57:2 148:2 hour 3:20, 25 38:15 44:5 45:9 58:17 70:11 81:8 83:1, 8, 16 94:4 123:12 170:22 hours 48:25 71:17 94:5 151:7.8 152:18 154:22 161:14 164:7 171:15, 16 **House** 11:17 12:1, 6, 10 14:15 24:7 26:10, 18, 24, 25 27:9, 12, 14, 16 29:20 47:22, 23 48:3 92:19 100:20 104:7 106:8, 15, 21 108:16 114:4 117:2, 23 118:1 121:24 126:25 127:3, 4 132:8 133:10 134:4, 8, 10, 20, 23 135:10 136:1 141:18 144:7 146:3, 14, 17, 22 147:11 148:19, 22 149:2, 6, 16, 17 151:11 158:21 159:5 163:10 169:20 170:2, 6, 20

175:9 176:10, 23 177:4.9 178:13 Hovakimian 56:21, 22 57:8, 11 143:3 147:6 150:20 163:2*1* Huckster 44:22 **huge** 16:18 21:17 22:10 28:21 human 28:3 **hundred** 52:15 79:6 hundreds 154:22 hung 52:11 163:4 **Hunt** 97:5 **hurdles** 116:20 Hurricane 174:22 175:10, 12, 18 < I > IC 100:10 138:18 iced 172:17 idea 13:25 31:2 46:22, 25 119:5 121:5 122:9 152:19 154:19 160:10, 21, 23 172:3 179:10 identified 116:19 **identify** 78:11 **IG** 92:21 illegal 49:22 50:9, 12, 19 63:14 64:15 88:4 **imagine** 11:13 86:4 101:20 106:16 112:13 131:12, 19 141:9 immediate 67:14 76:7 immediately 38:10 92:10 104:6 137:19 146:7 148:14 154:3 173:25 impact 127:13, 14 **impacted** 10:13 41:3 95:6 160:18 **impetus** 167:*3* implicate 136:6 implicated 14:15 136:8, 11 implications 21:13, 22 28:19 30:3 33:18 implied 69:7 **imply** 59:22 75:10 important 12:16, 17 20:11 65:15 97:10,

12 155:10, 22

importantly 8:8 imposed 11:22 impossible 61:2, 9 impression 29:1 111:19, 25 126:13 178:24 **improbable** 121:*16* improper 67:1 inaction 43:1 inadvertent 22:19 inappropriate 98:13 103:13 inauguration 173:17 **incident** 73:14 172:20 incidentally 14:3 **included** 86:16 127:24, 25 128:9 including 3:16 23:14 73:8 85:15 99:16 136:19 141:21 incomplete 63:1 incorporate 174:16 increasing 118:19 increasingly 120:21 indicate 46:10 60:15 88:11 104:2, 21 130:16 indicated 15:25 20:7 74:25 86:25 132:4 163:7 166:11 168:9 indicates 129:23 indicating 12:15 74:23 indication 167:2 indications 159:5 **individual** 7:5 9:24 19:22 27:2 55:23 72:20 100:17 109:9 130:1, 17 138:23, 25 140:10, 13, 14, 17, 21 individually 112:16 individuals 25:11 40:14 76:16 107:9 115:19 124:8 134:2*1* **infamous** 174:23 **influence** 135:21 136:7 138:11 influencing 136:23 **inform** 23:12 147:11 163:23 164:20 166:15 information 41:2 52:22 54:14 63:5 93:19 99:24 100:10 105:18 110:3, 21 111:14,

20 126:20 138:16 148:15 164:2 174:20 175:1 **informed** 142:*19* 153:22 informing 55:23 166:18 initial 114:3, 4 Initially 66:7 100:20 135:11 141:3 **initiated** 117:21 **inject** 85:12 **input** 100:11 insanity 120:16 121:3, 17 129:20, 22 insight 12:3 insistent 168:22 Inspector 57:1 install 104:14 installed 159:4 installing 118:9 **instance** 12:13 22:24 29:22 40:20 82:23 86:2 92:17 95:17 105:10 134:2 170:10 instances 28:4 73:17 85:22 86:2 169:23, 25 170:19 instruct 49:21 50:8 instructing 119:23 instructions 50:11 74:20 **insult** 131:4, 5 intake 64:19 77:20, *25* 131:*17* integrity 5:21 65:16, 17, 24 73:6, 10 75:6, 14 76:4, 9 77:1 79:13, 17 80:18, 23 82:2, 15 intelligence 57:2 99:14, 23 103:20 121:14 **intended** 49:12 173:23 intending 98:25 **intent** 71:23 **interact** 81:13 173:2 interaction 172:19 interactions 55:4 61:5 172:16 interest 115:7 177:*17* interested 140:16 178:25 interesting 147:10 **interests** 119:*16*

interface 53:21 interfaced 77:3 interfacing 80:17 **Interference** 99:15 **Interior** 144:14 interject 164:16 **internal** 105:*3* Internet 24:20 86:15 99:17 interpret 41:18 43:24 169:9 interpretation 62:15 108:4 116:2 interpreted 43:22 interrupting 51:19 168:*1* intervening 34:10 39:3 INTERVIEW 1:8, 17 2:3, 4 5:3, 12, 19 92:22 128:10, 22 135:5 136:13 141:22 interviewed 71:14, interviewing 23:1 interviews 3:17 71:15 72:23 73:8 167:18 intimated 159:2 introduce 2:18 4:18 45:23 120:17 127:17 129:10 introduction 3:5 investigate 30:10 56:16 59:17 175:7 investigated 124:5 125:8 126:6 investigating 9:20 127:9 167:15 investigation 2:5 4:7 5:10, 21 9:7 80:9 92:24 93:1, 2, 9 122:10 169:10 174:21 investigations 9:8, 13, 16, 23, 25 10:4 12:19 21:3, 4 66:11 68:22 69:17 77:12 84:20 94:10 98:17 140:16 141:22 149:4 166:24 Investigative 3:2, 7, 16 68:21 73:7, 11 74:1 75:9, 14 79:19 85:11 86:3 95:3 investigators 79:6

invited 172:21

invoke 42:23 invoked 31:2 **invoking** 133:25 **involve** 2:6 63:21 118:*13* **involved** 9:24 10:4, 7 23:8 28:1 65:19 79:14 92:5 93:9 98:3 103:8 110:24 118:12, 14 121:25 138:2 140:8 145:9 involvement 110:7 involving 31:5 83:17 89:5 **iPhone** 94:23 irregularities 46:11 67:22 irrespective 4:5 22:5 43:23 115:21 irresponsible 103:13 isolated 73:14 issue 29:21 32:19 53:3 75:24 76:5 78:10 95:21, 22 107:13 108:19 116:12, 16, 24 118:6 126:5, 8 152:5 179:11 **issued** 21:1 23:1 66:10 128:6 issues 10:5 31:5 34:5 40:17 51:22 68:5, 19 83:3, 15 126:*1* 138:8 149:*3* **Italian** 110:23 122:7 Italy 110:5, 6 112:24 113:3 121:14 Italygate 109:14, 19, 21 120:23 items 96:19 its 16:7 22:7 59:16 61:21 63:24 108:3 112:15 135:20 151:5

<J>
Jackling 4:23

JAG 128:25

January 6:18 7:20
8:4, 12 46:21 47:8,
18 50:6, 24 51:6
100:18, 23 104:18
106:4 117:5, 8
125:22 127:22
128:2, 5 129:18
130:8 133:20
137:1, 12 144:15
145:6 171:6 173:3,

8, 15, 20 174:24 **jeans** 149:6 **Jeff** 10:1 32:14 47:24 48:24 49:11, 17 88:6, 21 91:10, 13, 19, 20, 24 92:3, 11 93:5 97:12, 13, *16* 100:7 101:24, 25 102:4, 9, 19, 23 103:1, 5 105:13, 16, 17 117:12 121:24 122:2, 9 124:7 133:23, 25 134:14, 25 137:17 139:12, 16, 21 140:9, 13 142:11 144:23 145:9, 17, 19, 20 146:8, 22 149:*1* 151:11, 20 152:1, 2, 5, 10, 15 153:3, 11, 14, 20, 25 154:1 155:25 157:18 158:*1*, *8* 159:*13* 162:21 163:23 165:11, 14, 21 166:5 167:9 171:20 172:2, 12. 16 173:2 176:18 177:19 178:6, 8 179:13 **Jeffrey** 46:7 47:24 116:24 118:17, 24 143:21 **Jim** 41:4, 8, 12, 20 43:17 **iob** 21:7 39:22, 23 55:17, 18 59:20, 23, 24 133:4 167:20 169:12 177:25 jobs 118:23 **Jody** 97:5 **Joe** 2:22 150:23 John 107:5 112:4, 6, 11 114:12 148:1 **Johnson** 129:25 130:3, 17 joined 38:14, 16 151:22 171:16 joked 151:17 **Jordan** 41:4, 8, 12, 20 43:17 **Josh** 3:2 83:6 132:5 135:7 136:12 170:24 179:15 Josh's 129:1

Judge 8:5, 11

126:20

judges 31:24 32:9

judgment 102:25

JUDICIARY 1:2 2:5, 16 174:17 July 7:21 56:24 jump 14:18 113:4 114:18 116:14, 22 128:21 133:15 153:4 175:15 jumped 159:10 jumping 26:5, 9 jurisdiction 9:12 16:25 107:14, 22 113:5 115:18 jurisdictions 65:1 67:23 jury 23:1 **Justice** 2:6 3:10, 11, 13 4:4 6:13 10:3, 17 53:21 56:14 57:11 59:16 60:21 62:13 63:23 64:17, 22 65:16 69:1 73:16 77:11, 18 78:1, 19 79:10 119:8 124:6 125:7 131:23 136:15 154:22 172:4

< K > Kate 4:22 Keefe 74:19 keep 9:25 59:24 69:17 84:22 105:19 106:1, 4 122:21 129:3 139:11 143:8 165:15, 18, 23 keeping 21:11 42:15 130:12 Ken 27:5 28:12 30:21, 23, 24 34:25 35:11 53:7, 8, 14 101:2, 6 113:16 kept 44:24 72:10 114:9 119:12 158:2 kind 19:11 33:14 34:18 35:13 39:7 55:21 75:9 78:25 91:20 120:20 132:16 135:3, 23 145:24 152:18 153:18 157:13 163:19 172:15 kinds 41:2 95:25 **Kira** 3:12 Klug 4:22 Klukowski 101:2, 6 knew 23:5 24:10 37:17, 21, 23 55:14

77:9 88:12 91:24 94:14, 16 97:3, 16

100:23 102:24 103:25 **know** 4:1 14:3 16:20 17:5, 20, 25 18:5 21:9 26:3 28:6, 14, 23 29:6, 8, 12, 18, 25 30:14 34:6 36:22 37:24 38:6, 24 39:17 40:20 41:11, 14, 23 42:6, 13, 19 46:4 47:3 51:21 52:5 53:4, 14 54:12, 20 55:9, 17, 19 57:24 63:23 64:2 67:6 73:19 74:14 76:8 77:23 78:1, 20 79:9, 12 83:23 92:17 93:2 96:20 97:1, 19 98:6, 22 101:6 102:*3* 103:*1*, 20 105:20, 22, 24 108:6 109:2, 3, 15 111:7, 18 112:8 114:14, 15, 16 117:2 118:4 119:24 120:1, 18 121:4 122:15 124:12 125:20 126:14 130:2, 4, 6, 21 132:24 133:2, 10 134:2, 9 136:12 137:23 138:24 142:2, 10, 11 143:7, 11, 15 147:7, 8, 9, 10, 17 148:12 149:2 150:15 152:24 153:4.8 156:9 157:14 160:3, 22 161:22 163:14, 16 165:14, 21 166:2 172:7 173:2, 4, 14, 22 174:21 175:12 179:3, 10 knowingly 5:9 knowledge 22:16 23:9 38:5, 18 90:23 95:2 110:24 known 10:15 97:14 101:25 145:14 knows 35:6 53:7 131:23, 25 **Kohl** 113:16 Kurt 113:9, 17, 19, 23 114:7 < L >

<L>
 labeled 35:22
 57:19 69:24 129:10
 34:7 148:8 162:2:
 177:7 178:3
 led 134:15
 left 8:22 32:15
 34:8 36:17 66:25

lack 44:2 169:21 landed 117:25 **language** 12:15 86:21 large 20:23 116:1 largely 14:11 49:7 **Largest** 140:11 Larry 74:19 **lasted** 151:7 171:15, 16 late 51:25 69:20, 21 106:3 176:8 law 5:4 7:4 8:3 12:17 64:11 97:15 135:21 136:23 174:14 laws 50:2 lawsuit 16:5 115:16 **lawsuits** 31:*13* lawyer 48:3, 15 177:24 **lawyers** 48:17 50:22 79:4 **laving** 109:14 **layman** 32:3 layout 24:12 **lead** 65:3, 7 leader 119:3 154:16 leaders 60:7 163:21 leadership 48:23 49:8, 10 60:18 80:22 84:19 88:19 104:19 106:6 118:7, *16* 119:*1* 132:12 138:3, 5 148:4 152:1, 5 154:14 155:19 156:21 159:3, 16 164:*11* **leading** 99:18 159:14 167:4 **lead-up** 150:18 leak 67:6, 7, 14 leaked 66:24 67:6 learn 143:20 learned 130:16 176:12 leave 29:15 39:23 49:23 57:3 62:8 70:17 86:24 87:5, 6 142:7 154:8 159:3 leaves 162:11 177:23 leaving 8:14 17:7 34:7 148:8 162:22 177:7 178:3 **led** 134:15

71:19 72:4 76:1 82:25 97:5 120:12 137:19 142:14, 25 143:18 149:22 151:21 154:12 166:9, 19 178:9 **left-hand** 139:5 **legal** 31:12 32:16 33:3 47:6, 12 48:2, 13 49:23 50:1, 11 95:22 104:25 115:11, 23 legislative 46:12 legislature 95:20 165:2 legislatures 46:12 legitimate 43:8, 20 58:18, 20 59:3, 17 60:20 85:4 136:10 legitimizing 22:19 length 31:8 39:9 171:8 lengthy 132:11 **Leonardo** 110:4, 13 112:20, 24 letter 16:12 46:8, 19, 20 47:13, 16 48:7, 23 49:4, 10, 12, 13, 16 50:15 61:23 66:23 96:9 98:23 99:3, 8, 10 100:7, 25 101:3, 14 103:21, 23 104:22 106:9 109:13, 15 110:7, 20 118:3, 5 138:24 139:2 140:25 141:3, 6, 14, *19* 150:*19* 152:*3*, *5*, 8, 11 156:1, 5, 6 157:9 158:22 172:2 173:22, 25 176:14 177:12 level 26:3 57:11 132:19 **Levi** 13:1 14:5 **L-e-v-i** 13:*1* **Lieutenant** 175:1, 3 **light** 46:10, 14 67:12 likelihood 142:23 **Likes** 91:10 92:11 **limit** 135:13 136:7 limitations 11:18, 22 135:8, 9 136:22 limited 35:5 41:14, 15, 21 43:18 74:7 82:23 96:25 98:25 127:4 limits 12:6, 9 line 8:16 13:10 24:15 36:11 38:5,

7, 13 55:1 86:14 92:10 96:18 105:5 126:1 128:20 157:*13* lines 13:23 33:16 91:9 109:2 155:2 159:6 list 125:23 **Listen** 165:21 listened 48:17 listening 43:16 literally 60:11 **litigation** 8:23 16:5 19:5 23:8 97:7 litigator 8:11 little 21:25 26:15 31:22 32:25 81:6 83:19 84:16 92:13 96:8 103:5, 11 107:12 120:12 126:24 139:5, 16 142:3 143:14 144:19 152:22 167:15 168:9 local 18:22 40:25 located 110:9 location 123:25 124:4 **Loeb** 3:11 long 38:14, 15 83:23 97:13 102:1 123:3 163:25 179:4 longer 56:25 76:7 long-standing 12:24 look 17:4 18:6, 9 22:4, 18 29:2, 10 30:16 31:5 32:16 34:4 53:15 58:3 61:18 62:17 64:14 71:5 93:22 100:12 103:17 108:17 110:15 111:13 112:18 120:5, 6, 13 122:2 125:5 126:11 134:7 143:15 145:13 146:15 149:11 169:23 170:3 looked 23:13, 21 64:3 71:9 72:22 94:22 96:1, 5 121:5, 9 133:2 139:16, 17, 18, 19 157:18 158:7 160:1, 2, 11 161:4 167:17 169:12 170:4, 12 172:11 **looking** 25:15 37:21, 22 38:24 55:10 80:9 94:19 96:6 108:11, 23

109:1 112:9 114:12 124:17 170:8 looks 71:20 72:3 88:*1* loop 25:4 looped 51:14 Loretta 8:20 lose 61:2 153:14, 17 155:12 lot 16:1, 15 23:13 25:19 37:11 59:5 64:4 72:5 81:4 87:14 91:10, 20 92:11 93:18, 19 97:10 111:25 123:2 **loudly** 153:2 luck 149:24 lunch 123:3 168:8 172:20, 22 173:5 **lured** 71:1 Lynch 8:20

< M > machine 45:7 99:16 **machines** 15:21 16:1, 7, 17 18:24 21:15 28:8 70:20 magistrate 8:11 magnified 45:3 Main 10:3 65:16 73:16 **maintain** 11:17 maintained 11:18 60:16 major 37:23 42:20 135:25 majority 2:15, 23, 25 3:20 11:3 14:20 34:21 35:22 36:20 39:15 55:14 57:15, 17, 18, 19, 22 69:23, 24 89:10, 13, 16 96:11 106:9 113:6 114:19 129:10, 16 133:15 137:3 making 9:17, 18 34:6 68:16 123:2 152:14 153:3 179:22 Mall 149:7 manifested 85:21 manner 5:1

manual 69:1

mark 11:4 14:20

106:10 107:5, 11

120:15, 22 121:4

109:*1*2 111:*1*2 117:*1*7 118:*1*3

29:22 89:13 96:13

125:1, 6, 22 131:8 132:13 133:21 marked 11:6 14:23 34:23 35:24 37:2 46:2 70:5 89:15, 19 96:15 106:10 113:7 114:22 123:18 124:22 125:18 129:13 133:19 137:4 marks 86:16 Martin 107:11 **M-a-r-t-i-n** 107:*11* mass 153:23 154:20 157:22 Mastriano 40:12, 22 41:12 match 133:22 matched 63:6 materially 5:9 materials 93:13 94:6 **Mathis** 107:5 Matt 17:20 18:3 matter 25:13 28:5, 18 29:17 30:4 33:9 53:20 64:21 71:6 72:11 73:17 74:15, 17, 25 78:9 79:16 119:3 133:2, *3*, *4* 155:*18* mattered 23:18 **matters** 27:18 51:10 65:4, 8, 22 75:1 88:14 97:7 107:3 170:6 McClain 2:24, 25 Meadows 29:22 109:12 111:12 117:17 118:13 120:15, 22 121:5 125:1, 2, 4, 22 126:9, 18 131:8 132:13 133:21 134:6 mean 20:14 21:17 29:24 32:19 79:14, 16 87:3 92:1 112:4 119:22 131:11 138:3 152:24 159:9 164:11 169:9 177:19 **meaning** 44:18 45:2 102:4 137:15 153:25 157:9 means 32:5 86:17 177:12 meant 32:20 64:15 91:15 146:12

measure 13:7 14:11 **meddling** 103:15 media 16:16 71:20 72:2 meet 51:9 57:12 129:25 130:3 131:1, 5, 13, 19 137:17, 23 145:12, 14 146:22 meeting 25:6 26:10, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 27:3, 7, 8, 9, 21 29:4, 21 30:13, 14, 17, 20, 25 31:3 33:19 38:22 47:8, 18, 19, 20 48:8, 14 49:7 50:6 51:4, 7 52:20 89:8 101:15, 20 103:24 104:11, 13, 17, 24 106:7, 14, 24 108:15 109:10, 16, 18, 22 114:4, 12, 14 116:25 117:2, 4, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21 118:3 119:6 137:2, 13, 22 138:6, 9 139:22 142:7, 13 143:24 144:2, 6, 12, 13, 19 145:18 147:2 150:6, 11, 16, 19, 24 151:4, 5, 7 159:19 163:7, 20, 21, 22, 25 164:4 166:11 171:6, 9 172:15 173:3, 8 174:24 177:10, 11 179:5 meetings 26:10, 14 29:4 39:3 57:8 61:9 97:3 115:10 116:23 117:6 118:8 133:4 169:20 member 132:12 134:13 members 174:14 memo 11:25 21:1 66:10, 18 67:10, 13, 14, 18, 19 68:2 76:9, 12, 13, 19 81:3, 24 86:4 memos 11:23 mention 41:16 76:11 92:8 mentioned 51:3 52:5, 8 53:20 54:24 66:5 76:16, 17 77:2 89:7 91:5 101:15, 24 108:20 126:23 156:7 159:24 170:14

173:19 175:11 176:5 mentioning 92:3 **mentions** 150:20 merit 95:20 114:6 merits 32:10 85:5 meshing 122:5 messaging 160:17 met 51:11 100:5 145:16, 17 **Mexico** 125:25 126:5, 8 Michael 24:6 Michigan 15:2, 4, 24 16:14 17:12, 15 21:15 26:8 mid 7:20 middle 123:23 mid-July 6:16 midst 20:20 military 8:10 121:15 122:7 128:*15* military-grade 110:24 Milley 149:22 million 62:23, 25 mind 37:13 44:4 84:22 139:11 158:2 minds 153:2 minimize 11:25 135:20 **minimum** 78:22 **minorities** 160:19 minority 3:21 45:23 57:20 84:7 123:15 124:21 125:17 160:19 minute 2:19 20:3 92:2 107:16 113:5 120:20 150:9, 15 163:6 minutes 24:17, 25 37:10 38:9 53:9 82:25 102:19 123:1 132:5 135:7 137:20 147:22 150:1, 4 157:7 171:*17* misconduct 68:14 misdated 90:5 misidentification 113:2*1* **missing** 63:1 misspelled 111:10 112:2*1* misspelling 110:1 misunderstood 49:3 67:13 **Mitchell** 125:1

Mizelle 27:2 Molly 24:6 **moment** 176:9 moments 162:17 **Monday** 117:5 142:2, 10, 15 143:20 161:12 164:13 166:21 month 14:19 months 15:12 20:15 56:24 Moran 114:12 morning 2:24 3:1, 4 4:20 51:23 102:3 161:11, 12 164:13 166:4, 21 177:2 motivations 34:6 **move** 46:15 63:9 81:7 82:25 84:12 86:10 103:17 123:15, 24 137:1 164:15 moved 72:17 77:21 138:20 173:16 moves 77:25 moving 92:15 141:14 **mud** 149:6 **multiple** 70:25 murder 157:9 murder-suicide 158:2*3* < N >nail 138:15 name 2:8, 10, 15

3:2 4:20 53:11 76:11 78:12 88:23 97:20 103:9 107:11 109:25 110:1, 19 111:9, 10, 16 112:7, 12, 19, 21 115:18 133:25 176:20 180:11 named 19:22 27:2 48:3 66:1, 7 100:17 109:8 names 150:20 nasty 123:2 National 99:14 112:6 148:2 nationwide 30:3 **Natural** 89:1 nature 38:17 152:20 158:22 **nd** 8:8 necessarily 20:6, 11 38:1 65:20 116:15 need 3:25 20:9, 17 21:7, 9, 22 53:3

59:25 60:4 73:21 78:*17* 85:*1* 123:*1*. 3 125:10 126:11 127:18 128:21 141:6 142:2 146:17 147:5, 19 148:3, 7 158:24 175:15 177:12 **needed** 13:15 21:21 78:5 93:9 163:*1* needs 105:22 nerve 145:22 net 99:17 **networks** 45:2, 3 **never** 19:19 57:7 91:1 103:23 115:10 132:18 141:19 160:13, 20 165:6 173:22 never-Trumper 160:8, 12, 25 161:2 164:23 165:5 168:19 New 4:21 6:17 8:14 20:20 51:5 121:6 125:25 126:5, 8 170:11 news 16:1 26:7 150:2, 3 165:6 Nicole 2:25 **night** 52:5, 8, 16, 19 53:9 61:10 69:20, 21 71:21, 24 72:3 90:10 101:23 102:2 **nights** 109:6 nodded 149:24 nominated 57:1 158:*13* nomination 57:7 nonconcurrence 73:10 74:1 79:20 nonconcurrences 73:15, 25 nonpublic 23:12 **Northern** 64:3, 7 71:8 72:24 162:8, 22, 23 169:12 nose 103:6 notation 36:7 40:10 42:25 43:5, 7 44:9 87:25 90:14 91:12 92:10 93:21 95:1 107:6, 8, 14 111:2 137:10 138:10 139:5 140:5 141:8 notations 139:3 note 4:3 30:24 58:19 60:10 95:14

99:8 112:3 **notebook** 109:24 **noted** 4:2 20:5 75:7 140:2 149:5 **notes** 14:2, 3, 6, 8 35:23 36:3, 5 37:1 39:6 40:5, 9 42:10, 13, 23 43:4, 6, 11 44:10 46:23 58:1, 16, 20 61:1 62:15 63:10, 11 86:11 89:7, 18, 25 90:2, 5, 12 91:7, 10, 18 106:10, 12, 13, 14 107:6, 12 108:5, 11 109:7, 25 110:4 113:7, 13, 14 118:2*1* 124:2 137:4, 8 138:9 139:4 notice 1:17 179:22 **noticed** 90:12 notification 80:6, 8, notifications 80:5, 14 **notion** 33:2 November 8:15, 21 11:12 14:13 66:10 67:9 76:9, 12, 19 134:19 **NSD** 148:3 **number** 11:7 15:12 20:23 21:6 27:6 32:11 36:21 51:16 62:21 63:5, 6 75:16 89:14, 20 90:15, 21 96:14 106:10 107:1 108:12 114:10 115:2 116:11, 19 117:6 134:2, 18 139:21 141:15, 20 169:18 171:9 numbered 35:3 43:6 86:14 **numbers** 36:19 75:12 numerous 45:1 <O> oath 5:4 **Obama** 174:20, 24

<0>
oath 5:4

Obama 174:20, 24
175:4

object 76:15, 20
81:18 84:4, 9

objection 81:17
82:3

obligation 88:3

observers 70:17
71:1, 19 72:4

obvious 138:1 157:7 obviously 9:12 11:25 13:4, 17 15:11 16:16, 19 17:16 22:8 26:3, 21, 24 28:2 29:25 31:6 33:6 38:22 40:19 67:6 76:5 78:24 87:10 88:20 101:23 108:20 125:11 133:2, 7, 9 134:17 144:21 151:21 152:3 164:21 168:11 170:8 occasions 20:17 45:*1* 134:*3* occur 12:12 68:1 occurred 75:20 **ODAG** 57:5 odds 98:16 **ODNI** 99:18, 23 100:2 138:8, 13, 14 offer 29:21 55:2 121:12 142:4 145:*1* 166:*6* 176:2*3* **offered** 142:1, 9, 20 **offguard** 162:18 **offhand** 170:5 **office** 8:14 9:15, 20 10:6, 11 14:25 16:13 17:7 24:13, 14 26:16, 19, 20, 23 32:15, 16 47:6, 12, 21 48:2, 13 51:12 52:20 54:13 57:6 64:1, 3 65:2, 4, 6, 11, 13 69:2, 4, 9 71:8 73:23 74:5, 8 78:8, *12*, *23* 80:*3*, *4*, 8 99:13 101:16 102:13 104:5, 10, 12, 25 106:22, 23 112:5 113:24 114:6, 24 116:17 117:11, 14 124:14 125:15 131:16, 17, 24, 25 143:1 144:17, 18, 21 145:16, 18 146:5 147:2, 15 149:12, 17, 19, 21, 23 150:13, 16, 24 151:9 158:20 163:20 164:4, 6, 8 165:11, 16, 24 166:5, 8, 10 167:24 168:18 172:6 173:7 174:24

176:11 177:3 178:9, 13 179:5 **Officer** 65:3 78:11 officers 64:11 69:9 110:9 **Offices** 9:11 21:2 43:5 64:24 65:22 78:10 80:5 official 6:14 41:2 64:5 officially 6:19 129:10 officials 10:2 40:17 41:1 67:21 70:15, 16, 18 72:5 105:25 142:22 169:20 175:9 **Oh** 117:*12* 160:*4*, *11* 161:*1* Okay 36:18 45:20 49:15 51:6 52:10 53:6 57:15 58:15 60:4, 15 62:6 63:8 69:19 75:19 76:17 82:19, 24 91:15 122:19 123:4, 20 124:20 125:16, 22 126:9 155:24 157:3 158:17 159:1 164:9, 15 166:23 167:2 173:24 174:11 OLC 32:21 46:21 47:5 105:8, 11 108:7 116:8 120:6 **old** 54:9, 11 **Olsen** 113:9, 17, 19, 24 114:7, 9 119:25 **Olsens** 107:18 Once 38:14 63:4 84:3 154:16 163:7 172:18 173:11, 24 one-ballot 19:7 **one-on-one** 145:8 one-page 18:12 25:19 35:10 one-pager 35:1 114:24 115:1, 14 ones 23:14 33:14 ongoing 74:15, 25 75:1, 24 open 77:11 109:24 171:10, 14 opening 72:13 80:9 operate 67:8 **operated** 132:19 operates 119:2 122:12 135:13 operating 68:3 Operations 15:17

operator 101:9 177:4 **opine** 59:9 **opined** 32:21 **opinion** 84:17 85:4 **opinions** 108:7 opportunity 3:21 174:2 **oppose** 60:7 opposed 33:4 46:20 47:15 48:25 66:18 115:25 121:18 152:17 179:*1* opposition 82:4 **option** 152:9 orally 141:20 order 5:20 22:2 173:14 ordered 19:4 23:7 ordinarily 92:5 168:21 **ordinary** 132:16 organic 152:21, 24 original 107:14, 22 113:5 115:4, 17 originate 80:3 **OSG** 32:21 108:7 115:14 116:5, 8 120:5 ought 175:10 outcome 10:13, 19, 24 20:18, 24 23:18 33:24 61:21 62:14 68:18 73:2 86:8 93:23 95:12 103:15 116:10 119:4 138:18 164:8 outreach 14:14 97:25 98:1 120:21 132:7, 13, 14 136:4 140:8, 24 outset 94:8 139:22 147:18 outside 5:20 23:4 52:24 76:21 81:20 84:4 149:11, 13, 19 169:20 170:2 Oval 26:16, 19, 20, 23 47:21 51:12 52:19 104:5, 10, 12 117:11, 14 145:18 147:2 149:12, 19, 21, 23 150:12, 16, 24 151:9 158:20 163:20 164:4, 7 165:11 166:10 167:24 168:18 172:6 173:7 174:24 179:5

overall 30:16 39:8 136:7 **overlap** 31:21 overlapping 24:24 override 73:16 overriding 73:18 Oversight 2:16 overt 73:7 85:10 86:*3* overtly 22:25 overturn 2:7 56:2, overturning 31:14 overview 7:24 9:7 151:5 owns 140:12 < P > **p.m** 52:20 71:24

89:23 96:18 149:16 168:2, 6 **PA** 40:11, 12 61:18 pact 157:10 158:23 **PADAG** 97:2 page 11:8 12:14 19:20 35:7 40:9 41:5 43:4, 6 44:9 46:16 57:23 69:14 86:13, 22, 23 87:24 88:*1* 107:*13* 112:*3* 115:6 123:23 131:7 141:8 pages 180:2 Pak 64:6 124:18, 19 139:6, 10, 17, 25 140:1 159:20 160:12, 17 162:10, 22 164:17 166:11, 14 167:6 168:18 173:19 174:2 Pak's 124:14 139:20 167:2 pan 156:13 pandemic 20:20 **panic** 106:6 paper 18:11 23:3 160:6, 11, 16 paraphrase 67:18 paraphrasing 85:23 parenthesis 40:11 part 16:3 22:17 37:22 46:8 58:10, 12 59:24 71:20 73:6 87:6, 20 94:18 104:14 109:20 118:11 121:11 125:23 144:5 157:14 164:20 167:2 participant 144:2

19:2, 18 136:24

participants 29:20 108:16 117:16 151:9 participate 106:19 148:24 particular 9:4, 6 12:25 32:13 44:25 86:2 95:3 96:4 99:20 108:25 115:19, 20, 24 116:5 120:22 132:6 133:25 135:19 136:2 164:9 particularly 103:11 136:4 142:19 163:25 parties 115:7 **Partly** 141:8 partners 25:22 109:14 110:12 party 139:13 Party's 160:18 pass 111:23 125:14 passed 17:11 90:20 112:*1* passing 41:8, 17 121:19 170:14 passionately 21:6 Pat 26:25 47:22, 23 57:5 106:16 117:18 143:3, 5 146:11, 12 147:6, 13, 22 148:16 149:18 151:10, 11 157:8 159:7, 10 161:13, 19 patch 36:12 37:6, patched 38:8 39:2 **Patrick** 56:21, 22 106:16 117:17 **Pat's** 147:15 payroll 165:23 **PC** 106:15 **PCB** 77:5 **pending** 12:18 Pennsylvania 40:19. 21, 23 42:3, 7 52:12 61:19 62:18 63:3 89:6 93:14, 20, 24 94:7, 12, 20 95:13 107:13, 23 108:10 109:3 133:1 156:15 170:12 people 13:10, 22, 24 20:17, 24 21:8 22:3, 14, 20 31:18, 19 32:6, 8 33:4, 12, 13 39:12 40:1 41:11 42:25 53:25

58:4 67:12 68:17 79:6 80:4 88:3, 6. 16 90:16 105:4, 7 115:13 118:22, 23 119:13, 14, 16, 25 123:2 135:10 140:21 146:21 148:10 152:21 153:2, 4, 9 154:12, 13, 22 162:22 167:14 171:9 perceive 50:12 **percent** 15:25 16:16 19:14 20:5 21:14 22:8 28:7, 20 52:15 perception 66:25 **perform** 74:20 performance 12:16 167:7 **period** 9:2, 4 10:9 13:18, 20 34:10 38:8 82:22 85:1 132:3 161:3 permeate 82:1 **permitted** 74:7, 8 86:3 Perry 40:10, 21 41:12, 19 43:18 89:6, 23 90:9, 18, 21, 24 91:13 92:8, 11, 16 93:12 97:20 101:24 103:25 **Perry's** 41:1 person 51:9 78:16 84:23 105:13 personal 97:13 103:3 143:6 personally 22:16 33:5 63:20 97:25 167:6 168:10 170:1 **personnel** 7:4 12:1 18:18 76:12 78:20 154:21 perspective 12:17 pertained 156:5 pertaining 84:8 93:13 **Pesaro** 110:5 **Peter** 2:10 **petition** 125:*1* **Philbin** 27:1 47:23 106:16 117:17 149:18 151:11 **phone** 13:5 37:8, 9, 10, 12 51:13, 14, 16 52:3, 17 57:9 82:19 90:15, 21 113:25 133:3 139:21 140:12

147:14 162:16, 18,

19 163:5 169:19 176:9 177:4 178:12 **phones** 172:7 **photo** 117:14 phrase 120:16 **phrased** 29:3 31:17 physical 24:12 physically 104:12 **picked** 54:9 178:12 **piece** 160:6, 11, 15 **pieces** 70:22 **Pilger** 66:8, 9 68:20 76:18 81:11, 23 82:1, 2 83:19 84:5, 17 **P-i-l-g-e-r** 66:8 Pilger's 67:5 **PIN** 65:18 73:6, 22 74:4 75:5, 8, 21 76:24 80:19 81:9 82:20 83:21 85:24 pipeline 79:18, 21 **pitfalls** 171:11 Pittsburgh 94:11 place 15:19 24:19 102:10 103:8 104:15 105:4 106:8, 21 113:24 114:2 118:10 137:13 138:10 147:2 148:3 160:14 166:14 **placed** 38:18 places 8:7 12:9 24:20 135:8 **placing** 72:14 **plane** 117:25 **planned** 166:12 174:3 **plans** 166:18 178:3 **played** 60:22 playing 7:11 please 2:8, 17 4:1, 18 5:2, 18 **plenty** 133:13 point 5:23, 25 6:24 8:22 11:2 13:2 14:14 15:12 16:21 17:3 22:11 30:1 33:1, 19 38:13 40:13 42:22 49:9 50:1 63:18 66:13 74:9, 23 76:22 79:13 83:25 91:2 98:22 100:6 104:5 105:24 106:2 107:25 108:7, 20 109:9 116:6, 9 118:18 119:11, 19, 22 122:1, 3 128:11 129:24 132:10

134:3, 14 136:12 139:20 142:18 143:11, 18 145:9 146:5 152:4, 12, 21 153:3, 14, 24 155:10, 21, 25 158:*1* 159:*1*, *13* 163:13 173:18 178:18 pointing 158:8 163:14 **points** 18:13 115:2 116:10 140:23 141:21 **policies** 11:14, 16 12:22, 24 13:6, 11 14:16 134:20 135:2 136:10 **policy** 11:13 12:6, 9 75:4 76:10 85:8, 9, 17 104:7 126:25 127:2, 3 134:4, 6, 7 135:4, 13, 19 136:6, 20 141:18 political 33:8 84:18, 24 85:2 87:8 135:21 136:7 politicizes 136:24 Polk 4:21 6:7 **pool** 72:2 **poor** 67:11 **popped** 62:2 portfolio 97:8 103:7 **portion** 109:7 116:3 129:22 135:6, 8, 19 136:6 **position** 6:14, 16 7:1, 10, 20 21:23, 24 22:1 23:24 38:21 53:25 66:9. 21 67:3 68:20, 24 83:24 85:10 88:19 105:9 142:1, 9, 20 152:6 167:10 177:24 possibility 30:15 118:9 possible 28:19 39:24 80:25 81:5 85:*11* 119:*13* 120:10 131:8, 10 possibly 88:19 post 174:9 post-certification 75:23 postelection 10:9 post-election 13:17 potential 4:5 32:25 49:8 73:11 85:12 115:23 138:5

potentially 20:22 59:3 60:5 68:5 76:19 POTUS 24:8 36:8 46:21 91:7 **power** 68:24 **PP** 106:15 practical 33:9 practice 68:10 125:13 126:9 pre 75:23 preamble 6:2 precaution 13:15 precautionary 13:7 preceded 144:6 precise 51:20 123:22 precisely 77:4 preclude 127:3 **prefer** 122:22 preliminary 80:12 preparation 78:9 144:15 preparations 145:6 prepare 6:8 **prepared** 176:21, 22 prescribes 12:6 **present** 43:14 presented 29:11 46:11 54:23 66:19 presenting 55:11 preserve 5:21 President 2:6 16:12 26:24 27:24 28:4, 18 29:7, 11 30:9, 21, 23 31:11, 23 32:1, 11 33:1, 11 34:4, 13 35:21 36:11 37:10 38:2, 7, 12, 18 39:9, 15, 22, 25 40:16, 25 41:5, 10, 20 42:10, 19 43:14, 23 45:4 46:9 47:11, 21 48:9, 11, 12, 25 49:15 50:14 51:4, 8, 9, 15, 17 52:4 53:10, 11, 17 54:17 55:3, 9, 23 56:9, 12, 14, 18 57:9, 13 58:6, 23, 25 59:2, 7, 15 60:9, 16, 25 61:5, 18, 24 62:12, 13, 24 63:11 64:10 86:12 87:3, 9, 20 88:11, 16, 18 90:14, *15, 19, 20* 91:5 92:3 97:20 101:24 103:9 104:4, 14, 18, 21 105:7 109:5, 6 113:11 115:9, 22

117:16, 24 118:13, 15, 21, 25 119:7, 18 127:12 132:14 136:2, 9 141:25 142:5, 9, 10, 20 144:25 145:3 150:6, 10 151:10, *14*, *20*, *25* 152:7, *14*, 17 153:1, 7, 22, 24 154:8 155:11 156:3 157:4, 16 158:2, 3, 5 159:18, 22 160:1, 17, 22 161:6, 15, 22 162:9, 20, 21 163:1, 7, 14 164:23 165:13 166:6 168:20, 25 169:2*1* 170:2 171:8, 19 173:3, 24 174:13, 20 176:1, 5, 8, 14, 15, 22 177:5, 8, 15 178:1, 14, 25 179:4, 7, 12 presidential 2:7 21:19 98:21 103:15 110:25 **Presidentially** 158:*13* President's 12:16 24:16 40:13 49:20 50:2, 7, 25 58:19 59:10, 23 60:19 62:6 118:19 119:11 136:14, 17 157:6 press 66:24 78:10 125:2 128:2, 6 pressed 129:8 pressure 34:3 presumably 19:22 141:13 144:8 151:8 155:4 176:18 **presume** 61:24 pretty 21:6 36:3 42:19 49:11 78:15 98:*1* 102:*14* 111:17 112:15 121:8 144:23 164:3 **previous** 87:*17* 96:9 156:8 previously 33:22 84:24 166:11 primarily 9:11 26:6 64:24 65:12 94:9 **primary** 16:25 **Principal** 6:15, 25 7:16, 22 9:3 principles 136:20 **printed** 24:25

prior 7:15, 25 67:22 68:2, 24 73:12 75:14 93:1 97:22 114:10 **prison** 113:*3* **private** 16:6 113:9 115:7 privilege 4:6 **privy** 143:6 **pro** 46:9 proactive 85:1 probably 26:2 32:14 52:1 79:3 81:2 82:22 94:4 111:24 117:3 120:18 123:12 137:20 164:4 166:16 **problem** 16:18 21:9, 17 22:10 28:21 40:7 41:12 42:20 69:7 problems 41:3 42:21 procedural 116:20 Procedure 3:15 64:18 68:3 procedures 20:20 54:4.5 95:19 **proceed** 3:19 69:6, 10, 13 75:14 81:15 proceeded 175:6 **PROCEEDINGS** 2:1 process 22:17 25:4 37:25 64:19 77:17, 20, 22 78:1 79:19 80:18 87:8 150:18 produced 70:18, 24 100:19 117:15 professional 7:25 96:22 97:13 102:24 143:7 professionalism 6:6 179:20 progress 28:23 progressed 28:10 **Prohibition** 115:6 promoted 8:17 **prompted** 106:24 **Proof** 98:24 99:8 100:25 **proper** 12:2 78:2 properly 32:5 50:4 67:8 95:10 prophylactic 14:11 **proposal** 102:14 103:12 107:20 proposed 73:8 103:2 104:22

proposing 98:12, 14 99:3 102:25 103:14 prosecuting 68:13 prosecution 5:11 prosecutions 12:19 prosecutor 8:10 132:11 prosecutorial 81:19 prospect 30:9 31:11, 15 135:20 142:23 **protect** 119:15 protection 110:6 protocol 64:18 77:20 **provide** 4:5 5:9, 16 18:10, 11 22:2, 21 73:10 90:15 **provided** 18:12 19:1 23:3 48:6, 8 50:21 62:24 74:20 82:6 100:21 110:2, *3* 111:*14* 113:20 138:16 139:20 174:20 providing 40:2 55:22 110:16 proximity 27:19 **prudent** 105:19 153:5 public 22:18 23:9 33:22 34:14 65:16, 17, 24 66:21 69:12 73:6, 10 75:6, 13 76:3, 9 77:1, 5 79:13, 17 80:1, 7, 18, 23 81:23 82:1, 15 112:22 113:1 115:25 127:23 159:4 170:7 **publicly** 10:17 59:1 67:12 78:12 127:22 pull 34:19 35:21 46:4 69:19, 23 87:24 89:9 96:10 113:6 134:18 149:13 **pulled** 25:5 133:9 pure 120:16 121:3, 17 129:20, 22 purportedly 21:12 113:10 160:16 purpose 105:2 135:24 136:7 163:22 **purposes** 168:11 pursuant 1:17 12:25 13:12 16:6 17:16 19:5 69:1

165:10 177:22 pursue 67:21 95:23 pursuing 87:8 **push** 127:8 **pushed** 101:20 put 35:10 43:21 67:11 75:16 76:24 78:12 88:6, 17 91:17 118:24 146:6 147:20 148:11 152:2 153:11, 14 154:1 163:24 165:8 169:2 177:5 **Putting** 31:1 88:19 146:9 168:22 <0> qualified 153:20 **quarter** 144:20 question 4:12 23:17, 23 31:1 32:20 50:3 59:15, 19 60:13 73:1 75:19 86:21 95:12 109:1 132:5 136:13 138:18 149:12 150:8 158:9 164:*16* 169:14 173:10 questioning 3:19 6:10 74:15 123:13 126:23 128:13, 20 170:23 174:19 questions 3:20, 21, 23 4:8 5:1, 2, 4, 16 6:3 11:3 20:21 44:6 81:9 82:7 83:15 84:5, 7, 8, 9 86:12 89:4 107:15 120:14 128:12 141:5 150:8, 15, 24 168:5, 10 169:16 171:4 175:19 180:3 quick 44:6, 7 45:10 83:8 114:18 129:2 178:22 **quickly** 21:20 34:17 61:20 66:24 86:10 94:24 147:19 155:24 **quiet** 144:21 quite 15:11 129:7 155:2 159:6 quotation 86:16 quotations 88:2 quote 58:7, 22 60:8 62:11 86:18 87:1, 22 88:2 129:25 160:15, 16, 20 164:24 169:6

quotes 28:3 60:11, 12 88:5 91:18 **quoting** 61:1 < R > races 58:5, 13 rack 45:8 **radar** 111:8 Raffensperger 150:2 Ragsdale 3:6 raise 30:9 31:11, 15 41:5 42:10 52:9 73:23 132:24 raised 20:21 27:24 28:4 30:13, 15, 19 52:16 66:24 93:3 97:20 108:19 109:24 114:4 116:5, 9, 13, 20 132:25 raises 42:14 136:2 **raising** 30:18 40:13, 17 140:23 ramifications 155:14 **Ramsland** 19:22, 25 ran 25:1 70:20 113:*1* rang 52:3 177:4 rate 15:25 16:17 19:11, 14, 15 20:6 21:14 22:9 28:8, 21 rattling 44:16 reach 79:15 100:1 139:9 **reached** 45:9 97:23 104:24 113:10 **reaching** 141:21 react 138:19 141:14 reaction 98:4 99:20 120:25 129:21 147:12 148:17 172:2 read 12:8 30:1 85:7 87:23 94:22 98:11 105:1, 25 107:7 108:11 142:22 160:15 180:2 **reading** 43:2 61:3 62:10 88:8 94:23 139:4, 8, 11 ready 148:18

113:11

reaffirmed 10:20

real 34:17 68:17

reality 115:18

realized 42:10

130:19, 21

122:3

157:21

112:2*1*

really 13:16 16:16 21:14 28:24 29:24 30:7 59:9 60:23 73:17 75:24 86:10 91:21 100:11 102:23 121:12 135:20 142:16 144:22 145:11 155:12, 19, 24 156:23 177:16 real-time 21:3 reason 5:15 10:22 13:5, 17 18:19 27:13 30:20 38:12 40:4 66:16 100:15 101:12 135:18 138:17 161:7 172:25 reasonable 71:22 reasons 116:11 120:7 recall 13:4 15:7 16:4 17:23 24:3 25:20 26:10, 17, 23 28:12 29:9 30:12, 18 31:7, 9, 21 37:2 38:20 40:14 49:24 52:6 61:8 66:14 74:13 75:22 77:7 82:17, 21 108:18 111:15 117:10, 12 125:12 126:3 157:8 recalling 15:15 receive 4:9 received 24:4, 16 25:10 52:22 58:25 77:19 79:10 89:22 90:8. 17 93:12 97:25 100:24 108:1, 2 129:24 142:4 **Recess** 83:10 recitation 67:19 recollect 19:6 30:20 54:1 66:8 74:3 102:9 117:4, 9 118:18 131:6 170:5, 18 recollection 17:19 47:19 54:14 58:21 70:13, 14 71:7 73:9 143:25 recommendations 48:7, 8, 12 50:21 55:3, 8 record 2:9, 18 4:11, 19 36:24 45:10, 13, 15 60:10 67:17 70:13 76:3 83:12 122:22 123:5, 6, 8, 22 127:18, 19

128:1, 10 139:23 174:11 175:10, 22, 24 179:25 recorded 180:4 recorder 28:3 records 54:5 82:6 recount 19:4, 7, 9, 14 23:7 26:2 28:15, 22 29:16, 18 30:6 **recruit** 160:18 redounded 33:7 **refer** 61:6 **reference** 41:4, 8 46:6, 16 61:12, 13 88:13, 21 104:3, 16, 18 107:5, 20 108:10 119:25 134:14 140:10, 19 174:16 referenced 46:18 47:8 58:16 references 70:9 referencing 67:18 referrals 121:7 **referred** 36:15 70:10 126:15 170:19 referring 35:17 87:12 88:18 120:15 **refers** 47:1, 5 reflect 127:19 reflected 62:25 85:6 91:18 106:9 110:4 118:21 refusal 131:4 **refused** 131:13 175:4 refusing 120:3 131:18 **refute** 112:17 **regard** 13:18 17:7 18:21 22:24 23:11 37:23 44:17 58:20 98:20 100:9 121:21 122:8 126:19 163:11 regarding 59:3 97:24 127:22 regardless 127:16 registered 61:19 62:18 regular 97:6 131:22 177:18, 20 reiterate 55:17 reiterated 33:22 58:23 rejected 46:18, 22 47:12 49:16, 17

rejecting 50:15, 16 rejoinder 41:18 **relate** 49:24 74:25 124:10, 11 **related** 15:1 34:2 49:20 50:7 52:21 54:11 58:25 67:15 68:5 95:9 114:19 121:3 125:25 127:9 164:21 **relates** 44:15 relating 48:7 51:10, 18 56:15 59:6 64:4 66:10 73:11 82:6, 15, 20 105:18 121:21 173:9 relation 51:12 52:18 88:14 100:20 110:5 131:3 144:14 relationship 75:21 79:16 96:23 97:14, 17 103:3 143:7 172:16 relatively 155:7 relayed 124:7 relaying 40:2 110:20 release 78:10 125:2 128:3, 6 relevant 46:10 76:7 100:10 140:2 reliability 22:13 56:*1* **relied** 23:6 116:7 relieved 30:5 164:12 **reluctant** 69:10.13 remain 6:18 103:7 174:6 remained 7:20 8:14, 24 57:5 66:11 remaining 120:20 **remember** 13:3, 8, 16, 21 15:12 24:15 26:19 27:15 29:10 30:24 38:23 40:15 53:2 54:15 71:14 73:14 75:24 77:4, 9 80:11 91:17, 18 104:17, 23 106:25 112:10 117:7, 22 126:4, 7, 8 130:5, *15*, *23* 131:*3*, *6* 135:16, 17 137:12 157:12 **remind** 7:17 13:22 **reminded** 141:17 remove 105:9, 12 152:*1*

rendered 49:20 50:6, 8 repeated 75:10 132:13, 14 136:4 repeatedly 45:4, 7 70:21 72:19 119:17 152:7 156:11 163:14 169:*11* **replace** 152:1 156:25 163:8 replaced 142:24 replacing 49:5, 17 report 15:18, 20, 24 16:8, 14, 19, 20, 24 17:5, 7, 9, 21, 23 18:7, 9, 11, 15 19:1, 2, 13, 17, 23 20:4 23:11 24:18, 21 25:8, 10, 14, 19 26:4, 7 28:6, 16, 19 29:8, 25 38:1 69:5 78:16 146:21 reported 22:9 25:13 59:1 69:3 72:25 97:1 156:16 reporting 112:22 113:1 138:12 140:16 reports 17:12 42:1 59:4, 17 60:20 64:20 77:19 111:25 represent 31:19 33:12 119:13 representation 171:*13* Representatives 92:20 represented 168:2 representing 115:6 represents 32:8 reprimanded 141:20 Republican 87:7 160:18 request 23:2 98:4 99:25 100:8 130:7 131:9 134:5 138:7 170:*3* **requested** 2:4 15:8 138:16 required 5:4 research 116:7 reserve 128:13, 21 175:*14* resign 66:20 67:5 146:7 147:10 148:2, 15 154:3, 6, 10, 11, 20, 21 155:4, 5, 19 159:10 166:13 167:4 173:19

resignation 16:11 53:18 66:19 67:7 68:25 150:19 161:11, 16 165:8 166:12, 22 173:22, 25 174:3, 4, 5 176:7, *13* resignations 153:23 157:22 resigned 66:14, 17 resigning 26:12 154:22 161:14 resolve 71:6 resolved 63:7 resort 115:17 Resources 89:1 respect 9:8 26:20 47:18 49:19 50:5 51:21 53:19 54:18 55:1, 4 58:11 64:9, 19 65:24 66:4 73:25 74:14 77:1, 17 78:19 81:14, 22, 24 82:8, 12, 13 86:1 126:25 127:2 171:6 174:21 175:2, 10 respectively 79:11 respond 35:4 43:8, 20 58:17 99:25 responded 61:24 102:8, 11 120:9 122:5 148:13 172:10 responding 156:24 response 28:25 46:17 62:6 85:20 86:20 98:6 100:4 101:17 102:11 118:24 121:17 132:4, 7 134:16 141:10 responses 4:9, 11 responsibilities 7:12 89:3 responsibility 9:13 16:25 41:15 79:1 136:14 responsible 64:25 78:20 94:9 97:9 136:2*1* rest 62:8 86:24 87:5, 6 107:7 151:18 161:20 restate 50:3 70:12 result 20:25 24:18 57:4 139:25 results 31:14 56:3, 7 61:7 retention 54:5

172:2, 3

retired 6:19 **retread** 172:1 retreading 123:21 **return** 83:3 135:1 163:6 returned 117:24 176:10 177:9 revealed 19:7 review 15:21, 24 16:4, 7 20:5 125:10, 24 reviewed 71:16 113:20 125:8 revisiting 175:18 **Rice** 174:25 Rich 15:6 63:12, 19 162:21 163:2 **RICHARD** 1:8 2:4, 10 66:7 76:18 81:11, 23 83:19 rid 118:22 **riddled** 25:14 **ride** 143:16 **right** 7:2 11:19, 20 12:24 17:13, 25 22:7, 25 26:17 28:2 30:2 33:8 34:16 35:18 36:14, 22 43:3, 22 46:19, 24 47:14 48:16, 18 49:6, 18 50:18 51:23 58:2 60:10, 14 61:11, 15 62:1, 11, 16 68:7 78:23 85:7 86:9 87:1, 2 92:24 94:10 97:5 106:18 107:24 108:6 109:3, 24 112:5 118:10 120:2 123:22 125:16 127:7 129:19 134:14 135:17 136:10 138:20 139:11 140:19 141:2 144:1 147:19 148:8, 23 150:10 151:20 153:*1* 155:10 156:15 157:17 160:4 166:*1* 170:22 172:13 174:10 **rights** 119:15 148:7 ringing 178:12 risks 171:11 175:3 **road** 166:4 role 6:12 7:11 8:24 9:5, 8, 17 10:8, 10 22:3 55:7 65:19 91:3 92:4

96:20 98:15, 19 136:18 168:23 roles 69:2 **roll** 6:18 rolled 38:11 63:4 **Rome** 110:10 room 25:21, 24 29:25 30:23 48:18, 24 50:22 54:7 100:5 102:17, 20 117:7 118:14 152:13, 17, 18 153:3, 7 168:9 172:21 178:11 Rosen 10:1 11:12 24:4, 6, 15, 22 27:1 29:7 32:14 34:3 35:17 36:10, 15 37:5, 19 38:19 39:19 46:7 47:24 48:6 49:5, 17, 20, 21 50:6, 8, 16 51:13 56:5, 6, 10, 23 57:4 61:14, 17 62:17 63:12, 15, 18 74:5, 20 79:23 85:23 87:4 90:13 96:17 97:13, 16 100:6 101:20, 25 102:3, 10, 23 103:17 105:13, 16, 17 109:13 114:25 117:18 118:10 119:17 125:3, 22 129:19, 23 130:2, 16, 19, 21 131:1, 13 133:20 134:1 137:2. 16 139:12 141:10, 25 142:11, 21, 23 143:6, 24 145:19 146:23 149:1 151:11, 20 152:1, 22 154:1 163:8, 15 165:11, 14, 21 167:6, 9 172:24 176:18 178:6 179:2, 13 Rosen's 24:14, 25 99:12 101:16 104:15 120:22 roughly 37:2 **round** 6:10 96:9 120:12 122:13 129:6 170:22, 25 rounds 3:19 routine 14:7 72:11 78:8 166:17, 25 routinely 69:7 **RPD** 111:2 **Rubv** 44:21

Rudy 130:18, 22
131:7, 19, 22
rule 115:20
Rules 3:15
run 53:21 54:19
70:25 111:9, 16
162:7, 12, 23
running 45:3, 7
70:19 81:6 162:10
Russell 19:22
Russia 174:21

<\$\begin{small} \text{S} > \text{sake} & 89:10 & 129:8 \text{Sally} & 174:25 \text{sanctity} & 58:12 \text{Sara} & 2:15 & 51:2 \text{57:19} & 58:16 & 83:5 \text{123:10, 13} & 126:23 \text{127:23} & 128:23

< S > sake 89:10 129:8 **Sally** 174:25 sanctity 58:12 Sara 2:15 51:2 150:25 164:15 167:22 175:16, 21 **Sarah** 167:25 **SAs** 60:7 sat 102:20 143:1 149:19 150:1 151:19 172:23 satellites 110:24 121:15 122:7 satisfied 28:25 **Saturday** 137:15 142:8 saw 45:3 97:6 100:19 123:24 124:3 149:21, 23 150:21, 22 172:18 177:8 saying 13:21 28:11, 12 31:23 33:16 36:7 39:17, 21 40:25 42:25 43:7. 13 59:20 60:9 61:8 86:14, 15 91:13 104:23 111:2 118:15 119:12 120:9 122:1 130:14 131:21 133:24 138:10 139:6 140:5, 14 155:11 157:8, 13 158:2 savs 15:5 40:11 44:10, 11, 21, 22 46:8, 20 58:3 60:8, 25 73:5 91:7 92:11, 18 107:10, 17 108:11 113:16 115:6, 14, 16 123:23 125:4, 23 133:23 140:11 144:25

scale 10:18 23:17 93:23 scan 25:2 scandal 92:19, 20 **scenario** 131:*19* **Schedule** 165:*19* scheduled 144:12, 18 scheme 79:24 112:25 113:*1* Schneider 17:20 school 8:3 **SCIF** 137:22 scope 4:6 21:9 35:5 76:21, 24 81:19, 20 82:4, 6, 10 84:4, 6, 11 95:10, 16 **Scott** 40:10, 21 41:1, 12, 19 43:17 92:7, 11 94:11, 14, 18 95:1, 8 96:1, 5 scrambling 94:14 se 127:24 seat 105:16, 22 151:*15* **seated** 151:9 second 39:5 49:5 81:8 83:1 128:5 129:15 135:12, 19 136:6 secretary 17:9 24:16, 23 25:2 52:13 62:22 63:6 95:18 **section** 8:17, 18 65:16, 17, 24, 25 66:12 73:6, 10 79:14, 18 80:18, 24 82:16 Security 15:17 17:4 19:2, 18 20:21 100:13 112:6 126:1, 5 148:2 see 4:9 15:5 17:17 19:21 29:18 30:7 41:9 42:5, 13 46:20 49:15 50:19 63:14 71:10 72:12 80:17 81:16 95:1 100:14 103:19 105:4 109:25 110:3 111:18 117:14 122:13, 16 131:7 134:7 141:4 143:16 145:17 173:16 174:8 **seeing** 55:25

seen 14:3 16:14, 20,

21 17:23 28:6

42:1 55:25 93:23 101:5 109:18 150:19 **SENATE** 1:1 6:6 7:8 58:5, 13 124:3, 15 139:2 154:15 158:*13* 174:*17* Senate-confirmed 7:5 **Senator** 3:3, 7 5:23 40:11, 21 45:11, 16 123:9 127:2*1* 128:3, 16 169:16 174:19 send 14:1 17:14 46:22 49:12, 13 82:14 93:18, 20 94:1, 13 99:3 139:2 140:25 152:8 166:2*1* sending 47:12, 16 50:15 93:15 97:22 122:9 156:*1* sends 15:3 106:8 126:20 senior 84:23 101:2 163:21 164:11 sense 20:10 22:1 27:13, 23 34:1 37:5, 15, 24 93:15 98:22 99:2 101:8, 19 103:24 131:11, 12 157:3 178:23 179:12 sensitive 23:19 sent 11:11 13:12, 24 14:1, 13 15:8 24:6, 11, 17, 20 25:2 26:7 46:8 49:11 69:21 89:21 90:10 101:1, 3, 17 102:12, 13 107:21 109:13 110:6 114:25 120:15 125:1 134:19 154:*14* sentence 55:2 60:6, 25 **separate** 49:9 93:6 152:4 **September** 174:15, 17 series 35:16 42:20 146:20 serious 30:2 seriously 39:13 171:20 serve 146:8 153:20 177:13 178:5, 25 **served** 6:15 8:7, 8,

21 128:15 **service** 128:*17* **serving** 8:12 165:2 set 90:12 93:12 147:13 161:19 seven 8:6 **shaking** 122:*16* **shapes** 30:8 **shared** 84:25 108:8 **sharing** 108:*3* 111:19 **shaved** 149:8 **she'd** 164:*16* **shook** 145:24 157:16 short 3:24 26:17 51:25 81:6 96:6 108:9 146:10 161:3 163:5 171:2 173:14 179:22 short-circuit 120:14 shorter 122:21 **shortly** 14:13 21:1 28:12 77:15 87:24 100:*3* 108:*1* 117:25 144:24 149:23 164:16 168:8 177:3 shot 146:16 **show** 44:24 117:16 showed 19:9 45:6 72:18 146:24 172:23 **showing** 19:14 102:*11* **shows** 29:19 44:11, 20 111:8 **shred** 124:3 shredded 52:23 54:2, 10 124:11 shredding 54:4 123:25 **shrugged** 141:*15* **shut** 72:6 **sic** 107:5 side 38:4 69:4 79:5 80:6, 8 85:24 112:3 134:8 139:5 168:11 169:15 170:23 175:19 sides 48:25 85:5 152:*15* signature 19:21 63:13, 24 122:4, 6 133:22 signatures 122:11 significant 23:14, 15 116:20 155:15 signifies 107:9

similar 39:10 40:24 41:5, 24 77:2 107:18 similarly 159:5 simply 66:20 89:1 102:12 155:18 **single** 21:*12* sir 29:14 39:22 46:23 52:9 53:1 55:13, 17, 20 57:24 64:8, 21 69:25 76:8 83:2 145:11 153:16 154:3, 10 158:10 179:17 sit 26:17 31:10 54:1 74:4 75:22 77:10, 14 124:10 125:12 126:7 130:5 149:11, 13 151:15, 17 170:5, 18 sitting 9:15 25:23 43:15 113:3 162:21 situation 20:23 34:9 105:*1* 106:*1* 138:2 142:14 147:15 154:21 155:23 sixth 25:21 137:22 142:25 sketch 39:7 **slam** 120:1 small 75:16 smart 99:17 **snap** 61:21 **so-called** 109:14 software 8:23 15:22 16:8 18:21 21:16 25:16, 17, 18 Solicitor 32:15 105:10, 11 114:5, 24 116:17 **solo** 101:9 somebody 111:4 113:18 somewhat 132:17 158:22 179:22 sorry 13:6 42:18 62:2 104:2, 8 117:10 129:15 130:23 154:5 158:24 sort 9:20 13:7 18:13 22:6 27:25 30:24 37:25 38:3 39:13, 16 42:15 44:16 49:14 65:3 66:23 70:23 71:25 80:12 90:18 94:14 97:17 99:6 100:21 101:9 103:17

118:24 120:19

122:5, 10 130:11 131:15 132:10, 12 138:5, 9, 20 141:15, 20 143:3, 23 145:23 151:22 152:11 153:3, 6 157:*1* 158:*5* 159:*3* 163:13 164:1, 10 167:10 169:10 172:21 sorts 12:11 92:5 115:22 134:21 135:14 **sounded** 162:25 171:8 **sounds** 14:10 22:20 26:21 36:10 39:1 43:14 79:17 85:8, 15 108:2 **source** 24:22 **Southern** 161:25 162:10 sovereign 115:15, 17, 18 **speak** 5:19 21:5 55:6 60:23 75:1 122:25 153:2 Speaker 46:9 113:25 **speaking** 31:4 55:3 63:17, 18 67:17, 20 77:24 127:3 speaks 98:7, 10 special 24:7 30:10, 15, 22 31:2 52:22 **specific** 13:16 24:5 43:23 53:11 55:9, 10 75:12 76:11, 15 82:2, 23 111:15 120:7 125:9 156:3, 19 157:12 167:3 170:18 specifically 5:8 20:5 27:15 30:12, 14 31:2, 7, 10 38:20 41:23 42:23 52:7 53:16 57:23 75:22 76:8, 11 78:1 79:18 82:17 85:7 104:17 111:14 115:12 117:4, 9, 10 118:2, 5, 15 121:3, 17 125:12 130:23 134:15 137:25 142:6 156:5 **specifics** 13:*3* 54:*1* 130:15 131:6 **speculate** 114:*15* speculating 131:10

spelled 112:7 **spent** 8:6 164:7 **split** 75:23 **spoke** 14:6 81:2 82:22 83:19 102:2 140:14 144:23 149:17 **spoken** 90:24 138:2*3* **spot** 147:20 148:11 **spouse** 128:25 stability 148:4 staff 2:21 3:20, 21 5:23 6:6 13:2, 25 26:24 27:3 45:12, 16 56:22, 25 57:5, 6 78:5 100:18 106:15 107:1 108:19, 22, 24 109:24 110:15 111:21 114:12 121:19, 24 123:9 134:9, 12, 20, 24 136:1 149:24 159:5 163:10 169:17 **staffers** 178:19 **Staff's** 106:22 126:14 **standard** 28:*13* 68:*3* 131:*15* standing 31:25 32:2, 8, 9, 13, 18, 20, 22 61:19 107:18 108:3 115:16 116:12 119:9 120:5, 7 148:9 standpoint 79:8 start 2:20 83:8 89:7 90:2 91:7 129:6 started 7:19 27:3 42:9 starters 36:4 113:14 starting 7:18 42:18 86:21 starts 99:11 136:5 state 2:8 16:5, 7 18:22 19:5 23:8 40:21, 22 44:19 45:5 46:10 52:14 62:22 63:7 70:8, 10, 15 71:15 72:4 74:10 95:18, 20 stated 75:3 152:6 statement 33:22 34:2, 11 44:13 60:15 64:9 99:11 118:24 128:7 158:22

statements 5:9, 12 23:9 127:21 **STATES** 1:1 8:13 21:16 32:5 44:16 65:4 87:16 98:19 99:2, 5 116:11 119:8 121:15 128:15 139:4 161:24 stationery 110:7 statistical 61:6 statistically 61:2, 9 status 168:4 statute 5:11 177:22 stav 61:11 145:20 148:3 159:11 165:12, 22, 23 174:*3* 178:*1* staved 166:19 **Steal** 91:3 stemmed 72:9 stenographer 4:10 36:2 step 99:8 137:11 152:18 155:24 157:20 162:11 **stepped** 33:21 66:9, 20 161:13 steps 68:22 75:9 79:19 80:12 85:11 86:3 95:3 177:24 Steve 48:1 105:7, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22 143:2 151:12 154:4, 5, 6, 7, 9 159:13 **Steven** 105:1 106:17 sticking 84:6 103:6 **stilted** 153:1 stolen 31:18 39:11 153:9 stood 61:10 158:19 Stop 91:3 122:16, 20 stopping 146:16 **stored** 54:8 story 110:14, 22 121:13 strange 92:1, 2 122:*1* **strictly** 122:20 **strike** 6:23 112:13 strong 26:3 158:22 **strongly** 159:17 struck 98:13 121:10 structured 152:22 stuff 93:18, 25 121:25 157:23

160:1 165:17 **stunned** 98:5, 8 **subject** 5:10 96:18 126:1 163:6 submissions 108:9 **submit** 173:21 **submits** 173:24 submitted 16:11 53:18 66:23 176:6 **submitting** 161:*11* 176:12 subpoenas 23:1 subsequent 29:4 30:14, 17, 25 67:6, 7 89:5 104:17 121:21 subsequently 18:8 subsidiary 110:11, 12 substance 58:9 substantial 67:21 substantiated 95:5 substantive 122:6 succession 105:4 sufficient 10:12, 24 33:24 86:7 95:6 suggest 122:19 suggesting 41:21 suggestion 99:9 suggestions 101:21 **suicide** 157:10 suit 115:19 suitcase 70:18 72:8 sum 58:8 summarize 35:1 **summary** 18:13 25:19 35:13 50:25 60:9 summer 174:20 summoned 100:4 101:15 **Sunday** 117:5 143:23 144:13, 22 **supervise** 136:15 supervised 9:16 supervising 136:22 supervision 12:3 supervisor 136:18 supervisors 78:24 supplement 175:23 **support** 18:22 25:25 31:12 40:7 60:7 112:17 **supported** 156:13 160:3 170:13, 15 supports 28:16 suppose 45:7 114:15 122:7 126:15 129:1 141:2 153:25

supposed 69:3 110:22 133:1, 22 150:6 supposedly 16:9 139:15 **Supreme** 32:25 107:22 113:11 115:17 119:5, 6 Sure 4:20 8:2 9:17, 18 12:1 13:9, 10 15:16 16:23 20:17 29:5, 12 31:6 33:18 34:18 35:6 44:14 50:4 52:11, 25 53:5, 6 59:7 67:2 68:16 92:14 98:1, 12 104:10 109:18 110:15, 22 111:17 128:24 131:10 135:2, 3 139:23 143:2 151:2 164:3 168:15, 24 172:25 173:*1* **surprise** 131:*18* 160:7.8 surprised 88:20, 22 92:7 97:19 103:2 177:*1* surveillance 140:20, 24 Susan 174:25 suspicion 72:15 Swan 4:22 swing 99:5 121:15 sworn 46:11 systems 16:17 19:8 30:11

<T> table 44:24 172:23 tabulating 72:7 tabulation 67:22 70:20 tabulations 71:23 110:25 tainted 59:13 take 3:24, 25 14:2 17:4 18:6 32:16 33:1 44:7 45:10 56:2, 6 64:13 83:7 86:3 87:3 90:18 108:24 112:16 115:11, 15 122:21, 22, 24 123:12 132:6, 10 137:11 144:25 147:2 153:25 155:24 157:20 159:3 163:11 165:24 166:14

taken 14:8 22:7 95:4 131:4, 5 132:9 takes 106:8 talk 102:4 103:21 107:18 122:18, 22 130:19, 22 138:22 152:25 talked 95:8 108:14 124:8 129:20 134:2 138:7 145:5 146:11, 12, 15 155:17 161:10 talking 26:5, 6 28:18 31:3, 8 35:13 37:11 39:16 44:24 79:6 99:6, 7 100:6 115:8 120:18 121:2 129:19 135:12 140:21 143:1 150:2 160:10, 21, *23* 173:*1* 175:8 talks 81:19 **tampering** 138:11 tandem 136:19 tape 28:3 44:11, 18 45:3 taxpayer 60:2 team 6:7 125:5, 24 154:14 175:2, 6 Technically 7:3, 9 television 45:3 tell 12:8 25:9 28:13 88:3, 6, 16 100:2 118:22, 23 119:2 120:*1* 130:7 131:21 139:19 143:12 147:21, 23 155:16, 17 165:4 166:5 178:11, 18 **telling** 93:8 103:5 108:22 119:15, 21 148:8 152:19 tells 145:3 temp 46:9 tenor 39:8 tens 63:14 64:14 tension 76:2, 3 tenure 6:24 9:5 10:10 term 7:6, 10 57:7 169:2*1* **termed** 18:10 terms 43:13, 19 84:11 149:3 155:11 175:19 terrible 152:19 Terrific 11:10

testimony 4:5 51:2 123:21 124:15 **Texas** 107:23 text 92:12 thank 2:13 3:3, 14 4:24 5:25 6:5, 8 7:23 9:1 19:16 45:18 48:4 55:21 61:16 62:5 63:8 64:8 65:23 66:3 78:18 83:2, 9 90:6 123:10, 11 128:14, 16, 18 129:4 150:25 167:21 168:13 170:21 175:19 177:15 178:21 179:17, 19, 21 thankfully 23:24 **Thanks** 32:24 53:15 83:6 125:6 129:1 then-Acting 101:16 then-Attornev 10:16 **then-Chief** 114:11 theories 44:17 theory 109:14, 21 **thereof** 46:12 **Theresa** 14:24 17:8, 9, 11 24:10, 17 35:8 thermostat 99:17 thing 9:20, 21 14:7 17:12 18:5 30:7 33:14 38:1 39:14 55:21 78:25 92:24 94:20, 22 146:14 159:11 168:25 things 8:11 9:18 15:20 17:1, 6 20:25 23:18, 21 25:15 29:4, 11 31:23 32:7 33:11 38:24 39:10 41:3 42:11, 14 43:21 44:3 54:23 65:14 68:13 72:1 78:8 79:5 81:15 82:18 92:5 93:3, 19 95:10, 15, 24 96:1 97:8, 10 98:14 107:*1* 109:2*1* 113:20 120:23 122:5 125:14 126:13, 19 131:16, 23 132:24, 25 133:13 138:14, 21 139:1, 15, 17, 19 141:4, 16, 23 143:22 146:6, 9

153:13 156:11, 12,

17 158:4 160:3 162:4 167:16 169:1 170:4, 12, 14, thing's 18:6 think 10:20 12:5 13:24 16:3 17:3, 8, 9, 18, 23 18:12 20:13 22:6, 11 23:11 24:14 25:5, 8, 19 26:1 27:17 29:6 30:5, 21, 25 32:14 33:9, 20 34:7 35:7 36:19 40:11, 22, 23 44:11 49:25 51:2 52:14 54:12, 22, 25 59:7, 18 64:10, 15, 16 67:19 69:5, 23, 24 70:10 71:22, 24 72:11 74:15 75:17 76:21, 23 81:19 82:4, 5 83:16 84:3, 10 85:6, 20 87:12, 14 91:4, 19 92:3 93:7 95:16 97:4. 12, 14 98:24 99:23 100:14 101:12 102:2, 13 103:19 104:4 107:4, 10 108:22 109:2 110:20 111:11, 16, 23, 24 114:2, 12 116:4 117:24 120:18 121:9, 23 123:1 126:12, 14, 22 128:20 129:4, 9, 11 131:3, 11, 21 133:5, 16, 24 134:12, 14, 18 135:4, 11, 25 136:8, 9, 11 137:7, 25 140:10 142:3, 11 143:3, 15 144:4 145:17 146:2, 17 147:6, 7 148:7 149:16, 22 150:3, 22 154:18 155:12 159:7, 10, 24 160:25 162:12 164:12 166:2, 3 167:9, 10, 13, 14 169:14 170:21 171:17 172:18 173:4, 5 175:18, 23 176:4, 23, 25 177:13, 16 179:7 **thinking** 86:17 176:15 **Thinks** 91:10 123:24

129:5

testified 124:3

third 43:6 44:9 86:13 115:5 152:9 **thought** 13:25 22:17 37:15 38:13 42:18 44:1 71:25 90:6 93:6 101:13 103:12 106:5 132:21 155:22 172:8 179:9, 11 thousands 63:14 64:15 thread 73:4 three 28:15 38:9 51:11 94:12, 13, 15 100:5 102:20 151:8 164:6, 7 171:15 three-waved 37:14 threshold 64:21 thumbnail 39:7 time 3:4, 22, 25 7:25 8:16 9:4 10:9 11:21 12:22 13:15, 20 14:13 19:25 22:8 23:2 27:5, 13, 17, 19 29:1, 21 34:11 35:16 36:11 37:3 38:8 45:18 46:10 50:12 55:1, 14 59:1, 8 64:6, 16 67:16 68:17 74:3, 6, 9, 18 76:1 77:9 79:4 81:6 82:22 83:2, 20 84:23 85:1, 16 86:18 87:13 89:10 91:4 92:15 96:21 97:5. 11 98:11 99:4 101:8 102:1 105:5 106:23 113:2, 22 114:3 120:11, 12 122:13 123:3, 11, 14 125:10 128:13, 14, 19, 21 129:8 130:25 132:3, 22 133:14 138:4 139:13 143:1 145:4 146:10, 25 147:8 149:10 150:21, 22 155:19 161:4 165:16, 24 172:17 173:6 175:2, 14 178:24 179:4, 17 times 21:6 32:12 51:10, 11 58:23 61:8 70:25 73:9, 13 75:8, 12, 17 81:3 98:12 114:11 **timing** 147:1

titled 98:24 128:3, titles 57:12 today 2:13 4:17 6:8 45:19 54:1 55:1 76:2 77:10 83:4 123:12 128:14 169:18, 25 179:17 today's 5:16 told 18:3 24:7 29:9 38:10 42:6 72:18 87:3 92:23 93:21 102:15 103:12, 13 104:5, 11 109:6 111:6 118:1 119:7 121:13 130:4 139:7, 9, 21 141:21 142:2 145:19 146:7 156:12 164:21, 22, 24 165:10 169:11 173:22 178:9, 14 tomorrow 161:11, 17 164:13 165:8, *14*, *22* 177:*1* tone 42:15 tonight 143:16 176:25 top 16:23 18:1 20:9, 11 36:7 40:10 46:19, 24 107:6 113:16 138:9 170:18 172:3 topic 27:21 topics 4:6 totally 67:12 touches 157:11 traditional 21:5 trail 99:18 train 93:6 transcribed 2:3 3:17 86:18 transcript 180:3 transition 6:16 7:14 107:3 175:2, 6 transitioned 174:8 translating 60:5 transmittal 98:25 99:9 traveling 90:9 **trial** 79:4 tried 59:19 119:17 151:*15* 158:*1* **trips** 13:9 truck 53:12 54:2, 9 124:11 170:11 truckful 52:22 **truckload** 170:11

trucks 123:24 124:4 true 21:21, 22 22:10 28:14 54:21 156:16 truly 54:17 **Trump** 47:11 48:11, 12, 15 49:16 56:9, 12, 14 162:21 163:7 171:8, 19 172:2 173:3 175:2, 6 **Trump's** 2:7 127:8 trust 20:18, 24 21:8 trusted 102:25 trusts 107:7, 10 **truthful** 5:1, 16 truthfully 5:5 try 18:6 39:23 112:16 trying 21:24 22:21 38:11 41:11 67:14, 16 68:23 111:23 122:20 136:7 **T-shirt** 149:8 **Tuesday** 166:16 tumultuous 13:20 turn 3:5 45:12, 15 53:24 57:15, 22 58:15 60:24 62:20 83:5 96:10 106:7 123:8 124:20 150:14, 23 167:22 170:24 turned 141:25 150:9 154:4, 5 **Turning** 9:2 18:20 24:2 37:1 tweet 145:25 **two** 11:19 15:3, 9 18:18 20:3 22:12 24:2, 3, 16, 25 26:1, 8, 14 27:6 28:15, 24 30:7 33:20 34:7 40:14 44:6 49:3 50:20 80:15 81:13 94:4 96:19 107:9 109:6 117:9 120:20 122:5 124:8 127:25 128:6, 9 135:3 144:3, 5 151:7 163:15 165:16 168:10 171:16 **types** 126:10 134:8 175:*11* **typical** 80:13 typically 3:24 36:16 43:14 80:2

U.S 7:17, 19 8:1, 5, 20, 24 9:11, 17, 22 10:5, 11 15:3, 9, 14 16:23 17:15, 18 21:2 24:3, 23 25:2 26:8 37:19 43:5 54:13 56:18 64:1, 2, 6, 24 65:2, 11, 12, 21 69:2, 9 71:8 72:24 73:21, 22 74:5, 8, 17 78:7, 9, 11, 23, 24 80:3, 7 84:25 89:21 94:6, 8, 11, 12, 15, 19, 20 95:3, 4 107:17 108:3 110:8, 12, 25 124:19 125:14 131:17, 24 139:9 140:8 154:19 159:19 160:9, 24 161:18 162:1, 5 164:17 165:3, 13, 17, 22 166:17, 25 167:7 168:17, 21 174:6 ultimate 49:20 50:7, 14 51:1 ultimately 8:19 18:11 19:4 40:6 71:13 114:14 143:15 157:4 **unable** 5:16 unacceptable 141:9, 13, 16 **unaware** 132:25 unbelievable 28:7 unbelievably 121:16 unclear 26:15 uncover 86:5 undermine 22:15 undermines 28:16 undermining 76:19 underneath 61:23 understand 4:13 5:2, 3, 6, 13 18:19 20:13 21:21, 24 24:10 32:2 34:18 35:5 39:22 60:12 61:20 64:17 65:15 97:12 99:7 101:2, 22 109:20 110:17 119:10 128:15 129:7 137:2 147:24 158:9 161:10 162:3 177:9 179:9 understanding 11:22 12:20 13:14 22:12 88:15 91:24 140:7 144:8, 11

155:13, 22

understood 27:17 33:6, 18 59:11 78:18 81:3 85:3, 5 87:7 88:18 98:12 99:4 102:18 126:17 135:18 139:23 168:7 underway 28:11, 23 29:17 30:6 47:20 97:8 undue 135:21 136:7 **unduly** 136:23 unfolded 27:24 101:20 **unfolding** 142:18 **Unfortunately** 75:4 **unhappv** 179:13 unique 85:2 unit 65:17 75:6, 14 76:4, 9 77:1, 2, 4 82:2 **UNITED** 1:1 8:13 21:16 65:4 116:11 119:8 128:15 139:4 161:24 units 64:21 74:2 **universe** 134:24 unreasonable 59:2, 14, 18 unrelated 99:10 unreliable 25:14 unrestricted 4:5 unusual 132:17, 18, 21 134:12 138:1 166:20 unwarranted 67:12 **updated** 130:12 upset 102:14 up-to-date 10:1 **urgency** 46:10 urgent 96:19 **USAerospace** 109:13 110:11 **USC** 5:11 use 7:6, 9 22:15 58:19 75:9 85:8, 9 **useful** 38:3 usual 54:4 uttered 55:2 < V > validity 54:18 variety 8:7 65:9 78:3 116:10 various 17:6 20:25

< U >

24:20 40:1 42:4,

14 43:14 44:17

120:23 144:13

93:13 118:5

169:20

vast 39:15 55:14 97:8 vehicle 90:9 92:15 **vehicles** 149:*15* vein 41:5 44:6 59:14 108:25 **verbal** 4:11 verification 63:13, 24 122:5, 6 versa 12:11 version 25:16, 18 99:3 versus 45:8 85:2 107:23 vets 77:24 **vetted** 160:24 vetting 64:18 78:20 vice 12:11 **victim** 33:13 video 71:3 72:12, 18, 25 121:4, 5, 9, 10, 18 129:21, 24 130:1, 18 167:18 videotape 44:18 45:5 71:17 72:23 view 42:20 43:25 45:5 59:10 60:22 68:12 69:18 84:25 85:14 107:10 108:3 114:3, 5 115:23 116:12, 15, 17 119:8 132:17 146:13 viewed 33:2 84:1 129:21 134:1 views 17:5 18:11 29:21 32:17 98:11 108:8 violate 115:20 141:18 violated 104:7 127:*1* violation 50:2 visit 149:5 **volume** 58:24 voluntarily 2:14 4:3 179:23 vote 61:6 67:22 110:7, 25 voter 58:24 64:19 77:19 78:20 79:9, 14 122:4, 6, 11 voters 61:19 62:18 108:*13* votes 19:10 42:5, 7, 12 45:8 62:22, 25 63:5, 6 64:15 87:10, 16 95:25 121:15 133:2 156:*15*

Voting 15:21, 22 16:17 21:15, 16 30:11 67:22 95:19

< W > wait 29:18 30:7 68:*3*, *11* 81:7 83:*1* walk 39:5 120:2, 4 149:22, 24 154:15 174:2, 4, 5 **walked** 149:25 150:5, 12 158:19 172:5 173:7 walking 149:7 **wall** 121:11 146:6, Walton 2:24, 25 want 3:3 4:3, 25 29:5, 12 33:16 34:17 39:5 54:19 57:20 59:22 60:10 69:19 81:8 82:25 94:13 102:16 103:18 106:1 113:4 115:5, 10 119:1.14 122:25 123:22 127:19 128:14 129:22 135:1 137:17, 23 145:9, 11 147:20 148:11, 20, 21 149:2 150:10 151:*1* 152:25 155:19 157:14 161:4 162:7 173:25 174:15, 16 176:24 177:13 178:17 179:17 wanted 17:4 21:20 33:15 34:8 36:11 37:5 41:21 49:11 52:10, 25 54:20 63:20 64:10 67:2 68:1 83:14 87:21 106:4 107:2 112:1 119:20 138:15 150:17 155:13 165:7 168:24 171:21 175:22, 24 wants 36:8 145:2, 8 149:2 165:21 166:7 warehouse 140:20 warehouses 54:8 WASHINGTON 1:3 4:23 56:23 80:15 90:10 130:9

wasn 95:21

watch 72:12

watching 150:2

Watson 14:24 17:8 24:11 35:8 wav 3:23 8:12 11:24 24:10, 24 25:3 29:3, 11 36:18 43:13 54:20, 22 55:6 61:22 69:6 72:17 73:19 75:17 80:13 81:22 84:19 86:15 97:23 98:23 112:7 115:15 118:18 120:22 122:11 126:4, 21 131:15 133:6 134:19 136:24 140:24 146:8 148:9 149:25 151:*14* 159:8, 20 164:13 166:4 172:13 ways 65:9, 12 78:3 Wednesday 166:16 week 12:23 147:16 166:16 weeks 10:20 162:24 165:16 **weight** 79:20 171:21 Weinsheimer 3:9 74:22 76:14, 20 81:18 82:3, 8 84:3, 10 **welcome** 168:12 well 6:7, 22 10:2 18:16 20:16 22:22 25:9 28:11 29:6 30:6 32:4 33:12 39:18 48:13 50:3 51:18 55:21 59:5, 10 66:17 69:5, 22 76:5 78:22 84:6 88:8 93:25 95:11 98:10 100:12 103:1, 17 111:25 115:10 116:19 117:8 124:16 128:6 130:8 134:1 135:13, 20 136:6, *14* 137:*10* 141:*25* 142:25 145:11, 24 146:2 147:7 148:7 153:13 154:9 155:11 158:15 161:9 165:4 169:6 176:9, 13, 21 177:19 well-versed 39:25 went 7:21 8:5, 22 12:2 15:14 24:22,

97:5, 17 102:13 107:3 109:16 120:11 130:16 137:21 142:25 143:14 146:5 148:16 149:15, 17, 21 150:12 152:18 153:7, *18* 155:*1* 166:8 168:20 171:7, 17 172:6 176:10, 11, 13 177:3 178:9, 10, 13, We're 18:1, 6 29:7, 14 39:17, 22, 23 55:15, 17, 18, 20 59:20 67:18 80:9 84:3 105:21 108:22, 23 119:*1* 123:21 128:20 129:4 135:3 156:24 157:17, 21, 24 158:6 161:*13* 164:13 168:1, 5 175:8 Western 15:5 94:7. we've 14:3 23:13, *21* 26:5 31:3 37:10 74:23 93:18, 19 99:6 101:17 107:13 108:14 120:5, 6 139:16, 19 160:1 169:18 whatsoever 87:11, wheeled 72:10, 16 White 11:17 12:1, 6, 10 14:15 18:10 24:6 26:10, 18, 24, 25 27:9, 12, 14, 16 29:20 47:22, 23 48:3 104:7 106:8, 15, 21 108:16 114:4 117:2, 23 118:*1* 121:24 126:25 127:3, 4 132:8 133:10 134:4, 8, 10, 20, 23 135:10 136:1 141:18 144:7 146:3, 14, 17, 22 147:11 148:18, 21 149:2, 6, 16, 17 151:11 158:21 159:5 163:10 169:20 170:1, 6, 20 175:9 176:10, 23

177:4, 9 178:12

widely 21:16

widen 142:21 wide-ranging 151:24 widespread 10:18, 24 33:24 34:15 86:7 **wiggle** 168:9 **wildly** 98:13 103:13 **willing** 93:22 157:19, 20 162:23 willingness 2:14 104:14 withholding 175:1 witness 2:8 49:24 74:24 127:24 141:21 180:11 witnesses 4:15 5:8 23:1 71:13, 18 72:24 141:22 won 11:24 wondering 39:6 75:11 79:22 92:13 96:21 98:8 168:1 word 44:21 58:20 64:13 75:10 173:4, **worded** 33:11 159:17 **wording** 33:16 words 34:10 41:6, 19 43:23 58:8 85:9 119:20 135:9 136:21 173:10 work 8:22 25:12 27:4 32:12 37:18 61:22 65:13, 20 72:5 79:16 127:10 133:11 158:18 164:14 worked 54:13 71:9 72:20 76:12 80:16 81:2 96:23 97:2, 15 109:17 124:2 140:21 workers 45:6 72:13 working 6:22 101:9, 13 108:21 112:4 130:18 worse 121:17 worth 157:21 wrapping 71:25 Wray 18:17 25:24 **write** 47:*3* written 4:11 108:7, wrong 25:15 68:1 77:6 98:18 123:24 wrongdoing 125:5 wrote 41:9 46:25 58:7 61:4 87:22

23 26:18 35:12

42:12 49:14 57:7

72:7 77:20 96:25

88:6 92:18 109:25

